

Meeting note

SIMTA

Date 15 October 2019

Time 6pm

Purpose CCC Meeting

Chair Dr Col Gellatly

Recorder Ashley Chilcott

Attendees Kieran Mooney – KM
Scott Warren – SW
Fiona McNaught – FM
Michael Russell – MR
Ian Pryde – IP
Jeffery Thornton – JT
Sharyn Cullis – SC
John Anderson – JA
Chris Guthrie – CG

Apologies NA

Item	Discussion Point	Actions
1. Greeting from The Chair and apologies	<ul style="list-style-type: none">» The Chair thanked the Project team for the site tour provided prior to the meeting» KM advised that a second Tactical representative will be in attendance in future meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none">» KM to advise name of Tactical representative joining the CCC for future meetings
2. Actions from last meeting	<p>Tactical to provide live examples and screen shots of air monitoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">» KM spoke to screenshots of the noise monitor web application, live screenshots and explained the EMS system that has been installed» KM provided real-time monitoring parameters and explained that as the system is brand new, the team are trying to find the best way to process the data into a real time interpretation that can be shared and easily digested with the CCC» JF questioned whether there is a key for air quality particulates in the monitoring system data» KM advised that during construction there is a key for dust particulates, however during operation there will be other particulates measured» JA expressed concern that noise will not be able to be mitigated from the site as he often hears noise from activities kilometres away from his home» FM noted that it is important to get the base line data now, so that it can be compared to the operational stage later	<ul style="list-style-type: none">» Elton to edit page 7 of previous CCC notes in line with SC requests track – river» The Chair to invite Peter Hicks from MIC to the next CCC meeting» Elton to make edit requested by SC on previous meeting notes

The Chair to request an EPA representative attend CCC meeting

- » The Chair advised that due to structural changes within the EPA organisation, he has been unable to secure a representative from the EPA to attend the meeting at this stage

Elton to coordinate contact detail sign design and installation

- » New signs have been designed and installed at three locations – Moorebank Ave and Anzac Rd intersection, the signalised entry to MPE (south-eastern side of intersection) and the signalised entry to MPW (south-western side of intersection)

Tactical to investigate whether temporary light poles can be installed in MPW to measure potential light spill

- » KM advised that the design of the lighting layout is still in progress. The permanent locations of the lights are still to be decided, once this is determined the project will mark up a scenario of where the lights will be
- » KM advised that this design will be similar to what is on MPE, however there will be different levels of lighting to ensure the light spill is controlled
- » CG questioned where the approval process is at for the Stage 2 MPW SSDA
- » KM advised that the proposal is still with the Independent Planning Commission (IPC)

Tactical to confirm how big the OSD9 area is

- » KM advised that the area is approx.. 3400m²

Tactical to investigate what the predicted noise levels are for 2 trains per day

- » KM advised that the predicted noise levels from the EIS, as well as the noise monitoring levels, don't have levels for two trains per day
- » KM suggested these noise levels could be presented once operations begin and two trains per day are entering the site

JA to provide information on firefighting foam use in the area

- » JA advised that he understands that Defence used PFAS over many years and believes that PFAS has been found near the fire station on Anzac Rd
- » SW noted that the fire station used to be an old Defence fire station

-
- » JA believes that PFAS must have leaked into the Georges River
 - » The Chair questioned whether JA has any concrete information to present on
 - » JA advised that he has been told that it is an ongoing Defence investigation
 - » JA questioned whether firefighting foam has been found in the site ground
 - » KM advised that PFAS has been identified in the groundwater at several locations on the site

 - » SC requested edits be made to the previous CCC meeting notes – page 7, where SC is quoted as questioning whether “flooding will happen down the track” she would like edited to read “down the river”
 - » SC questioned why several of the basins have modification requests
 - » KM advised that there are modification applications to have the basins open (rather than a closed roof), though these have not been approved
 - » SW noted that there is a separate application for these determinations
 - » SC questioned why the project has decided to open the basin rather than close it
 - » KM advised that the original intention was to have a rain garden within the structure itself. There is a GPT to capture the bigger carbons and pollutions, and the project will use vegetation to clean the water before it is released into the river. Vegetation will be a better solution. The project continues to look at stormwater design and capacity at the moment. Modification 2 for OSD2 extends these to having biofiltration
 - » KM advised that a part of the reason for bringing in fill is to ensure that the site is restricting stormwater runoff into the river
 - » SC commented that she is disappointed in the development plans for the east west channel on MPW, stating that she saw an opportunity for the channel to be buried to save tree clearing
 - » SW advised that the site is being raised by 2 metres and it would not be possible to do this and retain the trees
 - » SC suggested the project provide a cycle way or footpath directly over the river for locals to use and access the river foreshore
 - » SW advised that Qube had initially proposed for an area within the riverside biodiversity offset area to be open to public access, however MIC decided that it could not be an offset area. The decision was made by the Federal
-

