

Meeting note

Moorebank Logistics Park

Project SIMTA **Date** 31 January 2019
Time 6pm

Purpose Community Consultative Committee Meeting 4

Chair Dr Col Gellatly **Recorder** Ashley Chilcott

Attendees Fiona McNaught (FM)
Ian Pryde (IP) **Apologies** Steve Ryan
Jeffery Thornton (JT) Sharyn Cullis
John Anderson (JA)
Christopher Guthrie (CG)
Michael Russell (MR)
Kieran Mooney (KM)
Marc Ragowski (MaRa)
Scott Warren (SW)

Item	Discussion Point	Actions
1. Apologies	Apologies noted: Steve Ryan and Sharyn Cullis	» None noted
2. Greeting from The Chair		» None noted
3. Actions from last meeting	<p>FM requested that in future meetings, she would like to get an understanding from the project team around what the next 3 months has in store. This could include for example any clearing of land, tree removal etc.</p> <p>FM suggested this would be helpful information to have to be able to share with the community members so that they aren't shocked when they see a big change in the landscape of the site.</p> <p>FM requested that upcoming approvals and planning details be included in the 3 month look ahead.</p>	» Elton to add <i>3 month look ahead</i> to the quarterly report sent to CCC members
4. Quarterly report	<p>KM presented the findings of the quarterly report which had been distributed to the CCC members prior to the meeting.</p> <p>KM noted that the DPE provide the definitions of compliance (compliant, triggered or in progress) which are the terms the project use to self-monitor. As it currently stands, MPES1 has a total of 116 conditions of which 68 are compliant.</p> <p>KM addressed the issue of noncompliance for condition B104.</p>	<p>» Tactical to investigate what plant was run over resulting in the noncompliance of condition B104</p> <p>» Tactical to find out the date of the extreme dust reading in November 2018</p>

Upon investigation it was determined that a truck accidentally ran over, and consequently damaged, some flora on the site. The condition was flagged as non-compliant due to an administrative delay in getting sign off on the biodiversity plan by OEH to satisfy CoA. The project team does however, have approval to remove the flora from the site.

JA questioned whether the flora in question is the *Hibbertia Fumana*.

KM advised that he is unsure of the plant name, but will investigate and report back to the CCC.

CG questioned where the independence in the reporting process is.

KM advised that whilst the representative is engaged by the project, they are approved by the DPE and act as DPE's representative. He explained that Arcadis compile all the information found by the independent Environmental Representative (ER), however the ER is independent and undertakes constant surveying of the site.

KM explained that the project also has to undergo an independent environmental audit on a 6 monthly basis, which is compiled of both desktop and field studies.

KM noted in the quarterly report that there was one 'extreme' high dust reading in November which was determined as being caused by an *unknown interference*.

CG questioned whether the day of the meeting was being monitored, as he believes the dust levels would be noncompliant. CG questioned whether there are dust suppression measures/ contingency plans in place for extreme dust conditions.

SW commented that despite water containers being out all day, when temperatures are over 40 degrees and winds are 70km per hour, conditions are very hard to battle. These are regarded as extreme conditions.

SW noted that the SIMTA channels have received half the number of dust complaints in the past year.

KM explained that that areas of the site that are not moving i.e. not a road way that has to be constantly moved, are sprayed with a binder. Areas that are moving are continuously sprayed down with water during construction hours.

The Chair asked whether the project has to report to the EPA on dust measurements? What's the process?

KM advised that yes, the project team conduct an investigation on what dust suppression measurements are taken and provide to the EPA. Sometimes the EPA come back to the project with comment, this is normally dependent on the reading.

- » Tactical to organise for an EPA rep to attend the next CCC meeting
- » Tactical to provide data on dust monitoring from the wind/dust events on 31 January 2019
- » Elton to provide SIMTA contact details for complaints handling
- » Complaints be added to the quarterly report/ an update be provided at each meeting

The Chair questioned whether it is possible to have someone from the EPA come to the next meeting to see what their take is on the dust suppression measures implemented on site.

