

**Independent DPHI Compliance Audit (No. 3)
SSI 10053 – Moorebank Avenue
Realignment Works**

03 November 2025

Independent DPHI Compliance Audit

SSI 10053 – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works

Prepared By:



Trigalana Environment Pty Ltd

ABN: 67 638 805 848

Version Control

Revision	Date	Comment
A	20 October 2025	Draft for Review
0	3 November 2025	Final

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
1 Project Overview	4
1.1 Project Background	4
1.2 Project Approval	4
1.3 Supplementary Approvals and Licences	5
1.4 Auditor	7
1.5 Audit Objectives	7
1.6 Audit Scope and Period	7
1.7 Definitions	8
2 Independent Audit Methodology	10
2.1 Scope Development	10
2.2 Stakeholder Consultation – Key Issues	10
2.3 Summary of Audit Processes	10
2.4 Opening Meeting	10
2.5 Site Inspection	10
2.6 Document Review	11
2.7 Closing Meeting	11
2.8 Compliance Descriptors	11
3 Audit Findings	13
3.1 Compliance Performance	13
3.2 Summary of Agency Notices, Orders, Penalty Notices and Prosecutions	17
3.3 Incidents	18
3.4 Community Complaints	18
3.5 Actions from previous Audits	18
3.6 Adequacy of Environmental Management Plans	21
3.7 Stakeholder Consultation Outcomes	21
3.8 Actual Versus Predicted Impacts	27
3.9 Key Strengths	27
Appendix A DPHI Auditor Approval	28
Appendix B Auditor Declaration	31
Appendix C Audit Table	33
Appendix D Consultation	125
Appendix E Photographs	137

Executive Summary

Trigalana Environmental Pty Ltd has been engaged to undertake an independent Audit of the Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW). The MARW Project involves the construction of a multi lane road, and ancillary development including, but not limited to, access roads, construction compounds, flood mitigation works, pedestrian and cycling facilities, road modification works, signage, stormwater management, signalised intersections, and utilities infrastructure.

Development Consent (SSI 10053) covers both the construction and operational phases of the Project. The Project Proponent is the Moorebank Intermodal Company Limited (MIC) (now National Intermodal Corporation Limited (National Intermodal)). Arcadis are the environmental and planning consultants. BMD is the construction contractor for the construction of the MARW Project.

The Audit is required by the Conditions of Approval (CoA) and has been undertaken in accordance with the Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI) Post Approval Requirements (2020).

The Audit covers construction activities for the period from the date of the previous Audit on 18th March 2025 to 11th of September 2025. The Audit consisted of a site inspection, interview with key project personnel and a review of relevant management plans, project documents and management records.

The site inspection was undertaken on 11th of September 2025 with members of National Intermodal, Arcadis and BMD present.

The findings of the Audit are:

- Construction activities have progressed since the previous Audit with mainline clearing substantially complete and earthworks underway
- Experienced environmental professionals and specialists have been appointed to oversee the implementation of the CEMP and subplans
- Key environmental issues are being managed effectively on site as summarised:
 - Erosion and sediment controls including sediment basins, clean water diversions, geofabric lined drains, stabilised site access and sediment fences have been installed
 - Asbestos contaminated material has been segregated and stockpiled with signage and geofabric covers to prevent offsite migration of contaminated material
 - Regular inspections undertaken by the Independent Environmental Representative and an experienced Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC)
- No construction related complaints have been received during the Audit period or reportable environmental incidents
- One non-compliance has been recorded by the Audit. The non-compliance related to a boundary exceedance that was self-reported to DPHI. DPHI subsequently issued a written warning to BMD noting the non-compliance resulted in breaches of Conditions A1 and E3.

Three recommendations for improvement are made regarding:

- Continual monitoring, review and improvement of clearing processes and activities, to ensure there are no further over clearing incidents or other activities that exceed the project boundary
- Installation of advisory signage for sediment basins to minimise the risk of uncontrolled discharge of untreated water
- Consultation with Wattle Grove Public School when planning for noisy works where there is a potential exceedance of noise criteria
- One key strength has been identified by the Audit relating to a high standard of soil and water management with oversight provided by an experienced CPESC and dedicated labour resources to implement and maintain controls.

1 Project Overview

1.1 Project Background

Moorebank Avenue currently connects to Newbridge Road and M5 Motorway to the north, Anzac Road to the south and terminates at Cambridge Avenue, near the Holsworthy Military Reserve. Moorebank Avenue is currently a four-lane undivided road between Newbridge Road and the M5 Motorway, while between the M5 Motorway and Cambridge Avenue it is a two-lane undivided road. A section of Moorebank Avenue currently divides the Moorebank Intermodal Precinct (MIP), comprising Moorebank Precinct East (MPE) and Moorebank Precinct West (MPW). North of the M5 Motorway, Moorebank Avenue is a state road. Between the M5 Motorway and Anzac Road it is owned and maintained by Liverpool City Council (LCC) and between Anzac Road and Cambridge Avenue it is a private road on Commonwealth land.

Figure 1 provides an overview of the Project. The Project involves the realignment of an existing two-kilometre section of Moorebank Avenue, from a point approximately 130 meters south of the Anzac Road/Moorebank Avenue intersection to a point immediately north of the East Hills Railway. Key features of the Project include:

- Construction of approximately three kilometres of new road to bypass the MIP to the east, comprising: – A four-lane road (two lanes in each direction) near MPE, commencing from a point approximately 130 metres south of the Anzac Road / Moorebank Avenue intersection to the south-eastern corner of the MPE site – A two-lane road (one lane in each direction) from the south-eastern corner of the MPE site to a point immediately north of the bridge over the East Hills railway
- Northern tie-in to Moorebank Avenue, 130 meters south of the Anzac Road/Moorebank Avenue for 250 metres to the northwest corner of the MPE site
- Construction of four accesses with signalised intersections between the new road and the MIP
- Construction of a central median, typically six metres wide, tapering to zero width where the new road becomes two lanes
- Southern tie-in to Moorebank Avenue, 17 metres before the East Hills railway over bridge. No work will be undertaken or impact the East Hills over-bridge
- Construction of retaining walls
- Construction of operational drainage infrastructure, on-site stormwater detention basins, and operational water quality controls (including vegetated swales, bioretention systems, and spill containment)
- Installation of a culvert within Anzac Creek and extending existing culverts within existing watercourses/drainage lines
- Installation of road furniture including security fencing, guideposts, traffic signs, and street lighting, including defence communications and high voltage electricity alignments
- Construction of temporary ancillary facilities, including a work site compound, lay-down areas, and construction water detention basins.

1.2 Project Approval

The Project is designated State Significant Infrastructure (SSI) and was subject to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The EIS, with accompanying documents were publicly displayed. Following public display, response to submissions and further studies, the EIS was approved by the NSW Minister for Planning. The Project instrument of approval (SSI 10053) was issued on 14 October 2021 and documents 161 conditions that are to be complied with during the construction and operational stages of the MARW Project.

1.3 Supplementary Approvals and Licences

In addition to the Planning Approval (SSI 10053), several other approvals apply to the Project as follows:

- Commonwealth Controlled Activity Approval (EPBC 2020/8839) administered by the Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment and Water (DCCEW)
- Environment Protection Licence (EPL#21972), held by BMD for the construction of the MARW.





Figure 1: Project location as described in the EIS.

1.4 Auditor

Trigalana Environmental Pty Ltd has been appointed to undertake this independent environmental Audit. The Auditor was approved by the Department of Planning and Environment in accordance with Condition A38. Details of the Auditor are provided in [Table 1: Auditor Details](#)

Table 1: Auditor Details

Name	Qualifications	Key Experience
	B. E Civil M. Environmental Management Management Systems Auditing Leading Management Systems Audit teams Exemplar Global Lead Auditor	29 years of environmental management experience in the infrastructure sector. Have undertaken over 100 Audits including independent DPHI Audits for major infrastructure Projects including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upper South Creek Advanced Water Recycling Centre • EnergyConnect. • Crudine Ridge Windfarm. • Sapphire Windfarm. • Rye Park Windfarm. • Albion Park Bypass. • Walla Walla Solar Farm. • Warrell Creek to Nambucca Heads. • Northern Road upgrade. • Tweed Valley Hospital. • Windsor Bridge.

The DPHI Letter of approval for the Auditor is provided in Appendix A.

1.5 Audit Objectives

The key objectives of the Independent Environmental Audit are to assess compliance of the Project with the CoA and the implementation of management plans as outlined in the CoA. The Audit is to recognise good practices while providing practical and reasonable recommendations for improvement that can be implemented throughout the project as construction continues.

1.6 Audit Scope and Period

This Audit has been undertaken in accordance with Condition A35 of the Ministers Conditions of approval. These conditions are outlined in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Independent Audit – Requirements

Condition Reference	Condition	Comment
A35	Independent Audits of the SSI must be conducted and carried out in accordance with the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (DPIE, 2020).	This Audit has been undertaken in accordance with the DPE Independent Post Approval Requirements. The Audit focussed on the conditions of consent relevant to the early stage of construction, with further scope development following consultation with DPHI and Liverpool Council.

The Audit covers construction activities for the period from the date of the previous Audit on 18th of March 2025 to the date of the Audit site inspection on 11th of September 2025.

1.7 Definitions

Commonly used acronyms in this Audit report are as described in Table 3.

Table 3: Common acronyms

Acronym	Description
BHA	Bushfire Hazard Assessment
BMD	B.M.D. Constructions Pty Limited, the construction contractor appointed by National Intermodal to undertake the works
BOS	Biodiversity Offset Strategy
CA	Consistency Assessment
CoA	Conditions of Approval
CBMP	Construction Bushfire Management Plan
CCC	Community Consultative Committee
CCS	Community Consultation Strategy
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CNVIS	Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements
CNVMP	Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan
CPESC	Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control
DAWE	Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment
DCCEEW	Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
DPE	Department of Planning and Environment (now the Department of Planning Housing and Infrastructure)
DPIE	Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (now the Department of Planning Housing and Infrastructure)
DPHI	Department of Planning Housing and Infrastructure (formerly the Department of Planning and Environment)
DURS	Disused Rail Spur
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EP&A Act	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EPA	NSW Environment Protection Authority
EPBC	Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
EPL	Environmental Protection License
ER	Environmental Representative
ESD	Ecological Sustainable Development

Acronym	Description
EWMS	Environmental Work Method Statement
FRNSW	Fire and Rescue NSW
GHD	Gutteridge Haskins and Davey, Project Manager for the MARW
IEA	Independent Environmental Audit
ICNG	EPA Interim Construction Noise Guideline
IPAR	DPHI Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements, May 2020)
MARW	Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works
MIP	Moorebank Intermodal Precinct
MPE	Moorebank Precinct East
MPW	Moorebank Precinct West
NCA	Noise Catchment Area
NI	National Intermodal Corporation
OCEMP	Overarching Construction Environmental Management Plan
OCNR	Operational Noise Compliance Report
OEH	Office of Environment and Heritage
OTNAR	Operational Traffic Noise Assessment Report
PFAS	A group of synthetic organofluoride substances commonly found in groundwater. A typical source is firefighting foam from fire suppressant activities
Planning Secretary	The Planning Secretary of the Department of Planning, Housing, and Infrastructure.
RAP	Remedial Action Plan
RFS	Rural Fire Service
SEMP	Site Establishment Management Plan
SSI	State Significant Infrastructure
SPVA	State Voluntary Planning Agreement
TfNSW	Transport for New South Wales
UDLP	Urban Design and Landscape Plan
UFP	Unexpected Finds Protocol

2 Independent Audit Methodology

2.1 Scope Development

The Audit scope was developed in consideration of:

- The NSW Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructures Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (IPAR) (May 2020)
- Project Conditions of Approval (SSI 10053) dated 14 October 2021
- Stakeholder feedback from relevant government stakeholders including:
 - Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI)
 - Liverpool City Council.

The scope of the Audit did not include an assessment of compliance with any supplementary approvals or licences or verification of design aspects of the Project.

2.2 Stakeholder Consultation – Key Issues

In undertaking the Audit, key issues raised by the government agencies groups that are relevant to the Audit are summarised in Section 3.7.

2.3 Summary of Audit Processes

- Opening meeting
- Site inspection, noting environmental practices and controls
- Audit Interviews
- Review of documents and records
- Closing meeting.

2.4 Opening Meeting

An opening meeting was held on 11th of September 2025 where the Auditor provided an overview of the Audit process and confirmed the agenda for the Audit. The opening meeting was attended by the following personnel:

- [REDACTED], Senior Manager, Planning and Environment, National Intermodal
- [REDACTED], Regional Environmental Manager, BMD
- [REDACTED], Environment Manager, BMD
- [REDACTED], Environmental Coordinator, BMD
- [REDACTED], Senior Environmental Advisor, National Intermodal Contractor / Arcadis.

2.5 Site Inspection

A site inspection was undertaken on the morning of 11th of September 2025. Observations made by the Auditor are as summarised as follows:

- The site was inundated with heavy rainfall received during the preceding days. Water was mostly contained within low lying areas and within sediment basins
- Construction activities have progressed since the previous Audit with mainline clearing substantially complete and earthworks underway
- Offices, amenities and hardstand areas were established and operational
- Erosion and sediment controls were installed including sediment basins, clean water diversions, geofabric lined drains and bunds. Polymer spray was applied to exposed batters to reduce the risk of erosion with a trailer mounted polymer dispensing unit available for deployment
- Clean water was being collected upstream and pumped downstream of the site to avoid erosion of disturbed areas.
- A temporary creek crossing was installed at Anzac Creek. Erosion and sediment controls including geofabric and mulch bunding. Tree roots had been retained on the creek embankment to prevent erosion of the embankment. There were no chemicals or fuels stored adjacent to the waterway.

- Construction boundaries were clearly delineated with green (colour coded) flagging and advisory “no go signage”. Visual instructions were available at the site compound to communicate the correct colour coding for the various flagging types.
- Felled timber was stockpiled for reuse in the Disused Rail Spur (DURs), mulch was beneficially reused for erosion control
- Asbestos materials were stockpiled, covered with geofabric with advisory signage provided
- Stabilised access was provided consisting of rumble grids/shaker pads and hardstand/gravel entrance to prevent mud tracking. It was noted during the site inspection that maintenance of the shaker pad at the southern site entrance was required to remove accumulated mud
- Street sweepers and water carts were available to control dust and mud tracking. There was no evidence of mud tracking on external roads
- Minor quantities of chemicals were provided on site. Spill kits were available in the site compound and on site for deployment in the event of a spill
- There was no obstruction of local footpaths or roads. All plant; equipment and any motor vehicles were located within the site boundaries and compound area.

2.6 Document Review

In undertaking the Audit, a broad range of documents were reviewed or referred to, including:

- Project conditions of approval (SSI 10053)
- Project Environmental Impact Statement
- Environmental Management Plans, developed in accordance with the Project Conditions
- Correspondence with relevant authorities
- Consultation records
- Specialist reports
- Records of implementation of the environmental management plans including checklists, inspection reports, etc.

A detailed list of the documents reviewed in undertaking the Audit is provided in Appendix C - Audit Table.

2.7 Closing Meeting

The closing meeting was held on 24th October 2025 where the summary of the Audit findings was provided, noting areas of good practice and recommendations for improvement.

Attendees at closing meeting included the following:

- [REDACTED], Senior Manager, Planning and Environment, National Intermodal
- [REDACTED], Regional Environmental Manager, BMD
- [REDACTED], Environment Manager, BMD
- [REDACTED], Environmental Coordinator, BMD
- [REDACTED], Senior Environmental Advisor, National Intermodal Contractor / Arcadis.

2.8 Compliance Descriptors

The compliance status of each condition was determined using the relevant descriptors in and as described in the DPE (now DPHI) 2020 Auditing Post Approval Requirement and summarised in Table 4.

Table 4 - Compliance Descriptors

Status	Description
Compliant (C)	Sufficient verifiable evidence to demonstrate that all elements of the requirement have been completed
Non- Compliant (NC)	One or more specific elements of the conditions or requirements have not been complied with within the scope of the Audit

Status	Description
Not Triggered (NT)	A requirement has an activation or timing trigger that has not been met at the time when the Audit was undertaken

3 Audit Findings

3.1 Compliance Performance

A summary of compliance performance is provided in [Table 5](#). Further details of non-compliances, observations and recommendations are provided in [Table 6](#).

Table 5: Compliance summary

Section	Number of Conditions	Compliant	Non-Compliant	Not Triggered
A	45	26	1	18
B	13	11	0	2
C	17	14	0	3
D	4	0	0	4
E	83	54	1	28
Total	162	105	2	55

Table 6: Non compliances, observations and recommendations for improvement

Condition no	Summary of Condition (relevant requirements)	Finding	Recommendation
A1/E3	The clearing of native vegetation must not exceed the clearing footprint identified in the documents listed in Condition A1. All practicable measures to reduce the clearing of native vegetation within the clearing footprint must be undertaken, with the objective of reducing impacts to threatened ecological communities and threatened species habitat.	<p>Non-Compliance</p> <p>As noted in Condition A41, one non-compliance was reported to DPHI during the Audit period for clearing activities that occurred outside the project boundary.</p> <p>A Warning letter was subsequently issued by DPHI relating to the non-compliance noting breaches of Conditions A1 and E3 have occurred.</p> <p>Following the identification of the non- compliance, an investigation into the incident with an investigation report prepared by BMD. Summary findings are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The root cause of the incident was confusion around works permitted at DURS Several contributing factors were identified relating to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate verification of the location of flagging Survey mark not in place Thick and spiky vegetation limiting visual identification of installed survey marks <p>Recommendations made following the incident to prevent recurrence were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undertake an audit of all fencing on site to ensure all other areas have been correctly demarcated Application of mulch from cleared trees from the cleared area to encourage natural regeneration via the existing topsoil seed bank Work with National Intermodal to determine either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Landscaping the area in line with the approved design for the Moorebank Precinct East- Stage 1 DURS area, or Incorporation of the Area into the Moorebank Precinct East – Stage 1 Project under an Accordance Assessment Proposal for DURS access road and drainage. 	<p>The investigation report identifies several preventative actions (that have been implemented) and preventative actions (to be implemented) to prevent recurrence.</p> <p>The actions taken appear to be appropriate. The Auditor notes that the clearing activities are now substantially complete with further risk of incident substantially eliminated.</p> <p>However, it is the Auditors experience that boundary disturbance activities can still occur throughout all stages of any project and further vigilance is required to ensure no further boundary exceedances occur.</p> <p>In consideration of the above, it is recommended, that processes for working near or adjacent to project boundaries, application of resources, supervision and oversight of construction activities is continually reviewed with the objective eliminating any further systemic issues that may result in boundary disturbance.</p>

Condition no	Summary of Condition (relevant requirements)	Finding	Recommendation
		<p>Evidence was provided to the Auditor in the form of task completion forms to demonstrate the completion of the recommendations as noted above.</p> <p>In addition to the above, the Auditor reviewed several other aspects of the clearing process noting the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The EIS project boundary is marked on site with green flagging with environmental “no go” signage installed • The colour coding system for flagging is communicated to site personnel via the project induction as well as through visual displays placed adjacent to the site compound. • A clearing “hold point release” process is in place which includes the verification of project boundaries by a surveyor prior to release of the hold point • A toolbox talk and presentation were held following the clearing event to reinforce key boundary controls and share lessons learned to prevent recurrence. 	
E23	<p>Work resulting in noise levels above the relevant NMLs at community, religious, educational institutions, noise and vibration-sensitive businesses and critical working areas (such as theatres, laboratories and operating theatres) must not be timetabled during sensitive periods, unless other reasonable arrangements with the affected institutions, businesses or facilities are made at no cost to the affected institution.</p>	<p>Observation</p> <p>The nearest sensitive educational institution is the Wattle Grove Public School which is located approximately 750m. Section 5.4.2 of the CNVMP notes the relevant NML for “classrooms at schools and other educational institutions” to be 45dBA (LA eq 15mins). The Auditor notes that the criteria is an “internal criteria” with substantial noise reduction provided by windows and building facades.</p> <p>The Auditor reviewed the results of noise monitoring undertaken in the Wattle Grove residential area (25 Exford Court and 25 Yallum Court) with the LAeq results < 45dBA.</p> <p>Based on the noise monitoring results and noting the NML is an internal criteria, it is highly unlikely that the relevant NML has been exceeded.</p>	<p>For any noisy work that is planned to be undertaken during school hours with the potential for disturbance to the school, it is recommended that targeted consultation is undertaken to determine any specific timetabling requirements that would require alternate scheduling.</p> <p>Monitoring within the classrooms is also recommended in the event of a noise complaint from the school (subject to agreement from the school).</p>

Condition no	Summary of Condition (relevant requirements)	Finding	Recommendation
E31	Before the commencement of any work, erosion and sediment controls must be installed and maintained in accordance with the publication Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils & Construction (4th edition, Landcom 2004) commonly referred to as the 'Blue Book'.	<p>Observation</p> <p>Erosion and sediment controls observed during the site inspection were consistent with the Blue Book and were appropriate for the activities being undertaken.</p> <p>BMD has appointed an experienced CPESC to undertake monthly inspections of erosion and sediment controls and make recommendations to ensure compliance with the "Blue Book Requirements". The BMD Environment team undertakes weekly inspections as well as pre- and post-rainfall inspections to ensure controls are fully functional prior to a wet weather event with corrective actions implemented following a rain event to ensure any repairs required are completed prior to the next wet weather event. Other records provided to the Auditor to demonstrate the implementation of the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan include dewatering permits as well as water quality monitoring results of sediment basins prior to discharge.</p> <p>The BMD environment team noted that an environmental labour crew has been formed to assist with the implementation of the plan.</p>	While well documented procedures are in place to discharge treated water from sediment basins, it is recommended signage is installed at each sediment basin discharge point to alert operators to undertake the necessary testing and obtain the correct "Permit to Pump" approvals prior to releasing water from any sediment basin.

3.2 Summary of Agency Notices, Orders, Penalty Notices and Prosecutions

During the Audit period one written warning was issued to BMD by the DPHI. Details of the Warning Letter are provided in [Table 7](#).

Table 7: Compliance summary

Date	Relevant Conditions	Details
21/8/25	A1, E3	<p>On 5th of June 2025, National Intermodal, on behalf of BMD Constructions, submitted a non-compliance notification in accordance with Schedule 2 Part A Condition A42 of the Consent. The notification indicated that an area of approximately 72 m² of Castlereagh Scribbly Gum and Agnes Banks Woodlands of the Sydney Basin Bioregion was cleared outside the Moorebank Realignment Works (MARW) boundary. On 23 July 2025, NSW Planning conducted an inspection of the site and confirmed native vegetation had been cleared outside the MARW boundary.</p> <p>The warning letter noted Conditions A1 and E3 have been breached.</p>

3.3 Incidents

The Auditor reviewed incident reports and registers for the Audit period and notes there were no incidents during the Audit period that would require reporting to DPHI, EPA or Council.

