

# Meeting note

## SIMTA

**Date** 30 July 2019  
**Time** 6pm  
**Purpose** CCC Meeting  
**Chair** Dr Col Gellatly **Recorder** Ashley Chilcott  
**Attendees** Kieran Mooney – KM **Apologies**  
Scott Warren – SW  
Fiona McNaught - FM  
Michael Russell – MR  
Ian Pryde – IP  
Jeffery Thornton – JT  
Sharyn Cullis – SC  
John Anderson – JA  
Chris Guthrie – CG

Item	Discussion Point	Actions
1. Apologies	» None noted	»
2. Greeting from the Chair	» The Chair noted that MR and FM would be leaving the meeting early	»
3. Actions from last meeting	<p>Tactical to provide detail at next CCC on all matters that the new air quality monitors monitor</p> <p>» KM advised that if acceptable to the group he will bring screen shots and provide live examples to the group at the next CCC meeting as the login details were not available today</p> <p>» The group agrees</p> <p>The Chair to speak to DPE about getting an EPA rep to the next CCC meeting</p> <p>» The Chair has been unsuccessful in securing an EPA representative to attend the CCC meeting, and suggested it remain an action for next meeting</p> <p>» CG advised that the EPA want Council to look after 'environmental stuff' when the terminal is operational and stated that he does not believe it fair to put back on council</p>	<p>» KM to provide live examples and screen shots of air monitoring at next CCC meeting</p> <p>» Chair to request an EPA representative attend the next CCC meeting</p> <p>» Elton to coordinate contact detail sign design and installation</p> <p>» KM to investigate whether temporary light poles can be installed in MPW to measure potential light spill</p>

---

Elton to investigate possibility of putting SIMTA contact details on signs on Moorebank Ave

- » SW advised that another party also identified a need to have clearer SIMTA contact details.
- » SW advised that the signs will be best placed in areas where vehicles are stationary eg. At the intersection of Anzac Rd and Moorebank Ave
- » SW advised that signs will be in place before the next CCC meeting

Tactical to check what reinstatement will be put in place under the bridge

- » KM advised that there will be a repair of the riparian corridor, with a section of concrete structure that can be vegetated. There are designs and landscaping plans in place

Tactical to provide biodiversity conditions and plans for managing the biodiversity riparian offset

- » KM advised that Qube are not privy to the biodiversity offset contract between MIC and OEH, however advised that there is ongoing maintenance, and they are finalising detail of how to manage into the future
- » SC questioned whether there is a map of where the riparian offset is
- » KM advised yes and showed SC on a map where the area lay
- » MR questioned whether it included a 40-metre zone
- » KM advised that it does
- » SC stated that the area is not a biodiversity offset, it's just a regulation
- » SW advised that the current area is almost exclusively regrowth of lantana. Works will be undertaken to restore the whole area to native species that add value to the biodiversity of the area. The area is infested with weeds at the moment so the works will add value, notwithstanding that it's a separate regulated area
- » SC sought clarification on whether the offset is the revegetation rather than the 40-metre piece
- » KM advised that it is both

Tactical to find out if light spill will be monitored

---

- 
- » KM advised that live audits have been undertaken of installed light structures, which have demonstrated compliance. KM noted that the large light poles currently on site will be taken out when the terminal transitions to automation.
  - » MR questioned whether it would be possible to put temporary towers up in MPW to see what light spill will look like eg. day makers or similar
  - » KM advised that he would look into MR's suggestion, but noted that day makers don't reach the same height, and are not designed in the same way to ensure that light spill is limited

Elton to review distribution map area for newsletters/ notifications

- » SW advised that the area worked through at last meeting was updated in the project CES.
- » SW advised that the upcoming newsletter will be delivered to this new area

Elton to look at feasibility of having VMS boards with newsletter sign up details

- » SW noted that he hoped the new signage mentioned earlier should direct the community to the website and proper channels of communication to provide feedback on the project.
- » SW also advised that a redesign of the website is underway which will provide a more intuitive platform for the community to seek lines of communication with the project team
- » CG questioned what the time frame is for the signs
- » SW advised that it has taken until now to get advice on signs. SW advised that they will be in by next CCC
- » SW advised that temporary vinyl signs were erected on the fencing however they are smaller than the proposed new signs

CG to check if Council will accept a box of SIMTA newsletters to be housed at Council