JT raised concerns about the levels of exposure to particulate matter the community would be exposed to during high wind events. It was pointed out that it is not just dirt and dust flying around, but dangerous chemicals such as PM10.

JT also raised concerns that the community is not being listened to, they are only being consulted with but have no power to fix anything.

The Chair reiterated that it is clear the community has concerns and so it would be a good idea to get an EPA representative along to the next meeting.

FM asked whether the air monitoring breaks down the particulate matter.

KM advised that the monitoring only breaks down PM2.5 and PM10.

KM advised that he will come back to the group with investigations from the dust monitoring from 31 January 2019.

JA raised concerns he has about drains being blocked on Moorebank Ave and Anzac Rd causing flooding.

The Chair questioned whether the SIMTA project had received complaints.

SW advised that SIMTA had not received complaints.

SW advised that, as with other community issues, if the complaints are not directed through the right channels – the SIMTA email and 1800 line, the project is unable to gather the correct data, and thus respond accurately. The best opportunity the project team has to fix the problem, is by complaints being reported via the correct channels.

FM requested that a section on complaints be added to the quarterly report/ an update be provided at each meeting.

JA raised concerns that the community is not being adequately notified about works.

SW advised that where appropriate, ads are placed in local papers, all notifications get put on the website. If required, some works notifications are letterbox dropped or a mention in the SIMTA newsletter.

IP questioned the air quality reporting and asked whether everything gets averaged out over the time period i.e do spikes in the monitoring get hidden.

KM advised that generally the monitoring shows that the project is well below the limit. The project still has to report on every extreme reading recorded.

JT requested clarification around the November exceedance that was mentioned earlier in the meeting with no conclusion as to what caused it.

KM explained that the determination from the investigation was that it was an unknown interference, and was not construction related- nothing that the project could have done would have caused the spike that size in the graph.

The Chair requested the project team summarize the major milestones for the project over the past 3 months and the upcoming 3 months.

SW summarised the past 3 months work, which include:

- » Fulton Hogan (FH) have completed the majority of the earth works and approx. 50% of the asphaltting of the facility
 - » FH have handed over internal sections to CPB to start the works required for the rail
 - » Water tanks for firefighting have been installed
 - » Administrative building is complete, this will be used once the IMEX is open
 - » Erosion controls have been set up
 - » Hansen Yunken (HY) are building the Target facility, internal fit out and works are currently taking place
 - » Land preparation is ongoing
 - » The western side of the bridge alignment is about to change. VMS signs are already in place to warn traffic of upcoming works
 - » Moorebank Ave between Anzac Rd and the end of the IMEX terminal is being raised – will grow to 4 lanes further north. Both sides of the road will be raised to be level with MPE and MPW
 - » Liberty industrial who have been in charge of the remediation of the site have completed MPW, Stage 2 is in the process of determination
 - » Water carts and polymer sprays, drainage of water catchments will still occur until Stage 2. This will go to the IPC in the next couple of months
 - » There will be an MPW2 hearing with an independent commissioner in the coming months
 - » 2 connections to train lines have been completed
-

-
- » CPB working on completing the bridge, girders will be delivered this week, and the whole bridge will be up by the middle of March

KM noted that original approvals allowed the project to raise the levels of the road to allow stormwater to flow. The existing Moorebank Rd level is a dip, and after extreme stormwater events, water running towards the river would be stuck in Moorebank Rd leaving residents at risk of flooding.

MR questioned whether the site has water retention strategies, and questioned what strategies were in place for flooding of the river into the site.

KM advised of the retention basins around the site, and pointed to the offset biodiversity area 150m from the river. The conservation area that the project has in place is for a 1 in 500-year flood plan. As the area from the river to the site is so flat, the site has been raised to put in adequate ground drainage infrastructure.

MR questioned what controls are in place in the retention basins to prevent mosquito infestations.

KM advised that as the basins don't retain water in a permanent sense, they do not act as a breeding ground for mosquitos.

JT questioned whether the project is still on track for opening mid 2019.

SW advised that the completion of the rail, IMEX and Target occupation of their warehouse space is looking to be ready in July.

JT questioned how many containers will be delivered to the site in the first year.