3.4 Community Complaints

There were no complaints received relating to the MARW project during the Audit period. As noted in previous Audits, the complaints management system appears to be effective.

3.5 Actions from previous Audits

The status of actions from the previous Audit are detailed in [Table 8](#) below.

Table 8: Actions from previous Audit

Condition no	Summary of Condition (relevant requirements)	Observation	Recommendation	Comment and Status
B10	<p>A Complaints Register must be maintained recording information on all complaints received about the SSI during the carrying out of any work and for a minimum of 12 months following the completion of construction. The Complaints Register must record the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) number of complaints b) the date and time of the complaint; c) the method by which the complaint was made d) any personal details of the complainant which were provided by the complainant or, if no such details were provided, a note to that effect; e) nature of the complaint; f) means by which the complaint was addressed and whether resolution was reached, with or without mediation; and g) if no action was taken, the reason(s) why no action was taken. <p>Complainants must be advised, where possible, that the Complaints Register may be forwarded to Government agencies to allow them to undertake their regulatory duties.</p>	<p>TSA management manage the complaints centrally for all precincts.</p> <p>Appendix D of the CCS is the complaints handling procedure. The complaints handling procedure doesn't include details of the procedure to advise complainants, that the Complaints Register may be forwarded to Government agencies to allow them to undertake their regulatory duties.</p>	<p>Update the complaints handling procedure to include the requirement to notify complainants that the register may be forwarded to government agencies in accordance with this condition.</p>	<p>The project email response template has been updated to include this requirement with appropriate evidence provided to the Auditor.</p> <p>STATUS – CLOSED</p>

Condition no	Summary of Condition (relevant requirements)	Observation	Recommendation	Comment and Status
B13	<p>A website or webpage providing information in relation to the SSI must be established before commencement of work and be maintained for the duration of construction, and for a minimum of 24 months following the completion of construction. The following up-to-date information (excluding confidential, private, commercial information or any other information that the Planning Secretary has approved to be excluded) must be published before the relevant work commences and maintained on the website or dedicated pages including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) (Information on the current implementation status of the SSI; (b) <p>All information required in this condition must be available on the Proponent's website, ordered in a logical sequence and easy to navigate.</p>	<p>The project website is comprehensive and includes the relevant information as required by this condition. The website provides language interpretation services.</p> <p>The complaints register, provided on the project website covers the entire project and doesn't specify which precinct the complaint relates to.</p>	<p>Update the complaints register to include an additional column that documents which precinct of the intermodal terminal the complaint relates to so that it is clear which complaints relate to the MARW project.</p>	<p>The complaints register has been updated so complaints for the MARW can be easily identified.</p> <p>STATUS – CLOSED</p>
E54	<p>Vehicles (including light and heavy vehicles) associated with the SSI must be managed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Minimise parking on public roads; (b) (Minimise idling and queueing on state and regional roads; (c) Not carry out marshalling of construction vehicles near sensitive land user(s); (d) Not block or disrupt access across pedestrian or shared user paths at any time; and (e) Ensure spoil haulage vehicles are made aware of the nominated haulage routes. 	<p>All vehicles were observed to be contained within the site. No reports of vehicles parking on public roads, idling, queuing etc recorded during the Audit period.</p> <p>BMD have prepared a Drivers Code of Conduct to communicate the site requirements to drivers.</p>	<p>Update the drivers code of conduct to include a map showing the approved vehicle routes to and from the as well as the approved routes to the Glenfield Waste Depot as applicable.</p>	<p>The Auditor was provided with the Vehicle Management Plan. This is separate to the driver code of conduct and is a live document which is updated to reflect changed traffic conditions. The VMP is provided to contractors and includes transport route restrictions as well as details of site access routes.</p> <p>STATUS – CLOSED</p>

3.6 Adequacy of Environmental Management Plans

The management plans implemented for this construction phase have been prepared by suitably qualified personnel, endorsed by the independent Environmental Representative, and approved by DPHI following consultation with relevant stakeholders. The plans meet the conditions of approval and other relevant legislative requirements. The management plans are adequate for the current scope of work and were observed to be implemented effectively in the field.

3.7 Stakeholder Consultation Outcomes

Issues raised by project stakeholders that were consulted with prior to undertaking the Audit are summarised in [Table 9](#) with the Auditor's response to each issue.

Table 9: Key stakeholder issues and Auditor response

Organisation	Key Issues/Comments	Auditor Response
Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure	Construction boundaries and their delineation.	<p>The site boundary was clearly delineated with colour coded (green) flagging with environment protection zone “no go” signage placed to ensure encroachment of the boundary does not occur. Orange mesh fencing was also provided to prevent activities from occurring outside the project boundary.</p> <p>The colour coding system is communicated to site personnel via the project induction as well as through visual displays placed adjacent to the site compound. A clearing “hold point release” process is in place which includes the verification of project boundaries by a surveyor prior to release of the hold point</p>
	Clean water diversions.	<p>Clean water was being collected upstream and pumped downstream of the site to avoid erosion of disturbed areas.</p> <p>A temporary creek crossing was installed at Anzac Creek. Erosion controls including geofabric and mulch. One drainage crossing was observed to be impacted by the works (Anzac Creek) with a temporary diversion installed to maintain flows through the work site.</p>
	Management of ACM and PFAS/PFOA.	<p>PFAS/PFOA</p> <p>Environment Protection Licence (EPL # 21972) requires water quality monitoring to be undertaken for both Perfluorooctane sulphonate (PFOS) and Perfluoronoic acid (PFOA). Some PFOS and PFOA has been detected in water during the Audit period. In response to this, water contained within sediment basins was pumped out with a sucker truck and disposed off site with disposal records provided to the Auditor demonstrating the liquid has been taken to an EPA licenced facility (EPL 20797).</p> <p>It was noted during the Audit interview that a wastewater treatment unit was being procured to treat water captured within sediment basins prior to testing and discharge in accordance with the EPL.</p> <p>Asbestos</p> <p>During the Audit period there have been several unexpected asbestos finds that have been managed in accordance with the unexpected finds protocol. Evidence observed during the site inspection included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Separation and delineation of asbestos stockpiles • Asbestos and contaminated land advisory signage • Geofabric covering of asbestos stockpiles to prevent air borne fibre generation <p>Documentation provided to the Auditor demonstrating the proper management of asbestos included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident reports with details of corrective actions • Photographic evidence of asbestos finds • Material transportation dockets • Laboratory analysis reports

Organisation	Key Issues/Comments	Auditor Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asbestos materials clearance reports providing details of visual clearance inspections undertaken as well as details of air monitoring undertaken Notification of unexpected asbestos finds
	<p>Due to the public interest in this project, please review the Community Consultative Committee and Communication Strategy (conditions B1 to B7).</p>	<p>A detailed response to conditions B1- B7 are provided in the Audit Table (Appendix B) with key points summarised below:</p> <p>Community Consultative Committee</p> <p>A project website has been established and the minutes of CCC meetings are available on the website.</p> <p>An independent chair has been appointed to run the CCC meetings which are held quarterly.</p> <p>During the Audit period, two CCC meetings were held on 29/5/25 and the 20/2/25.</p> <p>Composition of the CCC includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Independent Chair Representatives of ESR, National Intermodal, Liverpool Council, and consultants of the Project team <p>General content of the CCC meetings included</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> National Intermodal Precinct Update (including the Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment and the disused Rail Spur Quarterly Environmental Report Complaints discussion Any other business An action log is kept monitor any actions arising from CCC meetings. <p>Community Communications Strategy</p> <p>Evidence of implementation of the community communications strategy included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project website Community newsletters (in six languages) Community notifications for out of hours works and construction road works Complaints registers CCC minutes Project updates Details of community initiatives Environmental management documents and reports prepared in accordance with the conditions of consent.

Organisation	Key Issues/Comments	Auditor Response
EPA	Contaminated land – asbestos and PFAS	<p>Asbestos</p> <p>During the Audit period there have been several unexpected asbestos finds that have been managed in accordance with the unexpected finds protocol. Evidence observed during the site inspection included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Separation and delineation of asbestos stockpiles • Asbestos and contaminated land advisory signage • Geofabric covering of asbestos stockpiles to prevent air borne fibre generation <p>Documentation provided to the Auditor demonstrating the proper management of asbestos included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident reports with details of corrective actions • Photographic evidence of asbestos finds • Material transportation dockets • Laboratory analysis reports • Asbestos materials clearance reports providing details of visual clearance inspections undertaken as well as details of air monitoring undertaken • Notification of unexpected asbestos finds
	Erosion and sediment controls	<p>Erosion and sediment controls were consistent with the “Blue Book” and included sediment basins, clean water diversions, geofabric lined drains and bunds. Polymer spray was applied to exposed batters to reduce the risk of erosion with a trailer mounted polymer dispensing unit available to stabilise exposed surfaces. In some areas grassed batters has been retained to prevent erosion.</p> <p>BMD has appointed an experienced CPESC to undertake monthly inspections of erosion and sediment controls and make recommendations to ensure compliance with the “Blue Book Requirements”. The BMD Environment team undertakes weekly inspections as well as pre- and post-rainfall inspections to ensure controls are fully functional prior to a wet weather event with corrective actions implemented following a rain event to ensure any repairs required are completed prior to the next wet weather event. Other records provided to the Auditor to demonstrate the implementation of the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan include dewatering permits as well as water quality monitoring results of sediment basins prior to discharge. Water quality records were compliant with EPA licence discharge criteria.</p> <p>One recommendation has been made as noted in Section 3.1 to install signage at each sediment basin discharge point to alert operators to undertake the necessary testing and obtain the correct “Permit to Pump” approvals prior to releasing water from any sediment basin</p> <p>PFAS</p> <p>Refer to above in relation to PFAS/PFOS management.</p>
	Quality of water being discharged from the site	An Environment Protection Licence (EPL # 21972) has been obtained for the construction phase.

Organisation	Key Issues/Comments	Auditor Response
		<p>Section 3 of the EPL sets limit conditions and concentration limits for the discharge of waters as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No visible oil and grease • Ph 6.5-8.5 • Turbidity < 50 NTU <p>Prior to the discharge from a sediment basin, the water is tested for the above parameters with a permit to discharge form completed.</p> <p>Pollution monitoring data relating to the EPL is provided on the project website in the form of a pollution monitoring data report. The Auditor review the monitoring data for the period from March 2025- September 2025 and notes that all results were within the required limits with sampling occurring on the following dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30 May 2025 • 7 June 2025 <p>Sampling for additional contaminants has also been undertaken for the following parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Copper (dissolved) • Nickel (dissolved) • PFOS • PFOA • Zinc <p>The Auditor notes that during the Audit period, there was no EPL discharge criteria for PFOS and PFAS, however a notice to vary EPL#21292 was issued by EPA on 21/10 which includes criteria for these pollutants.</p>
Liverpool City Council	In general, all the transport and noise related conditions in Conditions of Consents should be complied with. Any complaint is required to be handled and resolved as per the relevant consent conditions.	Noted. Refer to the Appendix C for the Auditors response to conditions relating to transport and noise. There have been no complaints relating to the Project during the Audit period.

Organisation	Key Issues/Comments	Auditor Response
	In addition, the conditions of approval (condition E28 - SSI 10053) for the MARW Project do require that within 12 months of the roads operation, noise measurements be undertaken to compare the 'actual noise performance' against the 'noise performance predicted' in the approvals documentation. This condition requires a final check that the noise identified within the planning approval documentation complies with the approval. An 'Operational Noise Compliance Report' is to be prepared to summarise these results and submitted to the Planning Secretary (condition E29 - SSI 10053) and made publicly available.	Noted. Condition E28 requires National Intermodal to measure operational noise to compare actual noise performance of the SSI within 12 months of project opening. Condition E29 requires the findings of the operational noise monitoring to be documented in an Operational Noise Compliance Report (OCNR) and to be made publicly available. These requirements are to be fulfilled following the commencement of operation of the project and are therefore not triggered at the time of this Audit.
	It is also noted that the proposed MAR is also to be classified as a state road and handed over to TfNSW prior to the completion.	Comments regarding road classification are noted, however the classification of roads is the role of TfNSW and is outside the scope of National Intermodal's role.

3.8 Actual Versus Predicted Impacts

The Auditor conducted a high-level review of the EIS to determine consistency of the actual and predicted impacts with the current impacts of the MARW Project. The EIS identified several key environmental risks with the potential to cause environmental impact as follows:

- Biodiversity
- Bushfire
- Noise and Vibration
- Contamination
- Water
- Historic heritage
- Aboriginal heritage
- Air quality
- Social
- Visual and landscape character.

In accordance with the conditions of approval, management plans have been prepared with appropriate resources applied to implement the plans, with independent appointments and technical specialists to check and monitor their implementation.

Given the degree of compliance with the CoA, the observations, Audit interviews and records reviews as part of the Audit, it may be reasonably concluded that the environmental impacts of construction activities to date are generally consistent with predictions made in the EIS.

3.9 Compliance with the Project Boundary

A high-level review of site maps presented in the Modification Report, the EIS and the Auditor's observations during the site inspection found that the construction works (noting the project boundary was surveyed and identified clearly with survey markers and boundary taping) are generally confined approved site boundaries.

However, as noted in Section 3.1, there has been a breach of the project boundary reported during the Audit period resulting in non-compliances with Conditions A1 and E3.

3.10 Key Strengths

Key strengths were identified during the Audit as follows:

- The planning, management and implementation of erosion and sediment controls appeared to be a high standard. Although not explicitly required by the Conditions of Consent, an experienced CPESC has been appointed to undertake regular site inspections, provide advice and review erosion and sediment control plans. Resources dedicated to environmental management include a field-based crew with site supervisors attending environmental inspections so identified actions and improvements can be addressed efficiently.

Appendix A DPHI Auditor Approval

Department of Planning and Environment



Our ref: SSI-10053-PA-32

██████████ Senior Manager Planning and Environment
NATIONAL INTERMODAL CORPORATION LIMITED
Level 33 1 o'connell street
Sydney New South Wales 2000
7/12/2023

Sent via the Major Projects Portal only

Subject: Moorebank Avenue Realignment - IEA Auditor Nomination

Dear ██████████

Reference is made to your post approval matter, SSI-10053-PA-32, request for the Planning Secretary's approval of suitably qualified, experienced, and independent person/s to conduct an Independent Audit of the Moorebank Avenue Realignment (Project), submitted as required by Schedule 2, Condition A34 of SSI-10053 as modified (the Consent) to NSW Department of Planning and Environment (NSW Planning) on 1 December 2023.

NSW Planning has reviewed the independent auditor nominations and based on the information you have provided is satisfied that the proposed person/s are suitably qualified, experienced, and independent.

In accordance with Schedule 2, Condition A34 of the Consent and the NSW Planning, *Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements* (2020) (IAPAR), as nominee of the Planning Secretary, I endorse the following independent audit team:

- ██████████
- ██████████

Please ensure this correspondence is appended to the Independent Audit Report.

The Independent Audit must be prepared, undertaken, and finalised in accordance with the conditions of Consent and the IAPAR. Failure to meet these requirements will require revision and resubmission.

Please note that this is an agreement for the audit team listed above for the project's construction stage only. If there are any changes to the approved audit organisation, and/or audit team member/s a new request must be submitted and agreed to by the Planning Secretary prior to commencement of the relevant audit.

Department of Planning and Environment




For the operational phase of the project, you must submit a request for a different audit organisation and team to be approved by the Planning Secretary, where required under the IAPAR and the Consent.

NSW Planning reserves the right to request an alternate auditor or audit team for future audits.

Should you wish to discuss the matter further, please contact me on [REDACTED]
compliance@planning.nsw.gov.au

Yours sincerely



A/ Team Leader Compliance - Metro

As nominee of the Planning Secretary

Appendix B Auditor Declaration

Project name	Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works
Consent Number	SSI 10053
Description of Project	The construction of a multi lane road, and ancillary development including, but not limited to, access roads, construction compounds, flood mitigation works, pedestrian and cycling facilities, road modification works, signage, stormwater management, signalised intersections and utilities infrastructure.
Project Address	Moorebank Avenue, Moorebank
Proponent	Moorebank Intermodal Company Limited
Date	3 November 2025

I declare that I have undertaken the Independent Audit and prepared the contents of the attached Independent Audit Report and to the best of my knowledge:

I declare that

- (i) the Audit has been undertaken in accordance with relevant condition(s) of consent and the Independent Audit Compliance Requirements (Department 2019);
- (ii) the findings of the Audit are reported truthfully, accurately and completely;
- (iii) I have exercised due diligence and professional judgement in conducting the Audit;
- (iv) I have acted professionally, objectively and in an unbiased manner;
- (v) I am not related to any proponent, owner or operator of the project neither as an employer, business partner, employee, or by sharing a common employer, having a contractual arrangement outside the Audit, or by relationship as spouse, partner, sibling, parent, or child;
- (vi) I do not have any pecuniary interest in the Audited project, including where there is a reasonable likelihood or expectation of financial gain or loss to me or spouse, partner, sibling, parent, or child;
- (vii) neither I nor my employer have provided consultancy services for the Audited project that were subject to this Audit except as otherwise declared to the Department prior to the Audit; and
- (viii) I have not accepted, nor intend to accept any inducement, commission, gift or any other benefit (apart from payment for Auditing services) from any proponent, owner or operator of the project, their employees or any interested party. I have not knowingly allowed, nor intend to allow my colleagues to do so

Notes:

- a) Under section 10.6 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 a person must not include false or misleading information (or provide information for inclusion in) in a report of monitoring data or an Audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an Audit. If the person knows that the information is false or misleading in a material respect. The proponent of an approved project must not fail to include information in (or provide information for inclusion in) a report of monitoring data or an Audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an Audit if the person knows that the information is materially relevant to the monitoring or Audit. The maximum penalty is, in the case of corporation, \$1 million and for an individual, \$250,000; and
- b) The *Crimes Act 1900* contains other offences relating to the false and misleading information; section 307Bv (giving false or misleading information – maximum penalty 2 years imprisonment or 200 penalty units, or both).

Name of Auditor

[Redacted]

Signature

[Redacted]

Qualification

BE Civil, M Environmental Management

Company:

Trigalana Environmental Pty Ltd

Appendix C Audit Table

Part A – ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
GENERAL				
A1	<p>The Proponent must carry out the SSI in accordance with the terms of this approval and generally in accordance with the:</p> <p>(a) <i>Moorebank Avenue Realignment Environmental Impact Statement Volume 1 and Volume 2</i> prepared by EMM for Sydney Intermodal Terminal Alliance dated March 2021; and</p> <p>(b) <i>Moorebank Avenue Realignment Response to Submissions</i> prepared for Sydney Intermodal Terminal Alliance dated May 2021</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25 • Approval Conditions of Consent – Responsibility Matrix (MARW) • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 16/4/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 30/4/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 14/5/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 28/5/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 11/6/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 25/6/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 16/4/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 09/07/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 23/07/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 06/08/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 20/08/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 03/09/25 • Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 17/09/25 	<p>The project is being delivered generally in accordance with the terms and conditions of this approval and the environmental assessment documentation</p> <p>A comprehensive compliance tracking program has been prepared and implemented covering the NSW Conditions of Consent (these conditions) in addition to REMMs, Commonwealth EPBC Conditions, the EPL and management plan revision status.</p> <p>There was no formal consistency assessments prepared during the Audit period.</p> <p>ER inspections are undertaken fortnightly with no non-compliances identified (except for the clearing event) by the ER reports provided to the Auditor.</p> <p>As noted in Conditions A41 and E3, a non-compliance was reported to DPHI on 5/6/25 relating to a clearing incident. On 21/8/25, DPHI issued a warning letter to BMD noting a breach of Conditions A1 and Condition E3 have occurred.</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>Further details of the noncompliance, Auditors observations and recommendations are provided in Section 3.1</p>	Non - Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
A2	The SSI must only be carried out in accordance with all procedures, commitments, preventative actions, performance criteria and mitigation measures set out in the documents listed in Condition A1 unless otherwise specified in, or required under, this approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25 • Documents reviewed by the Auditor and noted in this report. 	As noted in this Audit report, sufficient evidence was provided to the Auditor to demonstrate the effective implementation of environmental management plans and compliance with this condition.	Compliant
A3	<p>In the event of an inconsistency between:</p> <p>(a) The terms of this approval and any document listed in Condition A1, the terms of this approval will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency; and</p> <p>(b) Any document listed in Condition A1, the most recent document will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p><i>For the purpose of this condition, there will be an inconsistency between a term of this approval and any document if it is not possible to comply with both the term and the document.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>As noted in condition A1, there were no formal consistency assessments prepared during the Audit period.</p> <p>No inconsistencies identified during the Audit period.</p>	Compliant
A4	<p>The Proponent must comply with all written requirements or directions of the Planning Secretary, including in relation to:</p> <p>a) The environmental performance of the SSI;</p> <p>b) Any document or correspondence in relation to the SSI;</p> <p>c) Any notification given to the Planning Secretary under the terms of this approval;</p> <p>d) Any Audit of the construction or operation of the SSI;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	As noted in the Audit interview, there were no written directions received from the Planning Secretary during the Audit period	Not triggered