- » CG advised that council will accept newsletters

Elton to remove Ian Hunt from CEP document, and change wording in crisis comms section to say "CEO or delegate"

- » SW advised that all CES updates were made and provided to the auditor. Names were removed and kept positions only

Tactical to provide details around the plan for noxious weeds in the river embankment

- » KM advised that the riparian corridor forms part of the biodiversity offset area, and there are plans in the agreement and management process. Liaison with landowners including council and Sydney trains to take a holistic approach. River weed management is an ongoing process
- » FM requested a summary for modifications on the displays
- » KM advised he will talk to this point in the next agenda item

---

#### 4. Quarterly report

- » KM advised that the report will be distributed post meeting

Project update:

- » KM advised that construction works are ongoing including: the finalisation of bridge structure, installation of rail track, connection and finalisation of Moorebank underpass, connection of rail through to terminal, loco traverser was installed in May, line marking is almost complete.
- » KM advised that across remainder of eastern site works have been undertaken to finish off Target site including testing doors and other operational assets, finishing the access road and surrounding infrastructure

Next 3 months:

- » KM advised that the containers will arrive by train towards the end of the three-month period
- » IP stated that he had seen a date in August that was booked for rail commissions
- » SW advised that the rail was fully commissioned on weekend July 13 / 14 - the rail line is operational in theory though there are parts that are being finalised. ARTC interface finalised.
- » KM advised that works will continue to finalise works on the eastern side of the site, continuing Moorebank Ave upgrade works, gantry crane structures will be constructed. Planning for automated infrastructure to be put in.

Monitoring:

- » KM advised that the project remains compliant
- » KM advised that there has been no change from the last report, no issues with non-compliance.

- » Distribute quarterly report post meeting
- » KM to confirm how big the OSD9 area is
- » KM to investigate what the predicted noise levels are for 2 trains per day – provide a minimum and a maximum level
- » JA to provide information on firefighting foam use in the area at next CCC meeting

- 
- » JA advised that he had been made aware of a complaint received from site about evacuation alarms sounding
  - » AC advised that investigations were undertaken after the complaint was received and the evacuation alarms were attributed to Defence, and was not a noise omitted from the site
  - » JA stated that he has heard banging at 6am which proves you can't mitigate noise in the area
  - » KM noted that work does not commence on site until 7am

Environmental monitoring:

- » KM advised that this report showed lower levels seen than previous months, there were no issues with noise results - reduced from previous results from reporting periods
- » The chair questioned whether there will be an official opening
- » KM advised that the 24 September has been tentatively booked in
- » CG advised that he has heard that Scott Morrison will be arriving to the opening by train from Botany

Modifications:

- » KM advised that Modification 2 is currently on exhibition, the application was driven by DPE putting restrictions on the project detention basins
  - » KM advised that the original concepts provided had concrete tanks/ structures, and are now trying to accommodate the requested 1 in 4 batters
  - » KM advised that the Modification 2 concerns stormwater basins and is related to the additional land space required within the site rather than stormwater management. The project is required to have basins that are more visually appealing
  - » KM advised that the Second item- OSD9 requires the tank to be fully enclosed. There are safety risks and urban design issues with the tank basin design and therefore modifying for a safer better solution
  
  - » SC sought clarification as to whether the OSD9 basin will now be open
  - » KM- advised that the tank will be open. Due to space constraints of the location it necessary to have a tank structure. This has its own safety issues with cleaning/ blockages etc, so the
-

---

structure is being modified so that it is an open structure, with biofiltration system

- » SC asked how big the area is
- » KM advised that the area is approx. 7-8000 square metres though will confirm
- » FM asked whether MPW has received approval
- » CG advised that no decisions have been made yet, though there are draft conditions online
- » JT questioned whether the draft conditions are substantial
- » CG advised that Council doesn't like the draft conditions at all
- » SW advised that there were public meeting and transcripts of the meetings with the IPC and Qube as well as with the IPC and CG are available online
- » CG stated that there is still no clarity on where the \$48 million will be spent
  