Government and the area in question is property of the Federal Government

- » Th Chair noted that the approvals for these works are not yet in place
- » KM advised that area in question is currently having a negative impact on the river. The existing concrete channel has collapsed and the area is now eroding into the river.
- » CG noted that Council accepts the Federal Government won't let the public into the foreshore area, however Council would like to see a pedestrian foot bridge to connect the development to the part of the river Council will be looking after
- » The Chair questions whether CG put those suggestions to the IPC
- » CG advised that he commented on the actual proposal, rather than additional ideas
- » CG further advised that Council has lobbied the Federal Government to fund the suggested piece of community infrastructure so people can get to the arts centre and connect people to public transport. Council believes this will also help to ease traffic issues around the site as MLP workers could walk from Casula Railway Station
- » CG suggested that MIC CEO Peter Hicks be invited to the next CCC meeting
- » MR commented that it would be good to hear what MIC have to say and how they will help the community – noted that several MIC commitments from a Citizens Jury event remain undelivered

3. Noise omitted from night works 15 & 16 August

- » FM requested information around loud noises on the evening and early mornings of 15 and 16 August, as multiple complaints were received by nearby residents
 - » SW advised that the project has had noise monitoring undertaken from an address at the southern end of Glenelg Court in Wattle Grove North
 - » SW provided a graph which demonstrated the peaks and troughs of noise over the course of the evening
 - » SW advised that the project received four noise complaints between the night works 15-16 August, including one complaint for a night that there was no night works during the period of complaint
 - » SW advised that works had to be undertaken those evenings to avoid traffic congestion, these works had been notified
 - » FM noted that the noise graph appears to be quite consistent
 - » SW commented that he understands both the contractor and residents view point. While the contractor would say its no noisier than the middle of the day, the residents would say that the noise is more noticeable as it is night time
-

-
- » SW shared the noise monitor findings the contractor undertook during those works at 39 Glenelg Court. The time captures noise between 2210-2225 when the noisy works were undertaken. SW talked though decibels recorded during works as well as when cars and planes were counted
 - » SW explained that one of the key parts of night works is that contractors are required to carry out the noisiest works before midnight
 - » KM further explained that night works commence at 9pm as a shift, taking approximately an hour to pre prep for the noisy works undertaken from 10-11,30pm. Contractors endeavour to undertake as much noisy work during this period
 - » JA stated that he thought construction on the site was 24/7
 - » SW advised that only operation will be 24/7
 - » FM commented that she was glad that complaints were made through the appropriate channels so they could be investigated and reported on by the project team
 - » SW advised that DPIE also received the complaints, and the team were able to provide noise level reports as well as the community notifications
 - » MR noted that on the evenings of the night work he was woken at his home in Casula by the flashing orange lights, and wanted the event noted as an issue for light pollution
 - » KM advised that the precinct has been designed in such a way that the high warehouses will block out a lot of light, as well as a 6-metre wall for light and noise mitigation
 - » CG questioned how high the warehouses will be
 - » KM advised that 13-14 metres will be the standard height, though could reach 21 metres at the ridge

4. Quarterly report	<p>KM provided an update on the past 3 months work undertaken on site.</p> <p>Package 1 – Import – Export (IMEX No. 1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Installation of internal and lead- in services » Placement of heavily bound pavement » Placement of asphalt pavement » Drainage installation <p>Package 2 – Rail Access Land Preparation (RALP No. 1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Completion of rail systems work s » Georges River Bridge drainage works » Landscaping and rehabilitation » Removal of site compound within GWS » Continuation of roadworks on Moorebank Ave » Commencement of rubbish fence installation between GWS access track and RALP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Tactical to provide quarterly report for next CCC meeting
---------------------	---	---

MPE Stage 2

- » Ongoing earthworks across site
- » Completion of the Onsite detention 9 (OSD 9)
- » Placement of imported engineered fill
- » Crushing of concrete from the demolition of existing building slabs for reuse
- » Asphalt placement within the Warehouse 1 precinct
- » Target warehouse fit out completion
- » Commencement of construction of warehouses 3 and 4
- » Preparation works for construction of warehouse 5
- » Moorebank Ave upgrade works due to commence shortly, these works will be significant over the coming months