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>e) The terms of this approval and compliance with the terms of this approval (including anything required to be done under this approval);</p> <p>f) The carrying out of any additional monitoring or mitigation measures; and</p> <p>g) In respect of ongoing monitoring and management obligations, compliance with an updated or revised version of a guideline, protocol, Australian Standard or policy required to be complied with under this approval.</p>			
A5	<p>Where the terms of this approval require a document or monitoring program to be prepared or a review to be undertaken in consultation with identified parties, evidence of the consultation undertaken must be submitted to the Planning Secretary with the document. The evidence must include:</p> <p>a) Documentation of the engagement with the party identified in the condition of approval that has occurred before submitting the document for approval;</p> <p>b) A log of the dates of engagement or attempted engagement with the identified party;</p> <p>c) Documentation of the follow-up with the identified party where engagement has not occurred to confirm that they do not wish to engage or have not attempted to engage after repeated invitations;</p> <p>d) Outline of the issues raised by the identified party and how they have been addressed; and</p> <p>e) A description of the outstanding issues raised by the identified party and the reasons why they have not been addressed.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Waste and Resource Management Plan, March 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Soil and Water Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Traffic and Transport Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Heritage Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Biodiversity Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Air Quality Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Bushfire Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Contamination Management Plan, March 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Environmental Management Plan, May 2023. Letter from OptimE to National 	<p>As noted in IA # 1, Management Plans include a description of the consultation undertaken with relevant stakeholders.</p> <p>During the Audit period, Environmental Management Plans have been modified to accommodate the Environment Protection Licence. The changes were minor and were endorsed by the ER on the 21/8/25. The ER noted the changes were of an administrative nature, therefore no additional consultation required regarding the changes.</p>	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		Intermodal titled "SSI10053 – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) Environmental Representative (ER) – Approved amendments to the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and associated sub plans and monitoring programs" dated 21/8/25		
A6	A strategy, plan or program (or the like) required by the terms of this approval may be combined with any other strategy(ies), plan(s) or program(s) with the agreement of the Planning Secretary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Environmental risk assessment (Condition A19), combination of plans (Condition A6) and endorsement of nominated plans by the Environmental Representative (Condition C8)" dated 2/11/22 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Risk Assessment for Moorebank Avenue Realignment Work (Condition A19 of SSI 10053" dated 22/11/22. 	<p>DPHI have approved the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion of the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program into the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan Inclusion of the unexpected finds procedure for contamination into the contamination management plan Consolidation of the water management plan, erosion and sediment control plan, soil and water management plan, flood emergency response plan and surface water monitoring program into a construction soil and water management plan The inclusion of the spoil management plan into the construction waste and resource management plan. 	Compliant
A7	This approval lapses five years after the date on which it is granted unless work has physically commenced on or before that date.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Infrastructure Approval – SSI 10053 dated 14/10/21. 	The project has commenced within the 5-year timeframe as required by this condition.	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
A8	Despite Condition A7, work under this approval can only commence while SVPA-2018-9696 is in force.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Interview 11/9/25 Planning Agreements Liverpool City Council (nsw.gov.au). 	SVPA-2018-9696 is a voluntary planning agreement with Liverpool Council, TfNSW and Qube Re Services Pty Ltd. The SVPA is available on the Liverpool City Council Website and is still in force.	Compliant
A9	Signalisation of intersections as described in Section 5.3.5 of the EIS referenced in Condition A1 is not approved unless agreed to by TfNSW following the outcomes of the process outlined in Condition E57.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 18/03/25 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Traffic Assessment Report, Anson Group, dated 02/06/23. 	Installation of traffic signals had not commenced at the time of the Audit	Not triggered
A10	References in the terms of this approval to any guideline, protocol, Australian Standard or policy are to such guidelines, protocols, Australian Standards or policies in the form they are in as at the date of this approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Relevant standards and guidelines have been referenced in the CEMP and subplans	Compliant
A11	Any document that must be submitted or action taken within a timeframe specified in or under the terms of this approval may be submitted or undertaken within a later timeframe agreed with the Planning Secretary. This condition does not apply to the written notification required in respect of an incident under Condition A40.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Email from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "SSI 10053 – Independent Environmental Audit – Extension Request" dated 11/11/24 	As noted in IA #2, approval was obtained from DPHI to delay the second Independent Environmental Audit as there were limited site activities being undertaken (limited to geotechnical drilling/contamination investigations) with minimal impacts occurring.	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
STAGING				
A12	The SSI may be constructed and/or operated in stages. Where staging is proposed, a Staging Report (for either or both construction and operation as the case may be) must be prepared. The Staging Report must be endorsed by the ER and then submitted to the Planning Secretary for information no later than one month before the commencement of construction of the first of the proposed stages of construction (or if only staged operation is proposed, one month before the commencement of operation of the first of the proposed stages of operation) or no later than one month before the lodgement of any CEMP or CEMP subplan for the first of the proposed stages of construction or the first of the proposed stages of operation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Construction is not staged; therefore, a staging report is not required.	Not triggered
A13	Despite Condition A12, the existing Moorebank Avenue must remain open to through traffic until construction of the realigned Moorebank Avenue is completed in its entirety and open to all traffic. Work to enable the restricted access to MLP may commence if it does not result in impacts to through traffic.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Moorebank Avenue was observed to be open to traffic during the Audit site inspection.	Compliant
A14	<p>The Staging Report must:</p> <p>If staged construction is proposed, set out how the construction of the whole of the SSI will be staged, including details of work and other activities to be carried out in each stage and the general timing of when construction of each stage will commence and finish;</p> <p>If staged operation is proposed, set out how the operation of the whole of the SSI will be staged, including details of work and other activities to be carried out in each stage and the general timing of when operation of each stage will commence and finish (if relevant);</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Construction is not staged; therefore, a staging report is not required.	Not triggered

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>Specify how compliance with conditions will be achieved across and between each of the stages of the SSI;</p> <p>Set out mechanisms for managing any cumulative impacts arising from the proposed staging; and</p> <p>For the purposes of informing Conditions C3, C9 and 0, include an assessment of the predicted level of environmental risk and potential level of community concern posed by the construction activities required to construct each stage of the SSI.</p> <p>With respect to (e) above, the risk assessment must use an appropriate process consistent with AS/NZS ISO 31000: 2018 Risk Management – Guidelines.</p> <p>Note</p> <p>A Staging Report may reflect the staged construction and operation of the project through geographical activities, temporal activities or activity-based staging.</p> <p>The risk matrix must reflect the stages of construction identified in the Staging Report.</p>			
A15	The SSI must be staged in accordance with the Staging Report where one is prepared.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Construction is not staged; therefore, a staging report is not required.	Not triggered
A16	Where staging is proposed, the terms of this approval that apply or are relevant to the work or activities to be carried out in a specific stage must be complied with at the relevant time for that stage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Environmental risk assessment (Condition A19), combination of plans (Condition A6) and endorsement of nominated plans by the Environmental Representative (Condition C6)" 	Construction is not staged; therefore, a staging report is not required.	Not triggered

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		dated 2/11/22.		
A17	Where changes are proposed to the staging of construction or operation, a revised Staging Report must be prepared, endorsed by the ER and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information no later than one month before the proposed change in the staging.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Construction is not staged; therefore, a staging report is not required.	Not triggered
A18	Where changes are proposed to the risk assessment related to the staging of construction or operation, a revised Staging Report or updated risk assessment where Condition A19 applies, must be submitted to the Planning Secretary one (1) month before the lodgement of any CEMP or CEMP sub-plan associated with that stage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Construction is not staged; therefore, a staging report is not required.	Not triggered
A19	If staging is not proposed, a risk assessment, consistent with the requirements of Condition A14(e) must be prepared for the purposes of informing Conditions C3, C9 and 0. The risk assessment must be endorsed by the ER and then submitted to the Planning Secretary no later than one month before the commencement of construction or no later than one month before the lodgement of any CEMP or CEMP subplan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Letter from Optime E to GHD titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project (MARW) Environmental Risk Assessment Environmental Representative ER Endorsement" dated 1/11/22. Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Risk Assessment for Moorebank Avenue Realignment Work (Condition A19_ of SSI 10053" dated 22/11/22. 	The Risk Assessment was endorsed by the Environmental Representative on 1/11/22 and submitted to the Planning Secretary on 02/11/22. The Planning Secretary acknowledged receipt of the Risk Assessment on 22/11/22.	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
A20	<p>The Proponent may submit any strategies, plans or programs required by this approval on progressive basis.</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. While any strategy, plan or program may be submitted on a progressive basis, the Proponent must ensure that operations on site are covered by suitable strategies, plans or programs at all times; and 2. If the submission of a strategy, plan or program is to be staged, then the relevant strategy, plan or program must clearly describe the specific stage to which the strategy, plan or program applies, the relationship of this stage to future stages, and the trigger for updating the strategy, plan or program. 3. The staged submission of strategies, plans or programs may reflect the construction and operation of the project through geographical activities, temporal activities or activity-based staging. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>Approved management plans were in place prior to the commencement of construction.</p>	Not triggered
ANCILLARY FACILITIES				
A21	<p>Ancillary facilities that are not identified by description and location in the documents listed in Condition A1 can only be established and used in each case if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) They are located within or immediately adjacent to the construction boundary; and (b) They are not located next to sensitive land use(s) (including where an access road is between the facility and the receiver), unless the landowner and occupier have given written acceptance to the carrying out of the relevant facility in the proposed location; and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Environmental Management Plan, SSI – 10053, 22/1/25 • Moorebank Avenue Realignment, Environmental Impact Statement Volume 1, March 2021 	<p>As observed during the Audit site inspection, the Contractors Construction compound was established in an existing concrete and paved hard stand area and away from any sensitive receivers. The Auditor reviewed the EIS and the CEMP and noted that the location is consistent with both the EIS (Figure 5.1) and the CEMP (Figure 2.2). There were no ancillary compounds observed during the site inspection,</p>	Not triggered

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(c) They have no impact on heritage items or heritage objects (including areas of archaeological sensitivity), threatened species, populations or ecological communities beyond the impacts approved under the terms of this approval; and</p> <p>(d) The establishment and use of the facility can be carried out and managed within the outcomes set out in the terms of this approval, including in relation to environmental, social and economic impacts.</p>			
SITE ESTABLISHMENT				
A22	<p>Before establishment of a construction ancillary facility (excluding minor construction ancillary facilities established under Condition A24), the Proponent must prepare an Ancillary Site Establishment Management Plan which outlines the environmental management practices and procedures to be implemented for the establishment of the construction ancillary facilities. The Ancillary Site Establishment Management Plan must be prepared in consultation with the relevant council and government agencies. The Plan must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval one month before the establishment of any construction ancillary facilities. The Ancillary Site Establishment Management Plan must detail the management of the construction ancillary facilities and include:</p> <p>(a) A description of activities to be undertaken during establishment of the construction ancillary facility (including scheduling and duration of work to be undertaken at the site);</p> <p>(b) Figures illustrating the proposed operational site layout and the location of the closest sensitive land user(s);</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>As observed during the Audit site inspection, the Contractors Construction compound had been established in an existing concrete and paved hard stand area and away from any sensitive receivers. The Auditor reviewed the EIS and the CEMP and noted that the location is consistent with both the EIS (Figure 5.1) and the CEMP (Figure 2.2)</p> <p>As the CEMP was approved by DPHI on 6/4/23, an Ancillary Site Establishment Plan is not required.</p>	Not triggered

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(c) A program for ongoing analysis of the key environmental risks arising from the site establishment activities described in subsection (a) of this condition, including an initial risk assessment undertaken before the commencement of site establishment work;</p> <p>(d) Details of how the site establishment activities described in subsection (a) of this condition will be carried out to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Meet the performance outcomes stated in the documents listed in Condition A1, and (ii) Manage the risks identified in the risk analysis undertaken in subsection (c) of this condition; and <p>(e) A program for monitoring the performance outcomes, including a program for construction noise monitoring.</p> <p>Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing individual Ancillary Site Establishment Management Plans for each construction ancillary facility.</p> <p>Note: -This condition only applies to the establishment of ancillary facilities identified and assessed in the documents listed in Condition A1 and is only needed before a CEMP(s) which includes measures to manage use of the relevant construction ancillary facility is approved.</p>			
A23	<p>A construction ancillary facility established under Condition A22 must not be used until the CEMP required by Condition C1, relevant CEMP Sub-plans required by Condition C6 and relevant Construction Monitoring Programs required by Condition C12 have been approved by the Planning Secretary.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>As observed during the Audit site inspection, the Contractors Construction compound had been established in an existing concrete and paved hard stand area and away from any sensitive receivers.</p> <p>The Auditor reviewed the EIS and the CEMP and noted that the location is consistent with both the EIS (Figure 5.1) and the CEMP (Figure 2.2)</p>	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			As the CEMP and subplans were approved by DPHI on 6/4/23, compliance with this Condition has been achieved.	
A24	<p>Lunch sheds, office sheds, portable toilet facilities, car parking, material storage, and the like, can be established and used where they have been assessed in the documents listed in Condition A1 or satisfy the following criteria:</p> <p>(a) Are located within or adjacent to the construction boundary; and</p> <p>(b) Have been assessed by the ER to have -</p> <p>(i) Minimal amenity impacts to surrounding residences and businesses, after consideration of matters such as compliance with the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009), traffic and access impacts, dust and odour impacts, and visual (including light spill) impacts, and</p> <p>(ii) Minimal environmental impact with respect to waste management and flooding, and</p> <p>(iii) No impacts on biodiversity, soil and water, and heritage items or Aboriginal objects beyond those already approved under other terms of this approval</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Letter from OptimE to BMD titled "Approval of Minor Construction Ancillary Facilities – Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053)" dated 23/5/25 • Letter from OptimE to BMD titled "Approval of Minor Construction Ancillary Facilities – Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053)" dated 22/5/25 • Minor Construction Ancillary Facility Application # 1, BMD dated 22/5/25 • Minor Construction Ancillary Facility Application # 3, BMD dated 09/07/25 	<p>As observed during the Audit site inspection, the Contractors Construction compound had been established in an existing concrete and paved hard stand area and away from any sensitive receivers. The Auditor reviewed the EIS and the CEMP and noted that the location is consistent with both the EIS (Figure 5.1) and the CEMP (Figure 2.2)</p> <p>As the CEMP and subplans were approved by DPHI on 6/4/23, compliance with this Condition has been achieved</p> <p>During the Audit period, the ER approved two applications for minor construction ancillary facilities on 22/5/25 and 23/5/25. The ER letters of endorsement and the Minor Construction Ancillary Facilities application were provided to the Auditor for review.</p>	Compliant
INDEPENDENT APPOINTMENTS				
A25	<p>Independent Appointments required by the terms of this approval must have regard to Seeking approval from the Department for the appointment of independent experts (DPIE, 2020) and hold current membership of a relevant professional body, unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit Interview 11/9/25 • Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) – Nomination of Environmental Representative and alternate Environmental Representative" dated 21/7/22 	<p>Optim- E have been appointed as the independent Environmental Representative. Trigalana Environmental has been appointed as the Independent Environmental Auditor (IEA). Both the Environmental Representative and the IEA have current memberships of professional bodies with their appointments approved by DPHI.</p>	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Nomination of environmental Representative for Moorebank Avenue Realignment" dated 11/8/22. Letter from DPHO to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment, Appointment of IEA" dated 7/12/23 	There has been no change to the ER or the IEA during the Audit period	
A26	<p>The Planning Secretary may at any time commission an Audit of how an Independent Appointment has exercised their functions. The Proponent must:</p> <p>(a) Facilitate and assist the Planning Secretary in any such Audit; and</p> <p>(b) Make it a term of their engagement of an Independent Appointment that the Independent Appointment facilitate and assist the Planning Secretary in any such Audit.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	The Planning Secretary has not commissioned an Audit of how an Independent Appointment has exercised their functions.	Not triggered
A27	<p>The Planning Secretary may withdraw its approval of an Independent Appointment should they consider the Independent Appointment has not exercised their functions in accordance with this approval.</p> <p>Note: Conditions A26 and A27 apply to all Independent Appointments including the ER.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	The Planning Secretary has not withdrawn their approval of any independent appointment.	Not triggered

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
ENVIRONMENT REPRESENTATIVE				
A28	Work must not commence until an Environmental Representative (ER) has been nominated by the Proponent and approved by the Planning Secretary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) – Nomination of Environmental Representative and alternate Environmental Representative" dated 21/7/22. Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Nomination of environmental Representative for Moorebank Avenue Realignment" dated 11/8/22. 	The ER was approved by the Department on the 11/8/22. Low impact work did not commence until the 7/9/23.	Compliant
A29	The Planning Secretary's approval of an ER must be sought no later than one month before the commencement of work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) – Nomination of Environmental Representative and alternate Environmental Representative" dated 21/7/22. Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Nomination of environmental Representative for Moorebank Avenue Realignment" dated 11/8/22. 	The ER was approved by the Department on the 11/8/22. Low impact work did not commence until the 7/9/23.	Compliant
A30	The Proponent may engage more than one ER for the SSI, in which case the functions to be exercised by an ER under the terms of this approval may be carried out by any ER that is approved by the Planning Secretary for the purposes of the SSI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) – Nomination of Environmental Representative and alternate Environmental Representative" dated 21/7/22 	National Intermodal have engaged the services of an alternate ER to fulfill the role of ER should the ER be unavailable.	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Nomination of Environmental Representative for Moorebank Avenue Realignment" dated 11/8/22. 		
A31	<p>For the duration of work or as agreed with the Planning Secretary, the approved ER must:</p> <p>(a) Receive and respond to communication from the Planning Secretary in relation to the environmental performance of the SSI;</p> <p>(b) Consider and inform the Planning Secretary on matters specified in the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(c) Consider and recommend to the Proponent any improvements that may be made to work practices to avoid or minimise adverse impact to the environment and to the community;</p> <p>(d) Review documents identified in Conditions A12, A22, C1, C6 and C12 and any other documents that are identified by the Planning Secretary, for consistency with requirements in or under this approval and if so:</p> <p>(i) Make a written statement to this effect before submission of such documents to the Planning Secretary (if those documents are required to be approved by the Planning Secretary); or</p> <p>(ii) Make a written statement to this effect before the implementation of such documents (if those documents are required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary / Department for information or are not required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary/Department);</p> <p>(iii) Provide a written statement/submission via the Major Projects portal to the Planning Secretary advising the documents have been reviewed for consistency by the ER;</p> <p>(e) Regularly monitor the implementation of the requirements of documents listed in Conditions A12, A22, C1, C6 and C12 and the terms of this approval;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Interview 11/9/25. Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 16/4/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 30/4/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 14/5/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 28/5/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 11/6/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 25/6/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 16/4/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 09/07/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 23/07/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 06/08/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 20/08/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 03/09/25 Environmental Representative Site Inspection Report, dated 17/09/25 DPHI Portal acknowledgement email dated 7/4/25 DPHI Portal acknowledgement email dated 5/6/25 DPHI Portal acknowledgement email dated 7/7/25 	<p>The Environmental Representative's involvement in the project to dated has included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preparation of monthly ER reports ER site inspections and environmental reporting Review and endorsement of the CEMP and specialist subplans Review and endorsement of the risk assessment undertaken in accordance with Condition A19. <p>Confirmation of the ER's involvement was provided in the form of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ER letters of endorsement for minor amendments to the CEMP to reflect the requirements of the Environment Protection Licence Site Inspection Reports ER approvals for minor construction ancillary facilities (in accordance with Condition A24) Monthly ER reports to DPHI <p>ER inspections are undertaken fortnightly with the site supervisor in attendance, evidence was provided to the Auditor demonstrating the closure of ER actions.</p>	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(f) As may be requested by the Planning Secretary, help plan or attend Audits of the development commissioned by the Department including scoping Audits, programming Audits, briefings and site visits, but not independent environmental Audits required under Condition A35 of this approval;</p> <p>(g) As may be requested by the Planning Secretary, assist in the resolution of community complaints;</p> <p>(h) Consider or assess the impacts of minor construction ancillary facilities comprising lunch sheds, office sheds, portable toilet facilities and materials storage, as required by Condition A24 of this approval;</p> <p>(i) Consider minor amendments to be made to the Ancillary Site Establishment Management Plan, CEMP, CEMP Sub-plans and monitoring programs without increasing impacts to nearby receivers or that comprise updating or are of an administrative nature, and are consistent with the terms of this approval and the CEMP, CEMP Sub-plans and monitoring programs approved by the Planning Secretary and, if satisfied such amendment is necessary, approve the amendment. This does not include modification to the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(j) Prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, an Environmental Representative Monthly Report providing the information set out in the Environmental Representative Protocol under the heading "Environmental Representative Monthly Reports." The Environmental Representative Monthly Report must be submitted within seven days following the end of each month for the duration of the ER's engagement for the SSI, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary; and</p> <p>(k) Make recommendations to the Planning Secretary that the activities reliant on the definition of Low Impact Works are consistent with the definition.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Letter from OptimE to National Intermodal titled "SSI10053 – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) Environmental Representative (ER) – Approved amendments to the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and associated sub plans and monitoring programs" dated 21/8/25• Letter from Optime E to DPHI titled "Environmental Representative (ER) Monthly Report for April 2025 Project SSI 10053 – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW)" dated 6/5/25• Email from BMD to OptimE titled "250430 MARW ER Inspection" dated 9/5/25• Email from BMD to OptimE titled "250528 MARW ER Inspection" dated 4/6/25• Email from BMD to OptimE titled "250430 MARW ER Inspection" dated 20/6/25• Email from BMD to OptimE titled "250611 MARW ER Inspection" dated 9/5/25• Email from BMD to OptimE titled "250625 MARW ER Inspection" dated 9/5/25		