- » JT questioned whether the trucks will be waiting in a designated waiting spot when the trains come in on opening day
- » KM advised that they will come straight into the terminal
- » SW explained that the truck que will be north of Baupame Rd, and trucks will move into the facility when they are scheduled to enter.
- » KM advised that there a vehicle booking system will be used, trucks will come onto the site and to their allocated bay. There will be about 50 – 60 containers per train. Around 2 containers per truck, so approx. 35 trucks per train.
- » JT queried how many trains will be arriving to the terminal per day
- » SW advised that in full operation the figure will be approx. 21 trains per day, however that is years away
- » KM advised that approx 1 -3 trains will arrive per day when first operational
- » CG questioned whether the containers will be mostly for Target when operations begin
- » KM advised that Target have a large transfer of materials and will need a large container number per day
- » JT noted that with 3 trains arriving per day that would count for around 100 truck movements per day

- 
- » CG questioned whether Qube have a presence on site
  - » KM advised that commissioning works are still being undertaken for the Admin building, though this will be completed shortly.
  - » MR questioned whether there is an estimated noise level for what the predicted levels will be for 2 trains a day. He would like to see minimum and a maximum level.
  - » KM advised that he would investigate and provide the numbers (if possible) by next meeting
  - » CG questioned how many full export containers will be transported
  - » KM advised that generally that will be consistent with what percentage is taken at Port and noted that the majority of containers being exported are empty containers
  
  - » JT noted that in the IPC transcript it is written that cameras will be set up on Cambridge St to stop trucks going down there and suggested the committee push harder to get a camera on Anzac Rd to deter/ catch Semis doing a rat run up Anzac RD. He questioned why you can have a camera set up on one road and not the other
  - » SC noted that in the transcript there was a fair amount of questioning between DPE and the commissioners on the amount of fill and Qube capitalising on taking the fill.
  - » KM advised that he has not read the transcript the SC was referring to, however it is a false claim to say that the project is capitalising on bringing in fill. Fill has been brought to the site for engineering measures to address the stormwater management requirements of the site
  - » SC questioned whether bringing in fill can contribute to flooding further down the track
  - » CG advised that that's why the project has created the OSDs
  - » SC stated that OSDs won't have an ecological function and are not good urban design
  - » KM advised that the levels have been raised purely for engineering purposes and to stop flooding.
  - » CG stated that Council knows why it has been done, but they are not happy with it
  
  - » JA stated that there is an ongoing issue with the investigation of firefighter foam use in the area,
-

---

and questioned whether there has there been an investigation

- » SW advised that the Department of Defence held community briefing sessions last year and they continue to hold investigations. Qube are not party to those investigations as they are a matter for the federal government. SW advised that their work is ongoing, and they hold community events to update.
- » The Chair suggested JA provide an update on firefighting foam at the next CCC meeting

---

5. Local jobs

- » CG advised that when the first stage was approved, MIC engaged with the community on a series of projects to improve community health and raise job numbers. He noted that nothing has really happened with it. \$1million was pledged by MIC and Qube but nothing has happened. Council were expecting a social enterprise to go in where the café has been built, and it wasn't.
  - » CG advised that Western Sydney Airport federal government required they provide 30% of all jobs in construction, and 50% of all jobs to locals during operation
  - » CG advised that Council would like to see how we can maximise benefit to community. The project will have negative impacts – environment, air quality etc, so Council wants jobs and training outcomes. Through negotiation with Department of Infrastructure Council will receive \$2.2million in rates to maintain roads, as part of that hope to get a local jobs person that will work with this development and other local developments. Council want to table this idea tonight to give the community some idea of what council is doing behind the scenes.
  - » CG requested the project team representatives take this idea back to Qube to see what can be done to set up a job shop on site and take resumes, and provide training to those who want jobs on the site.
  - » SW advised that Qube would be interested in hearing what council has to say on the matter.
  - » SW requested that CG send an example of where this has happened elsewhere and a proposal of how it would be staffed and what it would achieve
  - » CG advised that Council wants something more tangible, eg working closely with Target, or Qube. Effectively funded by MIC
- » CG to send Qube job shop proposal



- 
6. Other business
- » JA stated that he has read that it is Qube's intention to subdivide the land on MPW and questioned if they would sell to parties with more sustainable plans
  - » SW advised that subdividing the land is a part of the development of the site providing the potential to lease and manage the land. Qube/ MIC are not trying to sell off the land for other reasons.
  - » Next meeting Tuesday 12 November. Proposed tour site tour at 4pm followed by meeting at 6pm.
- » Elton to book in meeting room, or advise if time is not available
-