KM advised that the approximate numbers are 100,000 which will gradually rise to 250,000.

JT questioned when the monitoring of gaseous products will commence.

KM advised that monitoring will commence in the first year. The 'how' is still being discussed with consultants. The project will also require noise monitoring systems

SW noted that there are numerous base state/ level noise monitors currently around the site, which will make clear what the operational impact is. The project team is looking for a permanent noise monitoring solution.

MaRa advised that in the operational phase the project requires live monitoring which is more advanced than the construction monitoring. The team look at a precinct level, and individual contractors are required to look at their noise modelling for each of their activities.

FM questioned whether the community can expect to see more trucks as part of the works to build up the land for the rail.

KM advised that the same number of trucks will be continuing to run into GWS for the coming months.

SW advised that the project is expecting to receive the MPW Stage 2 approvals within the next 3 months. These works are expected to be completed in stages and there will be detours in place whilst they happen. This will be one of the biggest direct impacts on the community, notwithstanding the many other impacts eg. noise, air quality etc. The community can expect a large amount of notifications throughout this period.

5. Feedback from CCC on the Community engagement Strategy	<p>SW advised that the project team are currently updating the Community Engagement Strategy (CES) which instructs the contractors of what is expected and required in their works in terms of community engagement. Part of this process is to get the community's feedback following a review of the document.</p> <p>This document will be circulated with the CCC members prior to the next CCC meeting to give the members the opportunity to review and provide comment for the team to consider.</p>	» Elton to circulate the CES for CCC review and feedback
6. Update on VPA	<p>KM advised that the exhibition has finished and the project is being reviewed.</p> <p>SW noted that there were approximately 7 submissions, which included one from council.</p> <p>KM explained that populated Responses to Submissions are issued back to stakeholders. The project has not been informed of an execution date at this stage.</p>	» Include an update on VPA on next CCC agenda
7. Other business	<p>CG raised the issue of trucks illegally using Anzac Rd, which was brought up in a previous CCC meeting. He advised that after discussions with Council, the conclusion was drawn that it is difficult to police the issue of overweight trucks using roads, as if the trucks are conducting business on the smaller roads that are allowed to use them.</p> <p>JA distributed a document raising project concerns, which will be shared with ccc members with the finalised minutes.</p>	<p>» The Chair to share email from Charles Wiafe regarding tonne limit signs</p> <p>» JA notes to be shared with CCC members</p> <p>» Tactical to circulate the George's River Summary</p>

MR questioned whether the project team will keep tree removal to a minimum when building on MPW.

SW advised that there is a large area to the west of the site which will remain untouched. Work will be carried out in that area to remove weeds and increase biodiversity in the area.

KM noted that there will be minimal tree removal which is required around water paths to ensure there's no erosion into the river.

MR questioned whether the removal of trees will see an increase in noise pollution from the site.

KM advised that a 4 metre noise wall will be erected around the site to prevent noise pollution effecting nearby residents.

SW added that the warehousing will further act as a noise and light pollution buffer to residential areas.

JT questioned whether there is a regulation limit on how many containers can be stacked.

KM advised that the limit is 5 high and noted that the warehousing will be higher.

MR questioned how you the project team plan to get the river bed back to its normal level once the causeway is removed.

SW advised that a summary of the George River Causeway plan was circulated at a previous meeting, which can be circulated again.

Next meeting to be held on Tuesday 30 April.

Actions

- » Elton to add *3 month look ahead* to the quarterly report sent to CCC members
- » Tactical to investigate what plant was run over resulting in the noncompliance of condition B104
- » Tactical to find out the date of the extreme dust reading in November 2018
- » Tactical to organise for an EPA rep to attend the next CCC meeting
- » Tactical to provide data on dust monitoring from the wind/dust events on 31 January 2019
- » Elton to provide SIMTA contact details for complaints handling
- » Complaints be added to the quarterly report/ an update be provided at each meeting
- » Elton to circulate the CES for CCC review and feedback
- » The Chair to share email from Charles Waight regarding tonne limit signs

-
- » JA notes to be shared with CCC members
 - » Tactical to circulate the George's River Summary
-