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
A32	<p>The Proponent must provide the ER with documentation requested by the ER in order for the ER to perform their functions specified in Condition A31 (including preparation of the ER monthly report), as well as:</p> <p>(a) The complaints register (to be provided on a weekly basis or as requested); and</p> <p>(b) A copy of any assessment carried out by the Proponent of whether proposed work is consistent with the approval (which must be provided to the ER before the commencement of the subject work).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ER Audit Interview.23/10/25 	<p>The ER confirmed they have been provided with the necessary documentation for them to perform their role in accordance with the CoA.</p> <p>Evidence was provided to the Auditor demonstrating issue of the complaints register to the ER.</p>	Compliant
NOTIFICATION OF COMMENCEMENT				
A33	<p>The Department must be notified in writing of the dates of commencement of construction and operation at least one month before those dates.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Interview 24/01/24 Email from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "MARW (SSI 10053) – Informing of Construction Commencement" dated 28/8/23. 	<p>DPHI were formally notified of the commencement of construction by email on 28/8/23.</p>	Compliant
AUDITING				
A34	<p>Proposed independent Auditors must be agreed to in writing by the Planning Secretary before the commencement of an Independent Audit. This condition does not apply to the engagement of Auditors required under Condition E58.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment – IEA Auditor Nomination" dated 7/2/23. 	<p>DPHI approved the nominated Auditors on 7/2/23.</p>	Compliant
A35	<p>Independent Audits of the SSI must be conducted and carried out in accordance with the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (DPIE, 2020).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Independent DPHI Compliance Audit, SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Rev 0 dated 26/2/24 (Audit #1) 	<p>Audits are being undertaken in accordance with the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (DPIE, 2020) as required by this condition.</p>	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Independent DPHI Compliance Audit, SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Rev 0 dated 10/5/25 (Audit #2) This Audit report. 		
A36	The Planning Secretary may require the initial and subsequent Independent Audits to be undertaken at different times to those specified above, upon giving at least four weeks' notice (or timing as stipulated by the Planning Secretary) to the Proponent of the date upon which the Audit must be commenced.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. 	The Planning Secretary has not requested Audits to be undertaken at different times to those above.	Not triggered
A37	<p>In accordance with the specific requirements in the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (DPE, 2020), the Proponent must:</p> <p>(a) Review and respond to each Independent Audit Report prepared under Condition A35 or Condition A36;</p> <p>(b) Submit the response to the Planning Secretary; and</p> <p>(c) Make each Independent Audit Report and response to it publicly available 60 days after submission to the Planning Secretary, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25 Independent DPHI Compliance Audit, SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Rev 0 dated 26/2/24 (Audit #1) Independent DPHI Compliance Audit, SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Rev 0 dated 10/5/25 (Audit #2) Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – SSI 10053 Response to Independent Environmental Audit #1 dated 3/3/24 Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – SSI 10053 Response to Independent Environmental Audit #1 dated 14/3/24 	<p>The Proponent's response to Independent Audit # 2 was issued to DPHI on within the timeframe required by this condition.</p> <p>All Independent Audits and the Proponents responses to submissions are provided on the Project website.</p>	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – SSI 10053 Response to Independent Environmental Audit #2 dated 14/5/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment (MAR) Archives Moorebank Intermodal Precinct 		
A38	Independent Audit Reports and the Proponent's response to Audit findings must be submitted to the Planning Secretary within two months of undertaking the independent Audit site inspection as outlined in the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (DPIE, 2020).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – SSI 10053 Response to Independent Environmental Audit #1 dated 14/3/24 Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – SSI 10053 Response to Independent Environmental Audit #1 dated 3/3/24 Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – SSI 10053 Response to Independent Environmental Audit #1 dated 14/5/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment (MAR) Archives Moorebank Intermodal Precinct 	The proponent's response to Independent Audit # 2 was issued to DPHI on within the timeframe required by this condition.	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
A39	Notwithstanding the requirements of the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements (DPIE, 2020), the Planning Secretary may approve a request for ongoing independent operational Audits to be ceased, where it has been demonstrated to the Planning Secretary's satisfaction that independent operational Audits have demonstrated operational compliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement, not triggered.	Not triggered
INCIDENT AND NON-COMPLIANCE NOTIFICATION AND REPORTING				
A40	The Planning Secretary must be notified via the Major Projects website immediately after the Proponent becomes aware of an incident. The notification must identify the SSI (including the application number and the name of the SSI if it has one) and set out the location and nature of the incident.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. BMD Incident Register up to date BMD Incident Reporting (INC_5278) 	The Auditor reviewed the incident register and incident reports relevant to the Audit period. Incidents related to unexpected finds for asbestos, PFAS minor fuel spills and mud tracking. The incident register includes an assessment of the potential of the incident with no high potential incidents reported.	Not triggered
A41	Subsequent notification of an incident must be given and reports submitted in accordance with the requirements set out in APPENDIX B.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. 	No incidents have been reported to DPHI to during the Audit period.	Not triggered
A42	The Planning Secretary must be notified via the Major Projects website within seven days after the Proponent becomes aware of any non-compliance. The notification must identify the SSI (including the application number and the name of the SSI if it has one), set out the condition/s that is non-compliant, the nature of the breach; the reason for the non-compliance (if known) and what actions have been, or will be, undertaken to address the non-compliance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. Email from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "SSI 10053 – Notification of Non-Compliance" dated 5/6/25 "Warning – Vegetation clearing outside construction boundary – SSI 10053" dated 22/8/25 Letter from DPHI to BMD titled "Warning Letter- Breach of Section 4.2 (1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979" dated 21/8/25 	<p>One non-compliance was reported to DPHI during the Audit period for a clearing incident that occurred outside the project boundary that was identified on the 22/5/25.</p> <p>The notification was made on 5/6/25 noting the date of the notification was within 7 days of BMD becoming aware of the non-compliance. The notification included the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identification of the SSI and the name of the SSI Reasons for the non-compliance Proposed corrective actions 	Compliant

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			<p>A Warning letter was subsequently issued by DPHI relating to the non-compliance noting breaches of Conditions A1 and E3 have occurred.</p> <p>Refer to Auditors response to Conditions A1 and A3 for further information.</p>	
A43	A non-compliance which has been notified as an incident does not need to also be notified as a non-compliance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. 	Noted. Refer to Auditors response to Condition A40 regarding the reporting of incidents during the Audit period	Compliant
IDENTIFICATION OF WORKFORCE AND COMPOUNDS				
A44	The SSI name; application number; telephone number, postal address and email address required under Condition B9 of this approval must be made available on-site boundary fencing/ hoarding at each ancillary facility before the commencement of construction. This information must also be provided on the website required under Condition B13 of this approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site inspection 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment (MAR) Archives Moorebank Intermodal Precinct 	<p>A site notice was provided at the site entry gate with the required information.</p> <p>The Information was also provided on the project website in accordance with this condition.</p>	Compliant
A45	The Proponent may subdivide land for the purposes of, and otherwise in connection with, the Moorebank Avenue Realignment project. Prior to obtaining a Subdivision Certificate, the Proponent is required to submit a final subdivision plan(s) to the Planning Secretary for information. The subdivision for the dedication of the Moorebank Avenue Realignment project as a public road in accordance with the Roads Act 1993 is to being generally consistent with any Land Dedication Plan(s) approved under the TfNSW Work Authorisation Deed (WAD).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modification of Infrastructure Approval, SSI-10053-MOD-1: Future subdivision required for the purpose of, or in connection with, the Project. 	National Intermodal noted that several subdivisions are envisaged, however there have been no subdivisions undertaken during the Audit period, therefore not triggered.	Not triggered

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
COMMUNITY INFORMATION, CONSULTATION, AND INVOLVEMENT				
B1	Before the commencement of construction, a Community Consultative Committee (CCC) must be established for the development in accordance with the Department's Community Consultative Committee Guidelines: State Significant Projects (2019). The CCC must begin to exercise functions in accordance with such guidelines before the commencement of construction and continue to do so for the duration of construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moorebank Avenue Realignment (MAR) Archives Moorebank Intermodal Precinct • CCC Meeting # 29 Minutes 290525 • CCC Meeting # 28 Minutes 0509250525 	<p>As noted below in condition B2, DPHI have approved the expansion of the Community Consultation Committee (CCC).</p> <p>A project website has been established and the minutes of CCC meetings are available on the website as well as other relevant information including the complaints register.</p> <p>During the Audit period, two CCC meetings were held on 29/5/25 and the 20/2/25.</p> <p>An independent chair has been appointed to run the CCC meetings which are held quarterly.</p> <p>Composition of the CCC includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Independent Chair • Representatives of ESR, National Intermodal, Liverpool Council, and consultants of the Project team <p>General content of the CCC meetings included</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Intermodal Precinct Update (including the Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment and the disused Rail Spur • Quarterly Environmental Report • Complaints discussion • Any other business • An action log is kept monitor any actions arising from CCC meetings. 	Compliant

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
B2	<p>The Planning Secretary may consider a request to expand an existing CCC for the MLP to cover the development and to satisfy Condition B1.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25 Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053) – Request under condition B2 for expansion of existing Moorebank Logistics Park Community Consultative Committee to cover Moorebank Avenue Re-alignment” dated 5/7/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment B2- Expansion of CCC (SSI-10053) dated 10/8/22. 	<p>DPHI approved a request submitted by National Intermodal to expand the Community Consultative Committee under Condition B2 noting the request to has been submitted to the Department prior to the commencement of construction works and contains all the necessary information as required by Condition B2.</p> <p>There have been no changes to this arrangement during the Audit period.</p>	Compliant
B3	<p>A Community Communication Strategy must be prepared to provide mechanisms to facilitate communication about construction and operation of the SSI with:</p> <p>(a) The community (including adjoining affected landowners and businesses, and others directly impacted by the SSI); and</p> <p>(b) The relevant councils, EPA, EES Group, Heritage NSW, DPIE Water and Sydney Water, as applicable.</p> <p>The Strategy must address who (the Proponent, and/or construction contractor) will engage with the community, relevant councils and agencies, how they will engage and the timing of engagements.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Community Communications Strategy, dated 8/3/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Community Consultation Strategy, Condition B6”. 	<p>DPHI approved the Community Consultation Strategy noting that the CCS has been prepared to address the requirements of the relevant CoA and was submitted to the Department prior to the commencement of construction.</p> <p>The latest version of the CCS approved by DPHI on the 3/3/25 and was available on the project website.</p>	Compliant
B4	<p>The Community Communication Strategy must:</p> <p>(a) Identify people, organisations, councils and agencies to be consulted during the design and work phases;</p> <p>(b) Identify details of the community demographics;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Community Consultation Strategy, Condition B6”. 	<p>As noted in IA # 1, DPHI approved the Community Consultation Strategy noting that the CCS has been prepared to address the requirements of the relevant conditions of consent and was submitted to the Department prior to the commencement of construction.</p>	Compliant

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(c) Set out procedures and mechanisms for the regular distribution of accessible information including to LOTE and CALD and vulnerable communities about or relevant to the SSI;</p> <p>(d) Identify opportunities for education within the community about construction sites;</p> <p>(e) Detail the measures for advising the community in advance of upcoming construction including upcoming out-of-hours work and blasting activities</p> <p>(f) Provide for the formation of issue or location-based community forums that focus on key environmental management issues of concern to the relevant community(ies) for the SSI;</p> <p>(g) Set out procedures and mechanisms:</p> <p>(i) Through which the community can discuss or provide feedback to the Proponent 24 hours a day, seven days per week;</p> <p>(ii) Through which the Proponent will respond to enquiries or feedback from the community; and</p> <p>(iii) To resolve any issues and mediate any disputes that may arise in relation to the environmental management and delivery of the SSI, including disputes regarding rectification or compensation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Community Communications Strategy, dated 8/3/23 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Community Communications Strategy, dated 19/2/25 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moore bank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Revised Community Communication Strategy, Condition B6" dated 3/3/25 	The latest version of the CCS approved by DPHI on the 3/3/25 and was available on the project website.	
B5	The Planning Secretary may consider a request to expand an approved Community Communication Strategy for the MLP to cover the Moorebank Avenue Realignment project and to satisfy Conditions B3 and B4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Community Consultation Strategy, Condition B6". 	A stand-alone CCS has been prepared for the project and approved by DPHI.	Not triggered

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
B6	The Community Communication Strategy must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Secretary before the commencement of construction, unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Community Consultation Strategy, Condition B6”. 	DPHI approved the Community Consultation Strategy noting that the CCS has been prepared to address the requirements of the relevant conditions of consent and was submitted to the Department prior to the commencement of construction.	Compliant
B7	The Community Communication Strategy, as approved by the Planning Secretary, must be implemented for the duration of the work and for 12 months following the completion of construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moorebank Avenue Realignment (MAR) Archives Moorebank Intermodal Precinct Moorebank Newsletter No 26 dated December 2024 Moorebank Newsletter No 25 dated August 24 Moorebank Newsletter No 24 dated May 2024 CCC meeting minutes 050924 CCC meeting minutes 230524. 	Sufficient information was provided and available on the project website to demonstrate the implementation of the CCS including community notifications, meeting minutes and complaints registers.	Compliant
COMPLAINTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM				
B8	A Complaints Management System must be prepared and implemented before the commencement of any Work and maintained for the duration of construction and for a minimum for 12 months following completion of construction of the SSI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community Moorebank Intermodal Precinct 	The complaints management system is managed centrally for the entire project by TSA Management. There have not been any complaints received in relation to the MARW works during the Audit period. The system for handling complaints is included in Appendix B of the Community Communications Strategy. Further information regarding complaints received and the effectiveness of the complaints management system is provided in Section 3.4.	Compliant

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
B9	<p>The following information must be available to facilitate community enquiries and manage complaints one month before the commencement of work and for 12 months following the completion of construction:</p> <p>(a) A 24- hour telephone number for the registration of complaints and enquiries about the SSI;</p> <p>(b) A postal address to which written complaints and enquires may be sent;</p> <p>(c) An email address to which electronic complaints and enquiries may be transmitted; and</p> <p>(d) A mediation system for complaints unable to be resolved.</p> <p>This information must be accessible to all regardless of age, ethnicity, disability, or literacy level.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community Moorebank Intermodal Precinct 	<p>The relevant information is provided on the project website as follows:</p> <p>a) 24/7 complaints and enquires number – 1800 996 465.</p> <p>b) Postal address – Level 15, 207 Kent St, Sydney NSW 2000</p> <p>c) Email address – moorebank@tsamgt.com</p> <p>d) Details of the mediation system are provided in the complaints handling procedure, Appendix B of the Community Communications Strategy</p> <p>Community newsletters are available in a variety of languages including English, Arabic, Fijian, Filipino, Hindi and Vietnamese.</p>	Compliant
B10	<p>A Complaints Register must be maintained recording information on all complaints received about the SSI during the carrying out of any work and for a minimum of 12 months following the completion of construction. The Complaints Register must record the:</p> <p>(a) Number of complaints received;</p> <p>(b) The date and time of the complaint;</p> <p>(c) The method by which the complaint was made;</p> <p>(d) Any personal details of the complainant which were provided by the complainant or, if no such details were provided, a note to that effect;</p> <p>(e) Nature of the complaint;</p> <p>(f) Means by which the complaint was addressed and whether resolution was reached, with or without mediation; and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit interview 11/9/25. Complaints register as of 7 April 2025 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – Community Communications Strategy, National Intermodal, Rev D, 7/3/23 National Intermodal Terminal internal email titled "MARW Audit – Comms Evidence" dated 20/10/25 	<p>TSA management manage the complaints centrally for all precincts.</p> <p>Refer to Section 3.4 for a description of the complaints received during the Audit period.</p> <p>Appendix D of the CCS is the complaints handling procedure</p> <p>The complaints register was provided to the auditor and includes the information required by this condition.</p> <p>As noted in section 3.5, the recommendation from the previous Audit in relation to this condition has been closed out.</p>	Complaint

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(g) If no action was taken, the reason(s) why no action was taken.</p> <p>Complainants must be advised, where possible, that the Complaints Register may be forwarded to Government agencies to allow them to undertake their regulatory duties.</p>			
B11	The Complaints Register must be provided to the Planning Secretary upon request, within the timeframe stated in the request.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. Letter from Optime to DPHI titled "Environmental Representative (ER) Monthly Report for December 2024 Project SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), dated 5/1/25 Letter from Optime to DPHI titled "Environmental Representative (ER) Monthly Report for December 2024 Project SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), dated 5/1/25 Email from TSA Riley to DPHI titled "Moorebank Intermodal Precinct – Online Complaints document to 6 October 2025" dated 8/10/25 Letter from Optime to DPHI titled "Environmental Representative (ER) Monthly Report for December 2024 Project SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), dated 5/1/25 Letter from Optime to DPHI titled "Environmental Representative (ER) Monthly Report for February 2025 Project SSI 10053- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), dated 4/3/25 	<p>The Planning Secretary has not requested a copy of the complaints register.</p> <p>Complaints are reported monthly to DPHI via the ER report.</p> <p>Complaints are also currently, (although not required by the SSI conditions), provided to DPHI on a fortnightly basis (along with all complaints from the broader Moorebank Intermodal Precinct).</p> <p>Evidence of submission of the complaints register to DPHI was provided to the Auditor.</p>	Not triggered

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
B12	The Planning Secretary may consider a request to expand an existing Complaints Management System for the MLP to cover the Moorebank Avenue Realignment project and to satisfy Conditions B8 and B10.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Community Communications Strategy, dated 8/3/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Community Consultation Strategy, Condition B6”. 	Section 6 and Appendix B of the CCS include details of the Complaints Management System and contact information line. The CCS was approved by DPHI and covers the Moorebank Intermodal Precinct.	Compliant
PROVISION OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION				
B13	<p>A website or webpage providing information in relation to the SSI must be established before commencement of work and be maintained for the duration of construction, and for a minimum of 24 months following the completion of construction. The following up-to-date information (excluding confidential, private, commercial information or any other information that the Planning Secretary has approved to be excluded) must be published before the relevant work commences and maintained on the website or dedicated pages including:</p> <p>(a) Information on the current implementation status of the SSI;</p> <p>(b) A copy of the documents listed in Condition A1, and any documentation relating to any modifications made to the SSI or the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(c) A copy of this approval in its original form, a current consolidated copy of this approval (that is, including any approved modifications to its terms), and copies of any approval granted by the Minister to a modification of the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(d) A copy of each statutory approval, licence or permit required and obtained in relation to the SSI;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moorebank Avenue Realignment (MAR) Archives Moorebank Intermodal Precinct 	<p>The project website is comprehensive and includes the relevant information as required by this condition. The website provides language interpretation services.</p> <p>As recommended by the previous Audit (IA#2), the complaints register was updated to include an additional column that documents which precinct of the intermodal terminal the complaint relates to so that it is clear which complaints are related to the MARW project, and which complaints are related to other parts of the precinct.</p>	Complaint

Part B – COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND REPORTING

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(e) A copy of the most recently approved version of each document required under the terms of this approval; and</p> <p>(f) A copy of the reports of Audits required under the terms of this approval.</p> <p>Where the information / document relates to a particular activity or is required to be implemented, it must be published before the commencement of the relevant activity to which it relates and before its implementation.</p> <p>All information required in this condition must be available on the Proponent's website, ordered in a logical sequence and easy to navigate.</p>			

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN				
C1	(A) Construction Environmental Management Plan (s) (CEMPs) must be prepared having regard to the Environmental Management Plan Guideline for Infrastructure Projects (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, 2020). The CEMP must detail how the performance outcomes, commitments and mitigation measures specified in the documents listed in Condition A1 will be implemented and achieved during construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Waste and Resource Management Plan, March 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Soil and Water Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Traffic and Transport Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Heritage Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Biodiversity Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Air Quality Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Bushfire Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Contamination Management Plan, March 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Environmental Management Plan, May 2023 	<p>National Intermodal have prepared a comprehensive Construction Environmental Management Plan and specialist subplans addressing key environmental issues including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste and resource Soil and water Traffic and transport Noise and vibration Heritage management Biodiversity Air quality Bushfire Contamination. <p>The CEMP was approved by DPHI on 6/4/23 noting that the CEMP had been endorsed by the Environmental Representative and submitted at least one month prior to the commencement of construction.</p> <p>The CEMP and subplans are generally consistent with the Environmental Management Plan Guideline for Infrastructure Projects (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, 2020)</p> <p>The construction contractor (BMD) for the MARW have prepared a standalone CEMP for the project that is aligned with the DPHI approved CEMP and BMD processes and procedures.</p>	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI10053) Construction Environmental Management Plan, Conditions C1-C11" dated 6/4/23. Environmental Management Plan, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) National Intermodal Corporation Limited 121-2118, rev 1 dated 11/2/25 Letter from OptimE to National Intermodal titled "SSI10053 – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) Environmental Representative (ER) – Approved amendments to the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and associated sub plans and monitoring programs" dated 21/8/25 	During the Audit period, Environmental Management Plans have been modified to accommodate the Environment Protection Licence. The changes were minor and were endorsed by the ER on the 21/8/25. The ER noted the changes were of an administrative nature, therefore no additional consultation required regarding the changes.	
C2	<p>With the exception of the CEMP(s) expressly nominated by the Planning Secretary to be endorsed by the ER, all CEMPs must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval.</p> <p>Note: The Planning Secretary will consider the assessment of the predicted level of environmental risk and potential level of community concern required under Condition A14(e) or A19 when deciding whether any CEMPs may be endorsed by the ER</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI10053) Construction Environmental Management Plan, Conditions C1-C11" dated 6/4/23. 	The CEMP was approved by DPHI on 6/4/23 noting that the CEMP had been endorsed by the Environmental Representative and submitted at least one month prior to the commencement of construction.	Compliant
C3	<p>A CEMP must provide:</p> <p>(a) A description of activities to be undertaken during construction (including the scheduling of construction);</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Environmental Management Plan, May 2023. 	The CEMP is comprehensive and addresses the requirements of this condition.	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(b) Details of environmental policies, guidelines and principles to be followed in the construction of the SSI;</p> <p>(c) A program for ongoing analysis of the key environmental risks arising from the activities described in subsection (a) of this condition, including an initial risk assessment undertaken before the commencement of construction of the SSI;</p> <p>(d) Details of how the activities described in subsection (a) of this condition will be carried out to:</p> <p>(i) Meet the performance outcomes stated in the documents listed in Condition A1; and</p> <p>(ii) Manage the risks identified in the risk analysis undertaken in subsection I of this condition;</p> <p>(e) An inspection program detailing the activities to be inspected and frequency of inspections;</p> <p>(f) A protocol for managing and reporting any:</p> <p>(i) Incidents; and</p> <p>(ii) Non-compliances with this approval or statutory requirements;</p> <p>(g) Procedures for rectifying any non-compliance with this approval identified during compliance Auditing, incident management or at any time during construction;</p> <p>(h) A list of all the CEMP Sub-plans to be prepared in respect of construction, as set out in documents listed in Condition A1. Where staged construction of the SSI is proposed, the CEMP must also identify which CEMP Sub-plan applies to each of the proposed stages of construction;</p> <p>(i) An organisational chart including description of the roles and environmental responsibilities for relevant employees and any independent appointments;</p>			

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(j) For training and induction for employees, including contractors and sub-contractors, in relation to environmental and compliance obligations under the terms of this approval; and</p> <p>(k) For periodic review and update of the CEMP and all associated plans and programs</p>			
C4	Any CEMP not requiring the Planning Secretary's approval must be submitted to the ER for endorsement no later than one (1) month before the commencement of construction or where construction is staged, no later than one (1) month before the commencement of that stage. That CEMP must obtain the endorsement of the ER as being consistent with the conditions of this approval and all undertakings made in the documents listed in Condition A1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053_ Construction Environmental Management Plan, Conditions C1-C11" dated 6/4/23. 	The CEMP was approved by DPHI on 6/4/23 noting that the CEMP had been endorsed by the Environmental Representative and submitted at least one month prior to the commencement of construction.	Not triggered
C5	Any CEMP to be approved by the Planning Secretary must be endorsed by the ER and then submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval no later than one (1) month before the commencement of construction, or where construction is staged, no later than one (1) month before the commencement of that stage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053_ Construction Environmental Management Plan, Conditions C1-C11" dated 6/4/23. 	The CEMP was approved by DPHI on 6/4/23 noting that the CEMP had been endorsed by the Environmental Representative and submitted at least one month prior to the commencement of construction.	Compliant
C6	CEMP Sub-plans as identified in documents listed in Condition A1 must be prepared in consultation with relevant government agencies and stakeholders. Relevant government agencies and stakeholders must be nominated in the risk assessment matrix submitted to the Planning Secretary require in accordance with Condition A14 or A19. Details of all information requested by an agency during consultation must be provided to the Planning Secretary as part of any submission of the relevant CEMP Sub-plan, including copies of all correspondence from those agencies as required by Condition A5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Waste and Resource Management Plan, March 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Soil and Water Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Traffic and Transport Management Plan, August 2023 	Consultation undertaken for each subplan is summarised in Appendix A with consultation records provided in Appendix A.	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Heritage Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Biodiversity Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Air Quality Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Bushfire Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Contamination Management Plan, March 2023. 		

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
C7	<p>The CEMP Sub-plans must state how:</p> <p>(a) The environmental performance outcomes identified in the documents listed in Condition A1 will be achieved;</p> <p>(b) The mitigation measures identified in the documents listed in Condition A1 will be implemented;</p> <p>(c) The relevant terms of this approval will be complied with; and</p> <p>(d) Issues requiring management during construction (including cumulative impacts), as identified through ongoing environmental risk analysis, will be managed through SMART principles.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Waste and Resource Management Plan, March 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Soil and Water Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Traffic and Transport Management Plan, August 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Heritage Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Biodiversity Management Plan, June 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Air Quality Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Construction Bushfire Management Plan, May 2023 MAR SSI-10053 Contamination Management Plan, March 2023 	<p>The CEMP subplans are comprehensive and address the requirements of this condition.</p>	Compliant
C8	<p>With the exception of any CEMP Sub-plans expressly nominated by the Planning Secretary to be endorsed by the ER, all CEMP sub-plans must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from GHD to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Environmental risk assessment (Condition A19), combination of plans (Condition A6) and endorsement of nominated plans by the Environmental Representative (Condition C6)" dated 2/11/22 	<p>DPHI noted the following documents to be submitted to the Planning Secretary for Approval:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Environmental Management Plan (C2) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (C8 and C14) Community Communications Strategy (B6). 	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	Note: The Planning Secretary will consider the assessment of the predicted level of environmental risk and potential level of community concern required under Condition A14I when deciding whether any CEMP Sub-plans may be endorsed by the ER	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Conditions C8, C14 and E21" dated 15/6/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Risk Assessment for Moorebank Avenue Realignment Work (Condition A19 of SSI 10053" dated 22/11/22. 	As noted in the Auditors response to conditions C2, C8, C14 and B5, these CEMP subplans were submitted to and approved by the Planning Secretary in accordance with this condition.	
C9	The CEMP Sub-plans not requiring the Planning Secretary's approval must obtain the endorsement of the ER as being in accordance with the conditions of approval and all relevant undertakings made in the documents listed in Condition A1. Any of these CEMP Sub-plans must be submitted to the ER with, or subsequent to, the submission of the CEMP but in any event, no later than one (1) month before construction or where construction is staged no later than one (1) month before the commencement of that stage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Risk Assessment for Moorebank Avenue Realignment Work (Condition A19 of SSI 10053" dated 22/11/22. 	<p>DPHI approved the following subplans to be endorsed by the ER:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Access and Traffic Management Plan Contamination Management Plan Construction Soil and Water Management Plan Construction Air Quality Management Plan Bushfire Management Plan Construction Waste and Resource Management Plan. <p>Appendix B of the relevant CEMP subplans provides the ER letter of endorsement.</p>	Compliant
C10	Any of the CEMP Sub-plans to be approved by the Planning Secretary must be submitted to the Planning Secretary with, or subsequent to, the submission of the CEMP but in any event, no later than one (1) month before construction or where construction is staged no later than one (1) month before the commencement of that stage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Risk Assessment for Moorebank Avenue Realignment Work (Condition A19 of SSI 10053" dated 22/11/22. 	<p>DPHI noted the following documents to be submitted to the Planning Secretary for Approval:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Environmental Management Plan (C2) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (C8 and C14) Community Communications Strategy (B6) 	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			As noted in the Auditor's response to conditions C2, C8, C14 and B5, these CEMP subplans were submitted to and approved by the Planning Secretary in accordance with this condition.	
C11	Construction must not commence until the CEMP and all CEMP Sub-plans have been approved by the Planning Secretary or endorsed by the ER (whichever is applicable), unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary. The CEMP and CEMP Sub-plans, as approved by the Planning Secretary or endorsed by the ER (whichever is applicable), including any minor amendments approved by the ER, must be implemented for the duration of construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053_ Construction Environmental Management Plan, Conditions C1-C11" dated 6/4/23. 	The CEMP and subplans were approved by DPHI or endorsed by the ER as applicable in April 2023, construction commenced in October 2023.	Compliant
CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION MONITORING PROGRAM				
C12	A Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program must accompany the Noise and Vibration Management Sub-plan when it is lodged for endorsement or approval in accordance with Conditions C9 and C10. The Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program must be prepared in consultation with the relevant council(s) to compare actual performance of construction of the SSI against the performance predicted in the documents listed in Condition A1 or In the relevant CEMP.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, June 2023 – Appendix E. 	Appendix E of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan is the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program.	Compliant
C13	<p>The Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program must provide:</p> <p>(a) Details of baseline data available;</p> <p>(b) Details of baseline data to be obtained and when;</p> <p>(c) Details of all monitoring of the project to be undertaken;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR SSI-10053 Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, June 2023 – Appendix E. 	The Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program is comprehensive and addresses the requirements of this condition.	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	(d) The parameters of the project to be monitored; (e) The frequency of monitoring to be undertaken; (f) The location of monitoring; (g) The reporting of monitoring results and analysis results against relevant criteria; (h) Details of the methods that will be used to analyse the monitoring data; (i) Procedures to identify and implement additional mitigation measures where the results of the monitoring indicate unacceptable project impacts; (j) A consideration of SMART principles; (k) Any consultation to be undertaken in relation to the monitoring programs; (l) Any specific requirements outlined in the terms of this approval; and (m) Details of all information requested by an agency during consultation, including copies of all correspondence from those agencies as required by Condition A5.			
C14	Unless expressly nominated by the Planning Secretary to be endorsed by the ER, the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Conditions C8, C14 and E21” dated 15/6/23. 	DPHI approved the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan noting the CNVMP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes the construction noise and vibration monitoring program (CNVMP) as per conditions C14 and E21 respectively. Has been prepared to address the requirements of the relevant conditions of approval Has been submitted to the Department one month prior to the commencement of construction. 	Compliant

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
C15	Unless otherwise agreed with the Planning Secretary, construction must not commence until the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program has been approved by the Planning Secretary, and all relevant baseline data for the specific construction activity has been collected.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Conditions C8, C14 and E21” dated 15/6/23. 	Construction commenced following the endorsement of the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program by the ER on the 26 th of April 2023.	Compliant
C16	The Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program, including any minor amendments approved by the ER must be implemented for the duration of construction and for any longer period set out in the monitoring program or specified by the Planning Secretary, whichever is the greater.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit interview 11/9/25. BMD 121-2119 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Online Modules Beakon Template – Weekly HSE dated 21/3/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Rev H, January 2025. Construction Noise Compliance Assessment, Moorebank Avenue Realignment – Utility Cutover Shutdown Weekend Works, Octave Acoustics, dated 3/7/25 BMD Attended Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (25 Exford Ct, Wattle Grove), dated 12/8/25 BMD Attended Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (Gate 16, eastern side of MARW Alignment opposite Marcus Place), dated 12/8/25 BMD Attended Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (12 Yallum Ct, Wattle Grove), dated 12/8/25 BMD Point Source Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (Piccolo Me), dated 19/4/25 BMD Point Source Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (25 Yallum Ct Wattle 	<p>Appendix E of the CNVMP provides details of the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program. Table 4.1 of the program details the frequency of monitoring to be undertaken including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the commencement of activities for which a NVIS has been prepared As required during OOH works Related to a noise complaint Low impact OOH works undertaken in accordance with CoA E20 (b) Spot checks of noise intensive plant Real time unattended noise monitoring on an as required basis. <p>Evidence of implementation of the CNVMP during the audit period included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Noise Compliance Assessment monitoring (report) for monitoring undertaken for utility shutdown weekend works Attended noise monitoring records Point source monitoring (of specific activity/equipment) Attended verification monitoring 	Not triggered

Part C – CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		Grove), dated 17/4/25 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BMD attended monitoring results (various locations) 27/3/25 		
C17	<p>The results of construction noise and vibration monitoring must be included in a Construction Noise Monitoring Report. The report must also include a summary of the monitoring results against the relevant noise criteria identified in the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program and be published on the Proponent's website in accordance with the reporting frequency specified in the monitoring program.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Rev H, January 2025 (Appendix E) 	<p>Section 6.1 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Program (included as Appendix E of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan notes the “Monitoring Reports will be prepared and submitted to the Planning Secretary every six months and to relevant regulatory agencies for information in accordance with NSW COA C16 and published on the National Intermodal Website. The reports will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The date (s) at the time at which the reporting was undertaken The locations and description of the work undertaken The name of the person who undertook the monitoring Tabulations of monitoring data Compliance monitoring results with the criteria Identification of exceedances and description of causes of the exceedance's Details of any complaints received regarding noise and vibration. <p>The Auditor notes that construction of the main works by BMD commenced on the 27/3/25 with noise and vibration monitoring undertaken throughout the audit period as noted in condition C16. The initial monitoring period therefore concludes on 27/9/25 (outside this audit period) and will be due to be published on the Proponents website in the next 6 monthly audit period.</p>	Not triggered

PART D – OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
D1	An Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) must be prepared having regard to the Environmental Management Plan Guideline for Infrastructure Projects (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, 2020). The OEMP must detail how the performance outcomes, commitments and mitigation measures made and identified in the documents listed in Condition A1 will be implemented and achieved during operation. Condition D1 does not apply if Condition D2 of this approval applies.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Not triggered, operational requirement	Not triggered
D2	<p>An OEMP is not required for the SSI if the Proponent has an Environmental Management System (EMS) or equivalent as agreed with the Planning Secretary, and demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary, that through the EMS or equivalent:</p> <p>(a) The performance outcomes, commitments and mitigation measures, made and identified in the documents listed in Condition A1, and specified relevant terms of this approval can be achieved;</p> <p>(b) Issues identified through ongoing risk analysis can be managed; and</p> <p>(c) Procedures are in place for rectifying any non-compliance with this approval identified during compliance Auditing, incident management or any other time during operation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Not triggered, operational requirement	Not Triggered
D3	Where an OEMP is required or an EMS adopted, measures to maintain infrastructure and to manage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 	Not triggered, operational requirement	Not triggered

PART D – OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	operational impacts to biodiversity and stormwater must be included	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Interview 11/9/25. 		
D4	<p>The OEMP or EMS or equivalent as agreed with the Planning Secretary, must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information no later than one month before the commencement of operation.</p> <p>The OEMP or EMS or equivalent as agreed with the Planning Secretary, as submitted to the Planning Secretary and amended from time to time, must be implemented for the duration of operation and the OEMP or EMS or equivalent must be made publicly available before the commencement of operation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Not triggered, operational requirement	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY				
E1	Measures must be implemented to minimise and manage the emission of dust, odour and other air pollutants during construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>The Audit site inspection was undertaken during wet conditions with no visible dust observed.</p> <p>Measures to minimise dust generation observed during the site inspection included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stabilised site access and vehicle shaker pad Geofabric covered stockpiles Temporary ground stabilisation Street sweeper Water cart Speed restriction signage <p>There were no dust related complaints received during the Audit period.</p>	Compliant
BIODIVERSITY				
E2	The SSI must not directly intrude on to the lands established as a Biobanking site under BioBanking agreement No. 341.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>No intrusion on the lands established as a Biobanking site under the BioBanking agreement No. 341 were observed during the Audit site inspection. The area was fenced off with signage in place.</p> <p>As noted in Conditions A41 and E3, the reported noncompliance for over clearing did not result in impacts to the Biobanking Site (241)</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E3	The clearing of native vegetation must not exceed the clearing footprint identified in the documents listed in Condition A1. All practicable measures to reduce the clearing of native vegetation within the clearing footprint must be undertaken, with the objective of reducing impacts to threatened ecological communities and threatened species habitat.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Detailed Incident Investigation Report, Moorebank Avenue Clearing Outside Boundary INC_5715" dated 12/6/25 • BMD Corrective Action Task_18451 dated 17/6/25 • BMD Corrective Action Task_18451 dated 17/6/25 • BMD Corrective Action Task_18452 dated 24/6/25 • BMD Corrective Action Task_18453 dated 17/7/25 • BMD Corrective Action Task_18452 dated 02/6/25 • Letter from DPHI to BMD titled "Warning Letter- Breach of Section 4.2 (1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979" dated 21/8/25 • Approval Report, ITP Moorebank Avenue Clearing and Grubbing, dated 21/5/25 • Permit to Clear, Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment Works dated 4/4/25 • Pre-Clearing Survey Report, Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment Works (MARW) and Disused Rail Spur (DURS), NARLA Environmental dated June 2025 • BMD Summary of Areas cleared for MARW under SSI and EPBC, BMD • Toolbox Talk Record, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, dated 	<p>As noted in Condition A41, one non-compliance was reported to DPHI during the Audit period for a clearing incident that occurred outside the project boundary.</p> <p>A Warning Letter was subsequently issued by DPHI relating to the non-compliance noting breaches of Conditions A1 and E3 have occurred. The Warning Letter noted the cleared area (approximately 72 m² of Castlereagh Scribbly Gum and Agnes Banks Woodlands of the Sydney Basin Bioregion cleared was not within the Biobanking Area (BA341)</p> <p>Recommendation</p> <p>Further details of the noncompliance, Auditors observations and recommendations are provided in Section 3.1</p>	Non-Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E4	Before the commencement of works, a Biodiversity Offset Strategy must be prepared in consultation with EES Group and DAWE.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Biodiversity Offset Strategy Condition E4, dated 19/10/23 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Biodiversity Offset Strategy, WSP, September 2023. 	A Biodiversity Offset Strategy (BOS) has been prepared by an experienced ecologist. Section 4.2 of the BOS provides details of consultation undertaken in preparing the BOS. The BOS was submitted to DPHI on 25/9/23. DPHI noted receipt of the document on 19/10/23.	Compliant
E5	Before any impact on the species or community types to be offset, the number and classes of biodiversity credits (like-for-like) set out in Tables 7.8, 7.9, 7.10 and 7.11 of the revised Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (version 5), prepared by EMM and dated 24 May 2021, must be retired	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053)- Biodiversity Credits" dated 20/7/23. 	The letter from National Intermodal to DPHI provides details of the retirement of biodiversity credits including a credit retirement report.	Compliant
E6	The requirement to retire like-for-like ecosystem credits and species credits in Condition E5 may be satisfied by payment to the Biodiversity Conservation Fund of an amount equivalent to the number and classes of ecosystem credits and species credits.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053)- Biodiversity Credits" dated 20/7/23. 	The letter from National Intermodal to DPHI provides details of the retirement of biodiversity credits including a credit retirement report	Compliant
E7	Where evidence of compliance with the Ancillary rules: Reasonable steps to seek like-for-like biodiversity credits for the purpose of applying the variation rules (OEH, 2017) has been provided to the Planning Secretary, variation rules may be applied to retire the relevant ecosystem credits and species credits as set out in a BAM Credit Summary Report (Variation).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053)- Biodiversity Credits" dated 20/7/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Realignment (SSI - 10053) Biodiversity Credits, Condition E7" dated 24/5/23. 	DPHI have acknowledged that the evidence of compliance with the Ancillary rules: Reasonable Steps to seek like-for-like biodiversity credits for the purpose of applying the variation rules (OEH 2017) has been provided to the Department in accordance with condition E7	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E8	Evidence of the retirement of credits in satisfaction of Condition E5 or payment to the Biodiversity Conservation Fund in satisfaction of Condition E6 must be provided to the Planning Secretary and DAWC before any impact on the species or community types to be offset	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053)- Biodiversity Credits" dated 20/7/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Realignment (SSI - 10053) Biodiversity Credits, Condition E7" dated 24/5/23. 	DPHI have acknowledged that the evidence of compliance with the Ancillary rules: Reasonable Steps to seek like-for-like biodiversity credits for the purpose of applying the variation rules (OEH 2017) has been provided to the Department in accordance with condition E7	Compliant
E9	<p>Before vegetation clearing, the Proponent must consult with Liverpool City Council, Landcare groups and relevant government agencies to determine if:</p> <p>(a) Hollows, tree trunks, mulch, bush rock and root balls salvaged from native vegetation impacted by the SSI; and</p> <p>(b) Collected plant material, seeds and / or propagated plants from native vegetation impacted by the SSI, could be used by others in habitat enhancement, beneficial re-use and rehabilitation work, before pursuing other disposal options</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Interview, 18/3/25 Email from National Intermodal to Liverpool Council (LCC) dated 27/9/23 Landcare enquiry acknowledgment Moorebank Intermodal Biobank Offset Area BA341 Annual Report Year 4, National Intermodal Corporation, Ecological dated 15/5/25 	<p>Consultation with both LCC and Landcare has been initiated.</p> <p>LCC and Landcare have not identified opportunities to reuse materials in accordance with this condition at this stage.</p> <p>Reuse opportunities for cleared vegetation are being taken within the project including the reuse of mulch on site and the reuse of materials for the disused rail spur adjacent to the site. Some timber was stockpiled within the site for beneficial reuse.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
FLOODING				
E10	Measures identified in the documents listed in Condition A1 to maintain or improve flood characteristics must be incorporated into the detailed design of the SSI. The incorporation of these measures into the detailed design must be reviewed and endorsed by a suitably qualified and experienced person in consultation with directly affected landowners, DPI Water, DPI Fisheries, OEH, NSW State Emergency Service (SES) and relevant Councils. For the purposes of this condition, “maintain” means:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – Flood Investigation Report – 100% Detailed design report, Arcadis 5/2/24 • Email from Arcadis to National Intermodal titled “MAR SSI 10053 – E10 Flooding” dated 9/10/25 • CV Dr Yuan Li – Principal Water Engineer, Arcadis 	<p>Arcadis have been appointed as the project flood engineers and have confirmed the following in relation to this condition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These measures have been incorporated into the 100% Design reporting which has been prepared, reviewed and endorsed by suitably qualified and experienced flood engineers (Dr Yuan Li (Principal Water Engineer - Arcadis and Vincent Ng (Principal Drainage Modeller/ Engineer - Arcadis)). These agencies have been consulted throughout the design process (refer to Executive Summary of the Report). 	Compliant
	(a) A maximum increase in inundation levels upstream of the SSI of 50 mm in a 1% AEP rainfall event within the broader floodplain. Localised changes associated with engineered drainage structures and drainage paths as set out in the EIS (per the documents in Condition A1) would also be considered as maintaining flood characteristics in relation to this condition; and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – Flood Investigation Report – 100% Detailed design report, Arcadis 5/2/24 • Email from Arcadis to National Intermodal titled “MAR SSI 10053 – E10 Flooding” dated 9/10/25 • CV Dr Yuan Li – Principal Water Engineer, Arcadis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The EIS and the 100% Design both proposed discharge from the northern part of the MAR (Basin A) into a channel located on the neighbouring Defence Joint Logistics Unit (DJLU). • The Overland Flow Report (Costin Roe, 2020), provided within the EIS (Appendix B) does not provide a flood impact assessment for the northern part of the MARW site; it focuses on the southern part which interfaces with Anzac Creek. Therefore the EIS does not specifically identify flood standards for the northern part of the MAR. This report (Section 6.5) shows 'locally confined' minor flood increases adjacent to the proposed MARW during the 1% AEP event, noting that the broader floodplain would not be impacted. • The condition focuses on maintaining the 1% AEP rainfall event for the broader floodplain, noting that there may be some exceptions to localised drainage. 	

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 100% Design results in some localised changes to drainage above the 1% AEP rainfall event, however, maintains this event within the broader floodplain. These localised changes relate to isolated areas including: Surrounding bushland, within Lot 4 owned by the Commonwealth - additional localised inundation would be experienced within this area (as identified within the EIS, however extent of areas has been reduced) Drainage channel, within the neighbouring Defence Joint Logistics Unit - as a result of the considerable depth of the channel this increase would not result in any overtopping of the channel in this event (i.e. water would be confined to the channel and have no inundation impact on the surrounding properties (DJLU, MPE or surrounding areas)). Department of Defence (DJLU owner) has been engaged in relation to this drainage, with their final position being accepting the 100% Design. Ultimately, condition E10 allows for flexibility in these flood standards, where impacts are localised and there is no increase in the broader floodplain. The 100% Design is therefore considered consistent with this condition. 	
	(b) A maximum increase in inundation time of one hour in a 1% AEP rainfall event	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – Flood Investigation Report – 100% Detailed design report, Arcadis 5/2/24 Email from Arcadis to National Intermodal titled “MAR SSI 10053 – E10 Flooding” dated 9/10/25 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 100% Design indicates that the MAR would not result in an increase to inundation time above one hour in a 1% AEP rainfall event for the broader floodplain. There is a small increase in inundation duration time near the northern end of the MAR, isolated to the Moorebank Avenue northbound traffic lane in the north-western area (Section 5.3 of the Report). There is no material changes to hazards and trafficability and TfNSW has signed off this 	

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CV Dr Yuan Li – Principal Water Engineer, Arcadis 	<p>approach as part of the review of the 100% Design.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This impact is localised to the road and would not affect surrounding properties or the broader floodplain. Therefore, this approach is considered consistent with the intent of E10 	
E11	<p>Flood information including flood reports, models and geographic information system outputs, and work as executed information from a registered surveyor certifying finished ground levels and the dimensions and finished levels of all structures within the flood prone land, must be provided to the relevant Council, OEH and the SES in order to assist in preparing relevant documents and to reflect changes in flood behaviour as a result of the SSI. The Council, OEH and the SES must be notified in writing that the information is available no later than one month following the completion of construction. Information requested by the relevant Council, OEH or the SES must be provided no later than six months following the completion of construction or within another timeframe agreed with the relevant Council, OEH and the SES.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Not required to be completed until the completion of construction work.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
HERITAGE				
E12	The Proponent must take all reasonable steps so as not to harm, modify or otherwise impact heritage items or Aboriginal objects except as authorised by this approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Environmental Work Method Statement, Moorebank Logistics Park – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works. Letter from Artefact to National Internodal, titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works Surface Collection Completion Memo” dated 30/8/24 BMD 121-2119 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Online Modules Beakon Template – Weekly HSE dated 21/3/25 	<p>Several Environmental Work Method Statements (EWMS) have been prepared and are being implemented. The EWMS includes the details of a site inspection undertaken by a heritage consultant, mapping of heritage sites as well as a risk assessment.</p> <p>The Auditor observed heritage items to be clearly delineated with boundary fencing.</p> <p>The BMD project induction includes details of heritage management requirements as well as unexpected finds protocols.</p> <p>There have been no unexpected heritage finds during the Audit period.</p>	Compliant
E13	An Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure must be prepared to manage unexpected heritage finds in accordance with any guidelines and standards prepared by the Heritage Council of NSW or Heritage NSW.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Heritage Management Plan, 8/5/25. 	<p>The unexpected finds and human remain procedures are included as Appendix D of the Heritage Management Plan. There have been no unexpected heritage finds during the Audit period.</p>	Compliant
E14	The Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced heritage specialist in consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW and Heritage NSW and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information no later than one month before the commencement of construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Heritage Management Plan, 8/5/25. 	<p>The unexpected finds and human remains procedures are included as Appendix D of the Heritage Management Plan.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E15	<p>The Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure, as submitted to the Planning Secretary, must be implemented for the duration of construction.</p> <p>Note: Human remains that are found unexpectedly during the carrying out of work may be under the jurisdiction of the NSW State Coroner and must be reported to the NSW Police immediately. Management of human remains in NSW is subject to requirements set out in the Public Health Act 2010 and Public Health Regulation 2012.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>No unexpected finds of heritage items or human remains have been encountered during the Audit period.</p> <p>The BMD project induction includes details of heritage management requirements as well as unexpected finds protocols.</p>	Compliant
E16	<p>Before commencement of construction that has the potential to impact upon areas of archaeological significance as defined in the documents listed in Condition A1, a revised Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology must be prepared in accordance with the Heritage Council of NSW guidelines. The revised methodology must be prepared in consultation with Heritage NSW and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Heritage Management Plan, Arcadis, Rev I dated 22/01/25 	<p>Section 6.2.1 of the CHMP notes the following:</p> <p><i>“As there are no identified areas of archaeological significance within the Project site, and no historical archaeological excavation is proposed, an Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology (NSW CoA E16 and E17) is not required”</i></p>	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E17	Before commencement of archaeological excavation, the Proponent must nominate a suitably qualified Excavation Director who complies with Heritage NSW's Criteria for Assessment of Excavation Directors (September 2019) to oversee and advise on matters associated with historical archaeology. The Excavation Director must be present to oversee excavation, advise on archaeological issues, advise on the duration and extent of oversight required during archaeological excavations consistent with the approved Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology required by Condition E16.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	As noted above, there is no requirement for archaeological excavation, and therefore an Excavation Director is not needed.	Not triggered
NOISE AND VIBRATION				
E18	<p>Work must only be undertaken during the following hours:</p> <p>(a) 7:00am to 6:00pm Mondays to Fridays, inclusive;</p> <p>(b) 8:00am to 1:00pm Saturdays; and</p> <p>(c) At no time on Sundays or public holidays.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. BMD Out of Hours Works Application dated 1/5/25 BMD Out of Hours Works Application dated 5/5/25 BMD Out of Hours Works Application dated 13/7/25 BMD Out of Hours Works Application dated 8/9/25 	<p>During the Audit period, some OOH work has been undertaken for sewer connections, temporary footpaths, test pitting within Trajan place. These works have been undertaken for safety, to minimise disruption to the public/traffic or during low flow periods for water and sewer connections.</p> <p>These out of hours works have been undertaken in accordance with the EPL following assessment and approval by the BMD Environment Manager.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
Noise and Vibration: Highly Noise Intensive Work				
E19	<p>Except as permitted by an EPL, highly noise intensive works that result in an exceedance of the applicable NML at the same receiver must only be undertaken:</p> <p>(a) Between the hours of 8:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday to Friday;</p> <p>(b) Between the hours of 8:00 am to 1:00 pm Saturday; and</p> <p>(c) If continuously, then not exceeding three hours, with a minimum cessation of work of not less than one hour.</p> <p>For the purposes of this condition, 'continuously' includes any period during which there is less than one hour between ceasing and recommencing any of the work.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Environment Protection Licence EPL # (21972) 	<p>BMD Constructions are the holders of EPL 21972. Conditions L5.1 and L5.2 provide details of requirements for hours of operation and for highly intensive noisy activities.</p> <p>It was noted that there have been no heavy rock breaking activities undertaken during the Audit period</p>	Not triggered
E20	<p>Notwithstanding Conditions E18 and E19 work may be undertaken outside the hours specified in any of the following circumstances:</p> <p>(a) Safety and Emergencies, including:</p> <p>(i) For the delivery of materials required by the NSW Police Force or other authority for safety reasons; or</p> <p>(ii) Where it is required in an emergency to avoid injury or the loss of life, to avoid damage or loss of property or to prevent environmental harm.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>No work has been undertaken outside these hours in accordance with this condition.</p>	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>On becoming aware of the need for emergency work in accordance with Condition E20(a)(ii), the Proponent must notify the ER, the Planning Secretary and the EPA of the reasons for such work. The Proponent must use best endeavours to notify all noise and/or vibration affected sensitive land user(s) of the likely impact and duration of those work or</p> <p>(b) Low impact, including:</p> <p>(i) Construction that causes LAeq(15 minute) noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No more than 5 dB(A) above the rating background level at any residence in accordance with the ICNG, and No more than the 'Noise affected' NMLs specified in Table 3 of the ICNG at other sensitive land user(s); and <p>(ii) Construction that causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continuous or impulsive vibration values, measured at the most affected residence are no more than the preferred values for human exposure to vibration, specified in Table 2.2 of Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006), and Intermittent vibration values measured at the most affected residence are no more than the preferred values for human exposure to vibration, specified in Table 2.4 of Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006) or 			

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(c) By Approval, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Where different construction hours are permitted or required under an EPL in force in respect of the SSI; or (ii) Work which is not subject to an EPL that are approved under an Out-of-Hours Work Protocol as required by Condition E21; or (iii) Negotiated agreements with directly affected residents and sensitive land user(s). 			
E21	<p>An Out-of-Hours Work Protocol must be prepared to identify a process for the consideration, management and approval of work which is outside the hours defined in Conditions E18, and that are not subject to an EPL. The Protocol must be approved by the Planning Secretary before commencement of Out-of-Hours Work. The Protocol must be prepared in consultation with the ER . The Protocol must provide:</p> <p>(a) Identification of low and high-risk activities and an approval process that considers the risk of activities, proposed mitigation, management, and coordination, including where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The ER reviews all proposed out-of-hours activities and confirm their risk levels, (ii) Low risk activities can be approved by the ER, and (iii) High risk activities are approved by the Planning Secretary; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25 • Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Conditions C8, C14 and E21" dated 15/6/23. • Environment Protection Licence EPL # (21972) 	<p>The Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan include the OOH works protocol. The Plan has been endorsed the ER.</p> <p>As noted above, the project is subject to an EPL which defines the process for undertaking OOH works (refer to EPL 21972 conditions L5.4- L5.10).</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(b) A process for the consideration of and justification for out-of-hours work against the relevant NML and vibration criteria;</p> <p>(c) A process for selecting and implementing mitigation measures for residual impacts in consultation with the Community Consultative Committee, established by Condition B1, at each affected location, including respite periods. The measures must take into account the predicted noise levels and the likely frequency and duration of the out-of-hours work that sensitive land user(s) would be exposed to, including the number of noise awakening events;</p> <p>(d) Procedures to facilitate the coordination of out-of-hours work including those approved by an EPL or undertaken by a third party, to ensure appropriate respite is provided; and</p> <p>(e) Notification arrangements for affected receivers for all approved out-of-hours work and notification to the Planning Secretary of approved low risk out-of-hours work.</p>			
E22	<p>Mitigation measures must be implemented with the aim of achieving the following construction noise management levels and vibration objectives:</p> <p>(a) Construction 'Noise affected' NMLs established using the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009);</p> <p>(b) Vibration criteria established using the <i>Assessing vibration: a technical guideline</i></p> <p>(c) (DEC, 2006) (for human exposure);</p> <p>(d) Australian Standard AS 2187.2 - 2006 "Explosives - Storage and Use - Use of</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Atlas Autocare Concrete Pump Service Record dated 14/8/25 • Oneill's Plant Hire, Equipment Service Record dated 4/6/25 • Photographic Record – Noise Blanket • Solution Plant Hire, Equipment Maintenance Record, dated 8/5/25 • B&K Revegetation and Landscaping, Truck Service Checklist, dated 2/12/24 	<p>Evidence provided to the Auditor to demonstrate the implementation of measures to minimise noise and vibration included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Photographic records of noise blankets for nighttime works • Service and maintenance records • Noise monitoring results <p>As noted in Condition C17, a construction noise and vibration report is required to be prepared. The first report will be due early in the next Audit period.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>Explosives”;</p> <p>(e) BS 7385 Part 2-1993 “<i>Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings Part 2</i>” as they are “applicable to Australian conditions”; and</p> <p>(f) The vibration limits set out in the <i>German Standard DIN 4150-3: Structural Vibration-effects of vibration on structures</i> (for structural damage).</p> <p>Work that exceeds the noise management levels and/or vibration criteria must be managed in accordance with the commitments made in the documents listed in Condition A1.</p> <p>Note: The ICNG identifies ‘particularly annoying’ activities that require the addition of 5 dB(A) to the predicted level before comparing to the construction NML.</p>			
E23	<p>Work resulting in noise levels above the relevant NMLs at community, religious, educational institutions, noise and vibration-sensitive businesses and critical working areas (such as theatres, laboratories and operating theatres) must not be timetabled during sensitive periods, unless other reasonable arrangements with the affected institutions, businesses or facilities are made at no cost to the affected institution.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Attended Noise Monitoring Data Sheet, BMD, 25 Exford Court Wattle Grove, dated 12/8/25 • Point Source Noise Monitoring Check Sheet, 25 Yallum Court, dated 17/4/25 	<p>The nearest sensitive educational institution is the Wattle Grove Public School which is located approximately 750m. Section 5.4.2 of the CNVMP notes the relevant NML for “<i>classrooms at schools and other educational institutions</i>” to be 45dBA (LA eq 15mins). The Auditor notes that the criteria is an “internal criteria” with substantial noise reduction provided by windows and building facades.</p> <p>The Auditor reviewed the results of noise monitoring undertaken in the Wattle Grove residential area (25 Exford Court and 25 Yallum Court) with the LAeq results < 45dBA.</p> <p>Based on the noise monitoring results and noting the NML is an internal criteria, it is highly unlikely that the relevant NML has been exceeded.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			Recommendation <p>For any noisy work that is planned to be undertaken during school hours with the potential for disturbance to the school, it is recommended that targeted consultation is undertaken with the school to determine any specific timetabling requirements that would require alternate scheduling.</p> <p>Monitoring within the classrooms is also recommended in the event of a noise complaint from the school.</p>	
E24	At no time can noise generated by construction exceed the National Standard for exposure to noise in the occupational environment of an eight-hour (8 hr) equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level of LAeq,8h of 85 dB(A) for any employee working at a location near the SSI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Attended Noise Monitoring Data Sheet, BMD, 25 Exford Court Wattle Grove, dated 12/8/25 Point Source Noise Monitoring Check Sheet, 25 Yallum Court, dated 17/4/25 	<p>The Auditor reviewed noise monitoring results for the Audit period. Based on the results provided, compliance with the requirements of this condition has been achieved.</p> <p>As noted in Condition C17, a construction noise and vibration report is required to be prepared. The first report will be due early in the next Audit period.</p>	Compliant
E25	<p>Industry best practice construction methods must be implemented where reasonably practicable to minimise noise levels. Practices must include, but are not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Use of regularly serviced low sound power equipment; Scheduling of noisiest works during standard construction hours; Temporary noise barriers (including the arrangement of plant and equipment) around noisy equipment and activities such as rockhammering and concrete cutting; and Use of alternative construction and demolition techniques. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Atlas Autocare Concrete Pump Service Record dated 14/8/25 Oneill's Plant Hire, Equipment Service Record dated 4/6/25 Photographic Record – Noise Blanket Solution Plant Hire, Equipment Maintenance Record, dated 8/5/25 B&K Revegetation and Landscaping, Truck Service Checklist, dated 2/12/24 	<p>A Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP) has been prepared which documents the management measures during the construction phase to mitigate noise impacts. The CNVMP provides details of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working hours with respite provisions for highly intensive noisy activities (such as rock breaking) Construction noise management levels and vibration criteria Timetabling of noisy work at community, religious, educational and other sensitive premises Industry best practice measures Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements (CNVIS) 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			<p>BMD noted that as part of their procurement process, contractors with modern equipment are engaged to avoid older (and noisier) models. An electronic system has been implemented to allow contractors to upload their maintenance records to ensure plant is maintained to minimise noise. Construction Method Statements (CMS) are prepared to ensure best practice methodologies and equipment is used.</p> <p>Evidence provided to the Auditor to demonstrate the implementation of measures to minimise noise and vibration during this audit period included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Photographic records of noise blankets for nighttime works • Service and maintenance records • Noise monitoring results 	
E26	<p>Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements (CNVIS) must be prepared for any work that may exceed the noise management levels or vibration criteria specified in Condition E22 at any residence outside construction hours identified in Condition E18, or where receivers will be highly noise affected. The CNVIS must include specific mitigation measures identified through consultation with affected sensitive land user(s) and the mitigation measures must be implemented for the duration of the work. A copy of the CNVIS must be provided to the ER before the commencement of the associated work. The Planning Secretary may request a copy/ies of CNVIS.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • BMD Utility Connections Out of Hours Work Application • Construction Noise Impact Assessment, Moorebank Ave Realignment • Email from BMD to OptimE titled "Out of Hours for the Utility Connection weekend" dated 12/6/25 	<p>During the Audit period, one Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statement (CNVIS) has been prepared for utility cutover and shutdown (weekend works).</p> <p>Assessment of noise impacts associated with the Works indicates that compliance with the project NMLs will be achieved during all periods at all worksites except for the South Worksite. Noise emissions from the South Worksite are calculated to exceed the NMLs at times when noise intensive activities are undertaken. The CNVIS recommended that temporary acoustic screening is constructed around the South Worksite.</p> <p>The Auditor was provided with photographic evidence demonstrating the implementation of the temporary acoustic screening during the OOH works as well as the results of construction noise compliance monitoring undertaken during the utility cutover and shutdown works (on Sunday 22nd of June 2025).</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			<p>The Auditor reviewed the complaints register and noted there were no complaints received relating to these works.</p> <p>Evidence was provided to the Auditor demonstrating the CNVIS was issued to the ER prior to the works commencing.</p>	
E27	<p>Work undertaken for the delivery of the SSI, including those undertaken by third parties (such as utility relocations), must be coordinated to provide respite. The Proponent must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Reschedule work to provide respite to impacted noise sensitive land user(s); or b) Consider the provision of alternative respite or mitigation to impacted noise sensitive land user(s); and c) provide documentary evidence to the ER in support of any decision made by the Proponent in relation to respite or mitigation. <p>The consideration of respite must also include other CSSI, SSI and SSI projects which may cause cumulative and/or consecutive impacts at receivers affected by the delivery of the SSI</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>The Auditor reviewed noise monitoring results for the Audit period. Based on the results provided, the need to reschedule or coordinate work with other parties has not been required during the Audit period.</p> <p>National Intermodal were aware of works planned for the M5 intersection to commence in late 2025 which may trigger co-ordination requirements when this project has commenced.</p>	Compliant
E28	<p>Within 12 months of the commencement of operation of the SSI, the Proponent must measure for an appropriate period, operational noise to compare performance of the SSI again</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement- not triggered.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E29	<p>The findings of the operational noise monitoring carried out under Condition E28 must be documented in an Operational Noise Compliance Report (ONCR). The ONCR must be prepared in accordance with the Model Validation Guideline (RMS, 16 May 2018 Version 1.1) and must address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Compliance with the operational noise levels predicted in the documents listed in Condition A1; b) Compliance with the operational noise levels in terms of criteria and noise goals established in the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017); c) Methodology, location and frequency of noise monitoring undertaken, including monitoring sites at which SSI noise levels are ascertained, with specific reference to locations indicative of impacts on receivers; d) Details of any complaints and enquiries received in relation to operational noise generated by the SSI between the date of commencement of operation and the date the report was prepared; e) Any required recalibrations of the noise model taking into consideration factors such as noise monitoring and actual traffic numbers and proportions; f) An assessment of the performance and effectiveness of applied noise mitigation measures, where implemented, together with a review and if necessary, reassessment of mitigation measures; and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement- not triggered.	Not Triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>g) Identification of additional measures to those identified in the documents listed in Condition A1, that would be implemented with the objective of meeting the criteria outlined in the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017), when these measures are to be implemented and how their effectiveness is to be measured and reported to the Planning Secretary.</p> <p>The Operational Noise Compliance Report must be submitted to the Planning Secretary within 60 days of completing the operational noise monitoring and made publicly available.</p>			
SOCIO-ECONOMIC, LAND USE AND PROPERTY				
E30	<p>The Proponent must identify the utilities and services (hereafter “services”) potentially affected by construction to determine requirements for diversion, protection and/or support. Alterations to services must be determined by negotiation between the Proponent and the service providers. The Proponent, in consultation with service providers, must avoid, where practical, disruption to services resulting from the Activity and identified disruptions are advised to customers.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • MARW Utilities Register • Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (Utility Schedule), Sheets dated 26/3/25 • Email from BGIS to National Intermodal titled “MARWC IFC Rev01 Issue For Information” dated 18/3/24 • Construction drawings” Liverpool Council Area- traffic signals at Moorebank Avenue and Dispatch Loop Holsworthy” dated • Letter form Optus to BMD Constructions titled “Underground to Underground Relocation” dated 25/3/25 	<p>A register of utilities has been prepared which provides details of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Utility owner • Type of asset • Location • Technical details • Proposed treatment • Conflict description <p>Detailed plans have also been prepared highlighting the location of utilities along with correspondence with utilities authorities demonstrating consultation and negotiation has occurred.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
SOILS				
E31	Before the commencement of any work, erosion and sediment controls must be installed and maintained in accordance with the publication Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils & Construction (4th edition, Landcom 2004) commonly referred to as the 'Blue Book'.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Inspection 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25 • Monthly Water Quality Assessment – May 2025- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), Property Risk Australia, dated 3/7/25 • Dewatering and Discharge Assessment, - 3 July 2025, dated 10/7/25 • Monthly Water Quality Assessment – May 2025- Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), Property Risk Australia, dated 15/7/25 • Permit to Discharge Water – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works -2118, BMD (various permits) • Erosion and Sediment Control Report, SOS Environmental Pty Ltd, dated 28/4/25 • Erosion and Sediment Control Report, SOS Environmental Pty Ltd, dated 30/07/25 • BMD Post Rainfall Inspection Report, ENV 8029 dated 16/6/25 • BMD Post Rainfall Inspection Report, ENV 8103 dated 26/5/25 • BMD Post Rainfall Inspection Report, ENV 8882 dated 11/8/25 • BMD Pre Rainfall Inspection Report, ENV 8066 dated 20/5/25 • BMD Pre Rainfall Inspection Report, ENV 8878 dated 08/08/25 	<p>Erosion and sediment controls observed during the site inspection were consistent with the Blue Book and were appropriate for the activities being undertaken.</p> <p>BMD has appointed an experienced CPESC to undertake monthly inspections of erosion and sediment controls and make recommendations to ensure compliance with the "Blue Book Requirements". The BMD Environment team undertakes weekly inspections as well as pre- and post-rainfall inspections to ensure controls are fully functional prior to a wet weather event with corrective actions implemented following a rain event to ensure any repairs required are completed prior to the next wet weather event. Other records provided to the Auditor to demonstrate the implementation of the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan include dewatering permits as well as water quality monitoring results of sediment basins prior to discharge.</p> <p>The BMD environment team noted that an environmental labour crew has been formed to assist with the implementation of the plan.</p> <p>Recommendation</p> <p>While well documented procedures are in place to discharge treated water from sediment basins, it is recommended signage is installed at each sediment basin discharge point to alert operators to undertake the necessary testing and obtain the correct "Permit to Pump" approvals prior to releasing water from any sediment basin.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Progressive ESCP/Environmental Site Plan-Post Clear and Grub Phase MADR – Sheet1 – List of active ESCP Drawings, dated 8/9/25 BMD Weekly Environmental Inspection Report – WHSE_114164 dated 30/4/25 BMD Weekly Environmental Inspection Report – WHSE_14792 dated 22/07/25 BMD Weekly Environmental Inspection Report – WHSE_15353 dated 19/08/25 		
E32	A NSW EPA-accredited Site Auditor must be engaged throughout the duration of work to oversee work relating to soil or groundwater contamination, management and remediation. If work relating to soil or groundwater contamination is to be completed in stages, the site Auditor must confirm satisfactory completion of each stage by the issuance of Interim Audit Advice/s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Interim Audit Advice and Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan. Condition E33” dated 3/8/23. 	The DPHI letter dated 3/8/23 confirms the appointment of Enviroview as the NSW - EPA Accredited Site Auditor under the <i>Contaminated Land Management Act 1997</i> .	Compliant
E33	An Interim Audit Advice, prepared by a NSW EPA-accredited Site Auditor, must be submitted to the Planning Secretary which verifies that the Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan, included in the documents listed in Condition A1, is appropriate for the scope of targeted investigations in areas before the implementation of the Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Letter from Enviroview titled “Site Audit Interim Advice-Review and Approval of the revised Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Sampling, Analysis and Quality Plan, prepared by Arcadis” dated 4/7/23 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Interim Audit Advice and Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan. Condition E33” dated 3/8/23. 	<p>The letter from Enviroview dated 4/7/23 notes that “<i>with consideration to comments above, the Arcadis SAQP is agreed to and approved by the Site Auditor and is considered appropriate for the scope of targeted investigations. The Interim Advice can be presented in compliance with condition E33 of the State Significant Infrastructure Approval SSI -11053</i>”.</p> <p>The letter from DPHI dated 3/8/23 notes the interim Audit advice dated 4 July 2023:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has been prepared and issued by a NSW EPA Accredited Site Auditor under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agrees to and approves the SAQP, Revision E dated 7 July 2023 as updated and is considered appropriate for the scope of targeted investigations before the implementation of the SAQP. Indicates that it does not constitute the final Site Audit Report and/or Site Audit Statement and Has been submitted to the Department prior to construction 	
E34	The targeted investigations must be undertaken before commencement of construction in accordance with the Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan. Reporting of findings must be prepared in accordance with the relevant guidelines made or approved by the EPA under Section 105 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 by a person(s) accredited under the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. National Intermodal, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project, Targeted Site Contamination Investigation Report, May 2024, WSP, Rev D, 17/5/24 	<p>The targeted site investigations have been completed, and a report finalised. Section 9.2 of the report details the soil sampling scope as defined in Section 7 of the SAQP (Arcadis, 2023) Appendix A.</p> <p>Both the author and reviewer are accredited CPENV professionals</p>	Compliant
E35	If the targeted site investigations required by Condition E34 indicate that remediation is required to make land suitable for the final intended land use, a Remedial Action Plan must be prepared or reviewed and approved, by a person(s) certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Inspection 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. National Intermodal, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project, Targeted Site Contamination Investigation Report, May 2024, WSP, Rev D, 17/5/24 National Intermodal, Remediation Action Plan, Moorebank Re-alignment Project, WSP, Rev C dated 5/7/24 	<p>The targeted investigations identified the following contamination issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fill material impacted with asbestos fines and friable asbestos (considered friable) at a location in the Southern Investigation Area within the Sydney Trains owned land Stockpiles impacted with bonded asbestos in the Southern investigation Area B within the Sydney Trains owned land 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minutes of Meeting – Weekly Contamination Meeting, BMD, dated 02/09/25 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sediment impacted with organochlorine pesticides within the Anzac Creek Culvert crossing area <p>The target investigations recommended the preparation of a Remediation Action Plan to document remediation of the identified asbestos contaminated soils at the southern investigation Area (B) (within the Sydney Trains Land) and the organochlorine pesticides (OCP) hot spot identified within the Anzac Creek Culvert crossing.</p> <p>Following completion of the targeted investigations a Remediation Action Plan was prepared by WSP.</p> <p>Both the author and reviewer of the RAP are accredited CPENV professionals.</p> <p>Remediation has now commenced. A weekly meeting has been established to coordinate management actions relating to remediation.</p>	
E36	The Remedial Action Plan must be prepared in accordance with relevant guidelines made or approved by the EPA under section 105 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997. The Remedial Action Plan must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information before remediation commences.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. National Intermodal, Remediation Action Plan, Moorebank Re-alignment Project, WSP, Rev C dated 5/7/24 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Remedial Action Plan, Condition E36" dated 14/2/25 	<p>DPHI acknowledged receipt of the RAP on 14/2/25 noting the RAP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has been prepared in accordance with the relevant guideline with made by NSW EPA under section 105 of the <i>Contaminated Land Management Act (1997)</i> and Has been submitted to the Department before remediation commencement. 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E37	<p>The Remedial Action Plan must include measures to remediate contamination at the site to make the site suitable for the proposed use and detail how the environmental and human health risks will be managed during the disturbance, remediation and/or removal of contaminated soil/sediment or groundwater.</p> <p>Nothing in this condition prevents the preparation of individual Remedial Action Plans for separate sites.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • National Intermodal, Remediation Action Plan, Moorebank Re-alignment Project, WSP, Rev C dated 5/7/24 • Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled “Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Remedial Action Plan, Condition Letter from Enviroview to National Intermodal titled “Site Audit Interim Advice – Review of the National Intermodal Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project, Revised Remediation Action Plan, prepared by WSP EMM Consulting” dated 25/7/24 	<p>The Remediation Action Plan is comprehensive and has been endorsed by the Contaminated Sites Auditor.</p>	Compliant
E38	<p>Before implementing a required Remedial Action Plan, an Interim Audit Advice or Section B Site Audit Statement(s) prepared by a NSW EPA-Accredited Site Auditor must be submitted to the Planning Secretary, for information, that certifies that implementation of the Remedial Action Plan would make the site suitable for the proposed use</p> <p>Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from engaging the Site Auditor to prepare Site Audit Statements for separate sites</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Letter from Enviroview to National Intermodal titled “Site Audit Interim Advice – Review of the National Intermodal Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project, Revised Remediation Action Plan, prepared by WSP EMM Consulting” dated 25/7/24 	<p>An interim Audit Advice was provided on 25/7/24 and noted the following:</p> <p><i>“Based on the review of the site investigation works and the review of an earlier version of the RAP, the above referenced RAP is approved by the Site Auditor, and it is the opinion of the Site Auditor that if implemented the site can be made suitable for the proposed land use”</i></p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E39	<p>If remediation is required, a Validation Report must be prepared and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information for the development before commencement of use. The Validation Report must be prepared, or reviewed and approved, by a person(s) certified under the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme. The Validation Report must:</p> <p>(a) Be prepared in accordance with the relevant guidelines made or approved by the EPA under section 105 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997.</p> <p>(b) Include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Comment on the extent and nature of the remediation undertaken; (ii) Describe the location, nature and extent of any remaining contamination as well as any ongoing management requirements; (iii) Classification and appropriate removal/disposal of the stockpiled materials; (iv) Clearance survey of unexploded ordnance (UXO), Explosive Ordnance, and removal of Explosive Ordnance Waste (EOW); 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>As noted above, a Remediation Action Plan has been prepared and endorsed by the Contaminated Sites Auditor. As noted in condition E35, remediation has now commenced, and a weekly meeting established to coordinate management actions relating to remediation.</p> <p>The validation report is required to be prepared following completion of remediation.</p>	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>(v) Sampling and analysis and sampling methodology undertaken as part of the remediation;</p> <p>(vi) If treated material is to remain on the subject site, results of sampling of treated material, compared with treatment criteria specified in the Remedial Action Plan required by Condition E35;</p> <p>(vii) Results of any validation sampling, compared to relevant guidelines/criteria; and</p> <p>(viii) Comment on the suitability of the area for the intended land use;</p> <p>c) be submitted to the Planning Secretary and DAWE for information one month after the completion of remediation works</p>			
E40	Before opening of the road to traffic, land subject to the Remedial Action Plan must not be used for the purpose approved under the terms of this approval until a Section A1 or A2 Site Audit Statement (accompanied by an Environmental Management Plan) is submitted to the Planning Secretary for information which states that the land is suitable for that purpose and any conditions on the Section A Site Audit Statement have been complied with.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Pre-operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E41	Before construction, the proponent must provide the EPA and DAWE with a copy of all reports to date relating to the assessment of per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) undertaken for the development and in relation to contamination from the development.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Contaminated Sites, Condition E41 dated 10/10/23. 	Relevant documentation and reports in accordance with this condition were submitted to the EPA and DAWE (DCCEEW) on the 17/10/23. The information was also provided to DPHI for information.	Compliant
E42	The EPA must be contacted within 1 month of PFAS identification if a potential risk to off-site receptors is identified to discuss requirements for community consultation. DAWE must also be notified that PFAS has been identified and is a potential risk to off-site receptors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. National Intermodal, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project, Targeted Site Contamination Investigation Report, May 2024, WSP, Rev D, 17/5/24 	<p>The site investigation report identifies the presence of PFAS in soils. At this stage of the project, excavation and disturbance of PFAS contaminated soils has occurred.</p> <p>EPL includes requirements for PFAS.</p>	Not triggered
E43	An Unexpected Finds Procedure for Contamination must be prepared before the commencement of work, implemented throughout construction and must be followed should unexpected contamination or asbestos (or suspected contamination) be excavated or otherwise discovered. The procedure must include details of who will be responsible for implementing the unexpected finds procedure and the roles and responsibilities of all parties involved. The procedure must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information before work commences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAR Construction Contamination Management Plan, 23/05/23 – Appendix C. Photographic Records (UFX-008) Load and Co, Daily Load Docket dated 16/6/25 BMD Incident Report (INC__5843) dated 12/6/25 Letter from Property Risk Australia to BMD titled "Asbestos Bulk Sample Analysis Report – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – UXF 008 – Zone 3 Southern Section of the ACM Impacted Soil Remediation Work Area" dated 16/6/25 Asbestos Clearance Report, Property Risk Australia, reference J02919 – ACM002-V2, removal dated 30/5/25 and 17 June 2025 	<p>Appendix C of the Construction Contaminated Land Management Subplan contains the unexpected finds procedure.</p> <p>Evidence provided to the Auditor demonstrating implementation of the Unexpected Finds Procedure include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Photographic evidence of asbestos finds Material transportation dockets Incident reports with details of corrective actions Laboratory analysis reports Asbestos materials clearance reports providing details of visual clearance inspections undertaken as well as details of air monitoring undertaken Notification of unexpected asbestos finds <p>Evidence observed on site included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Separation and delineation of asbestos stockpiles Asbestos advisory signage 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certificate of Analysis Asbestos Fibre Identification Report, UXF 008, Property Risk Australia, ref J02919_AS014_V1, dated 11/6/25 Letter from Property Risk Australia to BMD titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), Moorebank, NSW 2170, Waste Classification Sample Density Summary – Nominated Areas of Zone 3" dated 28/7/25 UXF 008 Location Map General Correspondence #GC-295 - Notification of unexpected potential contamination find No.8" dated 12/6/25 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geofabric covering of asbestos stockpiles to prevent air borne fibre generation 	
TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT				
E44	Subject to Condition E45, before opening of any part of the road to traffic, all land required for the SSI, including that required for pedestrian/shared paths, intersection design and treatments and maintenance access must be dedicated or the dedication procured (as applicable) as a public road, at no cost to government or council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E45	<p>Condition E44 does not prevent use of that part of the SSI to maintain operational access between the internal Moorebank Precinct East Road and MPE warehouses (WH3–WH8 only) pending the dedication of the operational access as part of the land required for the SSI in accordance with Condition E44. During the interim operational access period, all operational traffic must enter and exit the MPE via the existing approved access point on Moorebank Avenue.</p> <p>Note: The use of the SSI for operational traffic access to MPE warehouses WH3 – WH8 via the Moorebank Precinct East internal road network is intended to be a temporary measure, pending dedication of the SSI as public road.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered
E46	Public access to Moorebank Avenue on its current alignment between Anzac Road and the bridge over the East Hills railway must be maintained until the project is opened to traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	There were no restrictions of public access to Moorebank Avenue observed during the Audit site inspection.	Compliant
E47	Access to utilities and properties must be maintained during work. If an access is affected by work, this must be agreed to by the relevant utility owner, landowner or occupier before the amended or a temporary alternative access is provided.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Access to utilities and properties were observed to be maintained during the Audit inspection.	Compliant
E48	Property access physically affected by the SSI must be reinstated or an alternative provided to at least an equivalent standard, before opening of the relevant part of the project to traffic. Any change to pre-existing property access must be agreed to by the landowner or occupier before the change is made.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	No property access was physically affected at the time of the Audit inspection.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	Note: appropriate planning approval for permanent replacement of property access must be obtained before the replacement is provided			
E49	Locations of all heavy vehicles used for spoil haulage must be monitored in real time and the records of monitoring be made available electronically to the Planning Secretary and the EPA upon request for a period of no less than one year following the completion of construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Supply Contract, Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment Works, Supplier Contract Number, 21188049, dated 19/9/25 BMD Email titled "Re Real Time Tracking.msg" 	<p>The Construction Traffic Management Plan commits the Construction Contractor to use real time monitoring to record the location and movement of trucks hauling spoil. The records of these movements are to be stored electronically and provided to the Planning Secretary.</p> <p>At the time of the Audit, earthworks had commenced, and an earthworks contractor has been appointed. The Auditor was provided with a copy of the relevant excerpts of the earthworks contract which includes a specific requirement for the earthworks contractor to ensure all nominated haulage routes are always adhered to by heavy vehicle operators, including suppliers and subcontractors, and systems must be in place to always monitor the location of the vehicle.</p> <p>Email evidence provided to the Auditor confirms the implementation of the System.</p> <p>The Planning Secretary or the EPA have not requested a copy of the real time monitoring during the Audit period.</p>	Compliant
E50	Moorebank Avenue south of the Moorebank Avenue rail overbridge and local roads must not be used by heavy vehicles to access the site, except for access to/from Glenfield Waste Services Facility. Records of those vehicles accessing Glenfield Waste Services Facility via this route must be maintained for the duration of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. MAR SSI-10053 Construction Traffic and Transport Management Plan, August 2023 	Figure 6.1 of the CTMP provides details of haulage routes to the project via the Southwestern Motorway and Moorebank Avenue with access to the Glenfield Waste Facility via Cambridge Avenue. The haulage route does not include any local roads.	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Load and Go Daily Load Docket, dated 10/6/25 Load and Go Daily Load Docket, dated 16/6/25 Load and Go Daily Load Docket, dated 20/6/25 	Records for vehicles accessing the Glenfield Waste Services Facility were provided to the Auditor.	
E51	Before activities requiring use of heavy vehicles for the purposes of the SSI commence, a Road Dilapidation Report must be prepared. A copy of the Road Dilapidation Report must be provided to the relevant council within three weeks of completion of the survey and no later than one month before the road being used by heavy vehicles associated with the SSI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Document transmittal record (reference TRN-121-2118-00056- Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI 10053) dated 12/3/25 Preconstruction Condition Assessment of Moorebank Avenue: Project: Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – MARW Rev A dated 10/3/25 	A road dilapidation report has been prepared of Moorebank Avenue. A copy of the report has been provided to LCC.	Compliant
E52	<p>If damage to roads occurs as a result of the SSI, the Proponent must either (at the relevant road authority's discretion):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Compensate the relevant road authority for the damage so caused; or Rectify the damage to restore the road to at least the condition it was in pre-works as identified in the Road Dilapidation Report(s); or Make satisfactory arrangements with the relevant road authority(ies) regarding the reinstatement of roads used by heavy vehicles for construction where those roads continue to be used by heavy vehicles for construction of the MLP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	No damage to local roads reported or observed during the Audit site inspection.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E53	Despite Condition 0, public roads used by heavy vehicles to access the construction site must be maintained in a safe condition for public use at all times.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Only a small number of heavy vehicles have been used to date for the establishment of the compound. No safety issues relating to public roads was identified by the Audit.	Compliant
E54	<p>Vehicles (including light and heavy vehicles) associated with the SSI must be managed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Minimise parking on public roads; b) Minimise idling and queueing on state and regional roads; c) Not carry out marshalling of construction vehicles near sensitive land user(s); d) Not block or disrupt access across pedestrian or shared user paths at any time; and e) Ensure spoil haulage vehicles are made aware of the nominated haulage routes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Traffic Management Plan, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), National Intermodal Terminal Corporation Limited (NIC), BMD dated 22/11/24 MARW Site Compound Vehicle Management Plan dated 18/3/25 BMD Drivers Code of Conduct MARW External – Approved VMP – 20251017 MARW Approved VMP -20251022 	<p>All vehicles were observed to be contained within the site. No reports of vehicles parking on public roads, idling, queueing etc recorded during the Audit period.</p> <p>BMD have prepared a Drivers Code of Conduct to communicate the site requirements to drivers.</p> <p>As noted in Section 3.5, recommendations from the previous audit have been closed out.</p>	Compliant
E55	During construction, all reasonably practicable measures must be implemented to maintain pedestrian and vehicular access to, and parking in the vicinity of, businesses and affected properties. Disruptions must be avoided, and where avoidance is not possible, minimised. Where disruption cannot be avoided, alternative pedestrian and vehicular access, and parking arrangements must be developed in consultation with affected businesses and implemented before the disruption. Adequate signage and directions to businesses must be provided before, and for the duration of, any disruption.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 	<p>No impacts to pedestrian, vehicular access or parking observed during the Audit site inspection.</p> <p>Existing access and carparking arrangements to the “Piccolo Me” café located adjacent to the site on Moorebank Avenue have been maintained.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E56	<p>The SSI must be designed and constructed to meet relevant design, engineering and safety guidelines, including the Austroads Guide to Traffic Management for new or modified roads, intersections, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure and in accordance with the requirements of Schedule 3 Clause 3 of the Voluntary Planning Agreement (SVPA-2018-9696) executed on 21 March 2019 to the satisfaction of Transport for NSW.</p> <p>Note: Schedule 3 Clause 3 of SVPA-2018-9696 requires that the developer enter into a WAD with TfNSW in relation to the Moorebank Avenue Realignment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – 100% Detailed design report, Arcadis dated 28/2/25 	<p>Although design has commenced, the requirements of this condition are to be fulfilled upon completion of construction</p> <p>Further assessment will be required following completion of construction.</p>	Not triggered
E57	<p>Before commencement of construction in relation to intersections, an assessment of appropriate intersection treatments must be undertaken of the intersections identified in section 5.3.5 of the EIS identified in Condition A1, to inform the final design of the SSI in consultation with and to the satisfaction of TfNSW. Justification of the preferred option must be supported by the findings of the assessment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Traffic Assessment Report, Anson Group, dated 02/06/23 Transport for NSW, Liverpool Council Area, Traffic Signals at Moorebank Avenue and Dispatch Loop, Holsworthy, (Reg No: DS2024/000141 Rev A dated 11/3/25 	<p>At the time of the Audit, the construction of intersections had not commenced, however the assessment has been undertaken and design drawings completed. TfNSW approved the design on 11/3/25</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E58	<p>An independent Road Safety Audit must be undertaken to assess the safety performance of new or modified road, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure provided as part of the SSI (including ancillary facilities) to ensure that they meet the requirements of relevant design, engineering and safety guidelines, including Austroads Guide to Traffic Management.</p> <p>The Audit must be undertaken by an appropriately qualified and experienced person during detailed design development (Audit of plans) and before opening (pre-opening Audit).</p> <p>The Audit findings and recommendations of the detailed design plans (Audit of the plans) must be actioned before construction of the relevant infrastructure. The pre-opening Audit findings and recommendations must be actioned before the relevant infrastructure is dedicated, or the dedication procured, as a public road consistent with Condition E44. Implementation of all actions emanating from the Audits must be to the satisfaction of TfNSW.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Pre- Construction Detailed Design Road Safety Audit, TTPP, dated 4/8/25 	<p>An independent Safety Audit has been undertaken by a specialist traffic transport company (TTP consulting)</p> <p>Table 4.2 of the Road Safety Audit report details the findings of the Audit, with recommendations and designer comments.</p> <p>At the time of the Audit, clearing and grubbing had commenced however the construction of the relevant road components had not commenced.</p> <p>Therefore, further assessment will be required to be undertaken during future Audits to assess compliance</p>	Not triggered
E59	<p>The Proponent must prepare an Operational Road Network Performance Review, within 12 months and again within five years after the commencement of operation of the SSI. The Review must address road network performance and review the effects of the SSI on the adjoining road network.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not Triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>The Review must be undertaken in consultation with relevant council(s) and TfNSW and be completed within six months of the review timeframes. The Review must be provided to the Planning Secretary within 60 days of its completion.</p> <p>The identification of further mitigation measures, if required, must be included in the Review. The Proponent is responsible for the implementation of the identified measures.</p> <p>Note: Identified mitigation measures may need to be further assessed under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Work will need to meet relevant design standards and subject to independent road safety Audits.</p>			
PLACE DESIGN AND VISUAL AMENITY				
E60	The SSI must be constructed in a manner that minimises visual impacts of construction sites, including providing temporary landscaping and vegetative screening of the construction sites, minimising light spill, and incorporating architectural treatment and finishes within key elements of temporary structures that reflect the context within which the construction sites are located.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	No work was being undertaken that would result in unacceptable visual impacts to residents or any other sensitive receivers. The site compound was in an area of low visual sensitivity and away from residential areas.	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E61	An Urban Design and Landscape Plan must be prepared to inform the final design of the SSI and to give effect to the commitments made in the documents listed in Condition A1. The Plan does not apply to work, which for technical, engineering, or ecological requirements or other requirements as agreed by the Planning Secretary, that does not allow for alternate design outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Urban Design and Landscape Plan, Design Report, dated 23/5/25 	An Urban Design and Landscape Plan has been prepared by an experienced Urban Design company (TRACT) and approved by DPHI. Further details are provided in Condition E62 below.	Compliant
E62	<p>The UDLP must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person in consultation with relevant government agencies, relevant councils, the Community Consultative Committee, established by Condition B1, and affected landowners and businesses. The UDLP must include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> An analysis of the built, natural and community context and the urban design objectives, principles and standards for the SSI; The design of the SSI elements including their form, materials and detail, including provisions for active transport where these form part of the project; The design of the project landform and earthworks; The location of existing vegetation, areas of vegetation to be retained and proposed planting and seeding details, including the use of local indigenous species for revegetation activities; The location of existing heritage items and recorded Aboriginal objects; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Urban Design and Landscape Plan, Design Report, dated 23/5/25 Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Urban Design and Landscape Plan, Conditions E61-E67" dated 8/1/25 	<p>The UDLP has been prepared by experienced urban design and landscaping specialists TRACT.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 2 "Contextual Analysis, addresses the requirements of E62 a) Sections 4, 5 and 5.2.2 Address the requirements E 62 b) Section 4 and 5 address the requirements of E62 c) Section 2.6 and Section 7 address the requirements of E62 d) Section 2.8 addresses the requirements of E62 e) Sections 2.8 and 2.9 address the requirements of E62 f) Section 9 addresses the requirements of E62 g) Section 4 addresses the requirements of E62 h) Section 7 addresses the requirements of E62 i) Section 8 addresses the requirements of E62 j) <p>The UDLP was approved by DPHI on 8/1/25 noting the UDLP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has been prepared in consultation with Transport for NSW, Department of Defence, Liverpool City Council, Community Consultative Committee and affected landowners and businesses Contains the information required under the relevant conditions of approval 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>f) Details of how Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal heritage interpretation and public art are incorporated within the design of built features, having regard to the results of any archaeological investigations;</p> <p>g) Visual screening requirements;</p> <p>h) Developed visuals, cross sections and plans showing the proposed design outcome;</p> <p>i) Details of strategies to rehabilitate, regenerate or revegetate disturbed areas; and</p> <p>j) Management and routine maintenance standards and regimes for design elements and landscaping work (including weed management).</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes a tree survey Has been submitted to the Department prior to the commencement of construction of the permanent built surface works prior to the commencement of construction. <p>At the time of the Audit site inspection, permanent built works and landscaping had not commenced.</p>	
E63	Unless otherwise agreed with the Planning Secretary, construction of permanent built surface works or landscaping that are the subject of the UDLP must not commence (in the area to which the UDLP applies) until the UDLP has been approved by the Planning Secretary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Letter from DPHI to National Intermodal titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Urban Design and Landscape Plan, Conditions E61-E67" dated 8/1/25 Email from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "MARW – Updated Landscape Plans" dated 29/8/25 Letter from National Intermodal to DPHI titled "Moorebank Avenue Realignment (SSI-10053) Urban Design and Landscape Plan – Updated Landscape Plans" dated 29/8/25 	<p>The UDLP was approved by DPHI on 8/1/25 noting the UDLP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has been prepared in consultation with Transport for NSW, Department of Defence, Liverpool City Council, Community Consultative Committee and affected landowners and businesses Contains the information required under the relevant conditions of approval Includes a tree survey Has been submitted to the Department prior to the commencement of construction of the permanent built surface works prior to the commencement of construction. <p>During the Audit period, the UDLP was updated and resubmitted to DPHI for approval noting the following changes to the landscape plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intersection redesign Southern realignment 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removal of a retaining wall <p>No changes were made to either the UDLP or the tree survey.</p> <p>At the time of the Audit site inspection, permanent built works and landscaping had not commenced</p>	
E64	The UDLP, as approved by the Planning Secretary, must be implemented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Not triggered. Built form and landscaping works that are subject to the UDLP have not commenced at the time of the Audit.	Not triggered
E65	<p>Revegetation and the provision of replacement trees must be informed by a Tree Survey undertaken during detailed design. The Tree Survey must identify the number, type and location of trees to be removed, except for trees that are offset under Condition E5. The Tree Survey must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information with the Urban Design and Landscape Plan required under Condition E61.</p> <p>Where trees will be removed, a net increase in the number of replacement trees must be provided at a ratio of 2:1, except trees that are offset under Condition E5. Replacement trees must have a minimum pot size consistent with the relevant government authority(ies) or relevant council's plans / programs / strategies for vegetation management, street planting, or open space landscaping, or as agreed by the relevant authority(ies).</p> <p><i>Note: For the purposes of this condition, the relevant authority is that State or local government authority that owns or manages the land on which the replacement trees will be planted.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 18/01/24 Arboriculture Report for Development, Site location, Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), Moorebank NSW, 15/11/25 	<p>Revegetation and provision of replacement trees have not commenced.</p> <p>The tree survey is incorporated into the Urban Design and Landscape Report (Appendix 2)</p> <p>Table 2 of the tree report includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tree ID Tree Species Height Canopy Spread (Radius) Impact Recommendation for removal <p>Section 7 of the UDLP includes details of landscape design. Table 5 notes that at total of 164 trees will be removed with 847 trees replanted. The net increase in trees is 683 and is greater than the 2:1 ratio described in this condition.</p>	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E66	Replacement and enhancement of vegetative screening along the project corridor must be undertaken in a progressive manner during construction to allow for its early establishment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Urban Design and Landscape Plan, Design Report, dated 23/5/25 Moorebank Intermodal Biobank Offset Area BA 341 Annual Report Year 4, dated 15/5/25 	Replacement and enhancement of vegetation screening have not commenced.	Not triggered
E67	The UDLP required by Condition E61 must include a Landscape Strategy which details the type, size, number and location of replacement trees. The report must demonstrate how replacement plantings are consistent with the requirements of Condition E65 and Condition E66.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Urban Design and Landscape Plan, Design Report, dated 23/5/25 	Section 7 of the UDLP includes details of landscape design. Table 5 notes that at total of 164 trees will be removed with 847 trees replanted. The net increase in trees is 683 and is greater than the 2:1 ratio described in Condition E65. Condition E66 is not triggered, as noted above.	Compliant
E68	<p>The ongoing maintenance and operation costs of urban design, open space, landscaping and recreational items and work implemented as part of this approval remain the Proponent's responsibility until satisfactory arrangements have been put in place for the transfer of the asset to the relevant authority. Before the transfer of assets, the Proponent must maintain items and work to at least the design standards established in the UDLP, required by Condition E62.</p> <p>The Planning Secretary must be advised of the date of transfer of the asset(s) to the relevant authority.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E69	Should replacement trees and plantings loss occur during the maintenance period, the plants must be replaced with the same plant species unless it is determined by a suitably qualified person that a different species is more suitable for that location.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered
E70	Management and routine maintenance for design elements and landscaping work (including weed management) to ensure the success of the design and landscape outcomes must be undertaken for the life of the SSI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational Requirement – not triggered	Not triggered
WASTE				
E71	<p>Waste management during construction and operation must be considered against a hierarchy of the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Avoidance of waste generation; b) Re-use, recycle or recovery; c) Treatment or disposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 BMD Waste disposal register dated 11/9/25 Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 13/7/25 Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 16/6/25 Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 20/6/25 Letter from Property Risk Australia to BMD titled "Ex Situ Waste Classification Assessment – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – Zone 2, Stockpile WC012 SP01 Between Chainage 960 and 1000 and Stockpile WC012 SP02 Between Chainage 1760 and 1820" dated 7/8/25 	<p>A waste tracking register has been prepared which includes details of waste description, classification, volume, destination and the EPL of the waste disposal destination.</p> <p>Waste recycling bins are provided on site for cardboard and paper.</p> <p>As noted in condition C9, reuse opportunities for cleared vegetation are being taken within the project including the reuse of mulch on site and the reuse of materials for the disused rail spur adjacent to the site. Some timber was stockpiled within the site for beneficial reuse.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E72	Waste importation and the storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal of waste must comply with the conditions of an EPL for the SSI where one applies, or be undertaken in accordance with an applicable Resource Recovery Exemption or Order, issued under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014, as the case may be	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Import Material Tracker – MARW dated 5/9/25 • S143 ENM Certificate dated 28/8/25 • Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM) Report, CEC Geotechnical, dated 1/7/25 • Waste Classification Certificates EI Australia, dated 28/11/24 • National Intermodal, General Correspondence # GC-394 – Fourth Source of imported fill, dated 24/7/25 • National Intermodal, General Correspondence # GC-404 – Seventh Source of imported fill, dated 28/7/25 	<p>A material import tracker has been implemented which provides details of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The date of import • Material type and classification (where applicable) • Company importing the material • Receiving location within the site and • Quantity <p>Other evidence provided to the Auditor demonstrating compliance with this condition included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 143 certificate for the importation of 400,000 tonnes of VENM • Virgin excavated material report, providing details and results of insitu soil contaminant testing • Waste classification certificates <p>There were no treatment, storage or processing of waste observed during the audit site inspection</p>	Compliant
E73	Waste must only be exported to a site licensed by the EPA for the storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal of the subject waste, or in accordance with a Resource Recovery Exemption or Order issued under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014, or to any other place that can lawfully accept the waste.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25 • BMD Waste disposal register dated 11/9/25 • Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 13/7/25 • Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 16/6/25 • Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 20/6/25 	<p>A waste tracking register has been prepared which includes details of waste description, classification, volume, destination and the EPL of the waste disposal destination.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter from Property Risk Australia to BMD titled “Ex Situ Waste Classification Assessment – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – Zone 2, Stockpile WC012 SP01 Between Chainage 960 and 1000 and Stockpile WC012 SP02 Between Chainage 1760 and 1820” dated 7/8/25 		
E74	All waste must be classified in accordance with the EPA’s Waste Classification Guidelines, with appropriate records and disposal dockets retained for Audit purposes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25 BMD Waste disposal register dated 11/9/25 Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 13/7/25 Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 16/6/25 Load & Go, Daily Load Docket dated 20/6/25 Letter from Property Risk Australia to BMD titled “Ex Situ Waste Classification Assessment – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – Zone 2, Stockpile WC012 SP01 Between Chainage 960 and 1000 and Stockpile WC012 SP02 Between Chainage 1760 and 1820” dated 7/8/25 	<p>As noted above the waste tracking register includes the details of the waste classification of materials tracked from the site.</p> <p>Appropriate waste disposal records were provided to the Auditor.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
WATER				
E75	The SSI must be designed, constructed and operated so as to maintain the NSW Water Quality Objectives where they are being achieved as at the date of this approval, and contribute towards achievement of the NSW Water Quality Objectives over time where they are not being achieved as at the date of this approval, unless an EPL in force in respect of the SSI contains different requirements in relation to the NSW Water Quality Objectives, in which case those requirements must be complied with.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Environment Protection Licence Number 21972 Permit to Discharge Water – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – 2118, BMD (various) dated 4/7/25, 4/7/25, 5/7/25, 5/7/25, 7/7/25, 7/7/25, 8/7/25, 9/7/25, 	<p>An Environment Protection Licence (EPL # 21972) has been obtained for the construction phase.</p> <p>Section 3 of the EPL sets limit conditions and concentration limits for the discharge of waters as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No visible oil and grease Ph 6.5-8.5 Turbidity < 50 NTU <p>Prior to the discharge from a sediment basin, the water is tested for the above parameters with a permit to discharge form completed.</p>	Compliant
E76	Drainage feature crossings (permanent and temporary watercourse crossings and stream diversions) and drainage swales and depressions must be carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and designed by a suitably qualified and experienced person.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW) – 100% Detailed design report, Arcadis dated 28/2/25 Progressive ESCP /Environmental Site Plan, Post Clear and Grub Phase dated 7/3/25 Environmental Work Method Statement, Works within a waterway and alongside sensitive areas, BMD dated 14/3/25 	<p>One drainage crossing was observed to be impacted by the works (Anzac Creek) with a temporary diversion installed to maintain flows through the work site.</p> <p>Erosion and sediment controls including geofabric and mulch bunding. Tree roots had been retained on the creek embankment to prevent erosion of the embankment. There were no chemicals or fuels stored adjacent to the waterway.</p> <p>Documentation prepared by BMD to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this condition included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental Work Method Statement for works within a waterway and alongside sensitive areas Progressive erosion and sediment control plans, prepared by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control 	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E77	Work on waterfront land must be carried out in accordance with controlled activity guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	There has been no work undertaken on waterfront land to date.	Not triggered
E78	<p>Unless an EPL is in force in respect to the SSI and that licence specifies alternative criteria, discharges from construction water treatment plants to surface waters must not exceed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2018 (ANZG 2018) default guideline values for toxicants at the 90 per cent species protection level; For physical and chemical stressors, the guideline values set out in Tables 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 of the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2000; and For bioaccumulative and persistent toxicants, the ANZG 2018 values at a minimum of 95 per cent species protection level. <p>Where the ANZG 2018 does not provide a default guideline value for a particular pollutant, the approaches set out in the ANZG 2018 for deriving guideline values, using interim guideline values and/or using other lines of evidence such as international scientific literature or water quality guidelines from other countries, must be used</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. Environment Protection Licence Number 21972 Permit to Discharge Water – Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works – 2118, BMD (various) dated 4/7/25, 4/7/25, 5/7/25, 5/7/25, 7/7/25, 7/7/25, 8/7/25, 9/7/25, 	<p>An Environment Protection Licence (EPL # 21972) has been obtained for the construction phase.</p> <p>Section 3 of the EPL sets limit conditions and concentration limits for the discharge of waters as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No visible oil and grease Ph 6.5-8.5 Turbidity < 50 NTU <p>Prior to the discharge from a sediment basin, the water is tested for the above parameters with a permit to discharge form completed.</p>	Compliant

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E79	<p>If stormwater discharges are proposed during construction, a Water Pollution Impact Assessment commensurate with the potential risk and consistent with the National Water Quality Guidelines must be prepared and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information. The assessment at a minimum must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Provide details of the discharge locations and receiving waterways; b) Characterise the expected discharge quality under typical and worst-case conditions for all pollutants present at levels that pose a risk of non-trivial harm to human health or the environment; c) Assess the potential impact of discharges on the environmental values of the receiving waterway, including typical through to worst-case scenarios with reference to the relevant Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZG 2018) for slightly to moderately disturbed ecosystems for standard receiving environments; and d) Demonstrate that all practical and reasonable measures to avoid discharges (e.g. stormwater reuse or tankering for offsite disposal) and minimise water pollution and protect human health and the environment from harm are investigated and implemented. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works, Construction Water Quality and Discharge Assessment, 9/11/23 • Environment Protection Licence (EPL# 21972) 	<p>A Water Pollution Impact Assessment has been prepared.</p> <p>An Environment Protection Licence (EPL # 21972) has been obtained for the construction phase. BMD is the licence holder.</p>	Compliant
E80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Unless an EPL is in force in respect to the SSI and that licence specifies alternative criteria, discharges from operation water treatment plants to surface waters must not exceed: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<p>b) The ANZG 2018 default guideline values for toxicants at the 95 per cent species protection level;</p> <p>c) For physical and chemical stressors, the guideline values set out in Tables 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 of the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000); and</p> <p>d) For bioaccumulative and persistent toxicants, the ANZG 2018 guideline values at a minimum of 99 per cent species protection level.</p> <p>Where the ANZG 2018 does not provide a default guideline value for a particular pollutant, the approaches set out in the ANZG 2018 for deriving guideline values, using interim guideline values and/or using other lines of evidence such as international scientific literature or water quality guidelines from other countries, must be used</p>			
E81	<p>The ability of the receiving drainage systems to effectively convey pavement drainage from the SSI must be determined where it is proposed to discharge these flows to the receiving drainage systems. This must be done in consultation with the relevant council(s) and Sydney Water and the outcomes documented in the Stormwater Drainage Report required under Condition E82.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Observations 11/9/25 Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	Operational requirement – not triggered.	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
E82	<p>A Stormwater Drainage Report must be prepared at least one month before the commencement of any new permanent drainage works, modifications or connections to existing drainage works, construction of hard surfaces that are associated with the operation of the project and would result in runoff to existing stormwater drainage systems. The Stormwater Drainage Report must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Assess the potential impacts of pavement drainage discharges from the SSI drainage systems on the receiving environment and capacity of council or Sydney Water drainage infrastructure; b) Identify all mitigation measures to be implemented where pavement drainage from the SSI drainage systems are predicted to adversely impact on the receiving environment or capacity of council or Sydney Water drainage infrastructure; and c) Set out a clear time frame for the implementation of mitigation measures. <p>Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing separate Stormwater Drainage Reports for pavement discharges to the drainage system provided that each report is prepared at least one month before the subject works/discharges commencing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. 	<p>Permanent drainage works have not commenced construction therefore the requirements of this condition has not been triggered.</p>	Not triggered
E83	<p>New or modified drainage systems associated with the SSI must be designed to:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Observations 11/9/25 • Audit Interview 11/9/25. • Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works (MARW), 100% Detailed Design Report, dated 28/2/25 	<p>Permanent drainage works have not been constructed – not triggered.</p>	Not triggered

Part E – KEY ISSUE CONDITIONS

ID	Requirement	Evidence Collected	Independent Audit Findings & Recommendations	Compliance Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Where relevant, meet the capacity constraints of the council's drainage system to receive and convey the proposed flows from the SSI, or otherwise upgrade council's drainage system at the Proponent's expense, in consultation with the relevant council(s); b) Minimise impacts on the receiving environment at the final outflow point resulting from any additional flow volume (including, but not limited to scour, flooding, water quality impacts, and impacts on riparian vegetation, aquatic ecology and property); and c) Where relevant, ensure mitigation measures are implemented where increased flows through cross drainage systems adversely impact on council or Sydney Water drainage infrastructure and the receiving environment. 			

Appendix D Consultation

RE: SSI 10053 - Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment Works - Independent Environment Audit

From [REDACTED]
Date Wed 10/09/2025 4:30 PM
To [REDACTED]
Cc DPE PSVC Compliance Mailbox <compliance@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for your email.

In addition to the scope of the audit (section 3.3 of the IAPAR) please review the following:

1. Construction boundaries and their delineation.
2. Clean water diversions.
3. Management of ACM and PFAS/PFOA.
4. Due to the public interest in this project, please review the Community Consultative Committee and Communication Strategy (conditions B1 to B7).

Please consult with Liverpool City Council and NSW EPA.

As evidence of this consultation, please attach this email to the IEA Report.

Regards,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Planning & Assessment | Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure
T [REDACTED] | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] 5022 | PARRAMATTA NSW 2124
www.dpie.nsw.gov.au

Working days Monday to Friday 9:00am - 5:00pm



The Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure acknowledges that it stands on Aboriginal land. We acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land and we show our respect for elders past and present through thoughtful and collaborative approaches to our work, seeking to demonstrate our ongoing commitment to providing places in which Aboriginal people are included socially, culturally and economically.

If you are submitting a compliance document or request as required under the conditions of consent or approval, please note that the Department is no longer accepting lodgement via compliance@planning.nsw.gov.au.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 10 September 2025 2:37 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: DPE PSVC Compliance Mailbox <compliance@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: Re: SSI 10053 - Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment Works - Independent Environment Audit

Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project Audit

From [REDACTED]

Date Fri 26/09/2025 11:55 AM

To [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for contacting the EPA regarding the audit for EPL 21972. The focus areas I have for the licence, and recommend for the audit are:

- Contaminated land – asbestos and PFAS
- Erosion and sediment controls
- Quality of water being discharged from the site

If you have any further questions, please let me know.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]
Operations Assistant - Operations
NSW Environment Protection Authority



www.epa.nsw.gov.au @NSW EPA

*The EPA acknowledges the traditional custodians
of the land, waters and sky where we work. As part of the
world's oldest surviving culture, we pay our respect
to Aboriginal elders past and present.*

I work on Dharawal Country



Report pollution and environmental incidents 131 555 or +61 2 9995 5555

This email is intended for the addressee(s) named and may contain confidential and/or privileged information.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and then delete it immediately.

RE: Re: Independent Audit - Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works

From [REDACTED]
Date Fri 26/09/2025 9:25 AM
To [REDACTED]
Cc [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

Please see below comments from Council's Traffic and Transport team in relation to the audit:

- *In general, all the transport and noise related conditions in Conditions of Consents should be complied with. Any complaint is required to be handled and resolved as per the relevant consent conditions.*
- *In addition, the conditions of approval (condition E28 - SSI 10053) for the MARW Project do require that within 12 months of the roads operation, noise measurements be undertaken to compare the 'actual noise performance' against the 'noise performance predicted' in the approvals documentation. This condition requires a final check that the noise identified within the planning approval documentation complies with the approval. An 'Operational Noise Compliance Report' is to be prepared to summarise these results and submitted to the Planning Secretary (condition E29 - SSI 10053) and made publicly available.*
- *It is also noted that the proposed MAR is also to be classified as a state road and handed over to TfNSW prior to the completion.*

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]
Senior Strategic Planner



Customer Service: 1300 36 2170 | 50 Scott Street Liverpool, NSW 2170, Australia



www.liverpool.nsw.gov.au



We acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land that now resides within Liverpool City Council's boundaries, the Darug and Dharawal nations and pay our respects to their Elders past, present a

This email (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient please delete this email and notify us by telephone. Any privilege is not waived and the storage, use or republishing is prohibited.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 12 September 2025 3:11 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: CM: Re: Independent Audit - Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works

Thanks for your input for the previous Audit. A copy of the Audit report is available online [Microsoft Word - MARW - Audit Report Final Rev 0 26_02_24](#) with the Proponents response to the findings [MARW-SSI_10053_Enviro-Audit2_NI-Response_140525_Redacted.pdf](#)

I am now undertaking the next 6 monthly audit for the Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works Project.

As per previous audits, please let me know any issues you would like me to consider in this next audit.

In this regard a response by 26th of September 2025 would be greatly appreciated.



[REDACTED]
Trigalana Environmental Pty Ltd
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This email (including any attachments) is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, use, disclose, distribute or rely on the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and delete the email from your system. Confidentiality and legal privilege attached to this communication are not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery to you. Trigalana Environmental does not guarantee that this email or the attachment(s) are unaffected by computer virus, corruption or other defects.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 29 April 2025 4:10 PM

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, 10 September 2025 2:37 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: DPE PSVC Compliance Mailbox
Subject: Re: SSI 10053 - Moorebank Avenue Re-Alignment Works - Independent Environment Audit

Hi [REDACTED]

Hope you are well.

I will be commencing the next independent environmental audit for Moorebank Avenue Re-alignment this week

In accordance with the Department Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements 2020 (PAR), the purpose of this email is to consult with the Department to seek feedback for the audit regarding:

- Any particular focus areas or concerns the Department may have for the audit
- Any additional stakeholders the Department would like me to consult with in relation to the audit (I assume Liverpool Council will be required)

I would appreciate a response from the Department by 24th of September 2025.

Best Regards



[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This email (including any attachments) is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, use, disclose, distribute or rely on the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and delete the email from your system. Confidentiality and legal privilege attached to this communication are not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery to you. Trigalana Environmental does not guarantee that this email or the attachment(s) are unaffected by computer virus, corruption or other defects.



Outlook

Moorebank Avenue Realignment Project

From [REDACTED]

Date Sat 13/09/2025 8:50 AM

To info@epa.nsw.gov.au <info@epa.nsw.gov.au>

To the EPA

I am undertaking an independent environmental audit of the Moorebank Avenue Re-alignment project in accordance with the project conditions of consent. The project is being constructed by BMD and the EPL number is 21972. A copy of the consent as well as other relevant documents can be found here [Moorebank Avenue Realignment \(MAR\) Archives](#) | [Moorebank Intermodal Precinct](#)

In accordance with the Department Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements 2020 (PAR), the purpose of this email is to consult with the EPA to seek feedback for the audit regarding any particular focus areas or concerns the EPA may have for the audit.

I would appreciate a response from the Department by 27th of September 2025.
Any questions, please contact me on the number below.



[REDACTED]
Trigalana Environmental Pty Ltd
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This email (including any attachments) is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, use, disclose, distribute or rely on the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and delete the email from your system. Confidentiality and legal privilege attached to this communication are not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery to you. Trigalana Environmental does not guarantee that this email or the attachment(s) are unaffected by computer virus, corruption or other defects.

Outlook

Re: Independent Audit - Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works

From [REDACTED]

Date Fri 12/09/2025 3:11 PM

To [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Hi Lilyan

Thanks for your input for the previous Audit. A copy of the Audit report is available online [Microsoft Word - MARW - Audit Report Final Rev 0 26 - 02 24](#) with the Proponents response to the findings [MARW-SSI 10053 Enviro-Audit2 NI-Response 140525 Redacted.pdf](#)

I am now undertaking the next 6 monthly audit for the Moorebank Avenue Realignment Works Project.

As per previous audits, please let me know any issues you would like me to consider in this next audit.

In this regard a response by 26th of September 2025 would be greatly appreciated.



[REDACTED]
Trigalana Environmental Pty Ltd

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This email (including any attachments) is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, use, disclose, distribute or rely on the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and delete the email from your system. Confidentiality and legal privilege attached to this communication are not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery to you. Trigalana Environmental does not guarantee that this email or the attachment(s) are unaffected by computer virus, corruption or other defects.

Appendix E Photographs



Photo 1: Project boundary (green) flagging



Photo 2: Environment protection zone (no go) signage



Photo 3: Standardised flagging colours used on MARW project




Photo 4: MARW site flagging legend



Photo 5: Timber mulch re-used for sediment controls



Photo 6: Retained timber for reuse



Photo 7: Stabilised site access and vehicle shaker grid



Photo 8: Rumble grid requiring clean out



Photo 9: Covered asbestos soil stockpile



Photo 10: Covered asbestos soil stockpile and advisory signage



Photo 11: Sediment basin with rock overflow



Photo 12: Pooled rainwater within the construction footprint



Photo 13: Mobile polymer trailer used for stabilisation of exposed areas



Photo 14: Retained vegetated batter



Photo 15: Polymer Stabilised batter



Photo 16: Spill kit located on site



Photo 17: Street sweeper and water cart



Photo 18: Clean water diversion pump (upstream inlet)



Photo 19: Clean water diversion pump (downstream outlet)



Photo 20: Anzac Creek protection measures and temporary diversion



Photo 21: General waste and recycling containers