Discussion

- » JA questioned whether there will be a road up around the Target warehouse
- » SW advised that any realignment of Moorebank Ave is in early planning only. It is unknown at this stage whether this will be an MLP project endorsed by RMS or if there will be another proponent but funded by the project. SW reiterated that the plans are a long-term idea only
- » SW advised that the plans have always been to upgrade Moorebank Ave to four lanes, so if there is no realignment the long-term traffic demand will still be met
- » JA advised that residents are concerned about the road being close to the Wattle Grove estate and used by big trucks
- » SW advised that the Terminal traffic would go through the terminal road
- » The Chair questioned what the timeline is for these works
- » SW advised that there is no set timeline. The public have been made aware of the proposal as it was a part of the determination of the VPA; a large part of that was the long-term view of how the precinct will work.
- » SW advised that the plans will be subject to their own community consultation and exhibition period

Anticipated Construction activities from October – December 2019

- » Continuation of construction works in GWS site (including soil nail wall)
 - » Landscaping and rehabilitation work within RALP
 - » Auto phase earthworks expected to be completed in November 2019
 - » Construction on the Moorebank Ave upgrade expected to commence in November
-

-
- » Site preparation and construction works associated with Warehouses 2, 3 and 4

Discussion

- » SW noted that initial works for the construction of Moorebank Ave will involve the road reserve expanding to the west. To prepare for this upgrade, a lot of vegetation will have to be removed; these works all fall under approvals in place
 - » SW advised that the contractor for these works will be established on the northern end of MPW in the next month, and this area will need to be cleared. The area needs to be cleared to enable road diversions during their work
 - » JT questioned whether contra flow of the traffic will be required
 - » SW advised that contra flow might be required for some parts, however works that require contra flow will try to be undertaken outside of peak hours – between the hours of 10am – 2pm on weekdays, and on weekends
 - » SW advised that the tree removal will be visible to the public, and noted that they are included in the biodiversity offset. The project will be improving areas where there are currently weeds by planting native species
 - » KM advised that biodiversity offsets aren't just about planting, but includes locking way parts of the site to create biobanking sites that will never be allowed to be developed. Once this goes into the biodiversity plan and the agreements with OEH the land can never be developed
 - » SC expressed her belief that there will be no benefit of the biodiversity offset for the local people
 - » SC stated that the project is reserving an area that was not threatened and not going to be developed as a part of the intermodal
 - » SW stated that it would be a better environmental income for local flora and fauna to remove the weeds from those areas and plant native species
 - » The Chair advised that whilst the CCC members are entitled to their opinions, the project in discussion has received approvals and is being developed, so the conversation is redundant
 - » SC stated that developers need to think about their social licenses and need to think about more than the "lousy standards of the NSW government"
 - » CG reiterated that the CCC would be a good forum to speak with a representative of MIC about these issues
 - » SC stated that the project is an opportunity for the developers to step up and do a better job than the government requires
-

-
- » FM stated that everyone has their passions and are representing the community, and suggested that for issues around matters that have already received approvals the group have a separate think tank opportunity and present to the IPC. The CCC isn't the correct forum to have these conversations
 - » CG suggested the need for a politician to attend the CCC
 - » IP commented that there a number of suggestions that the project representatives have taken on board, however there are many things that cannot change. The CCC can ensure that the approvals are met and the team are held accountable
 - » SC commented that once approvals are given big companies can make amendments to those
 - » SC stated that she believes Stage 2 is going to be a disaster, so bad for the local community
 - » JT questioned what the ratio of conditions to be approved has been to those that have not
 - » KM advised that the approvals themselves are SSD, they are massive blocks that take a number of years to develop. The project has had three stages approved, none refused. However, there are hundreds of pages of conditions that are attributed to every approval
 - » JT questioned whether modifications have been knocked back
 - » KM advised that the project is historically low in terms of the number of modifications requested. There are some modification approvals that are still outstanding, and being worked through, but none knocked back

Anticipated operation activities: October – December 2019

- » Operation of the IMEX and RALP are planned for operation in November
- » Both the IMEX and RALP will operate 24/7
- » Warehouse 1 (Target) will operate 24/7
- » Maintenance and management of MPE operational areas will commence

- » CG questioned who the other warehouse tenants will be
- » KM advised that the names of the tenants have not been made available, though these might be available at the next meeting

Status of compliance

- » One non-compliance spoke about in previous meetings, no other non-compliances to note

Air quality monitoring MPE Stage 1

- » KM advised that air quality monitoring has improved significantly on the project (records up till August in this data)
- » KM suggested that a lot of the improvement can be attributed to getting permanent pavement down throughout the site

Air quality monitoring MPE Stage 2

- » KM noted that there were couple of high-level results recorded in June and August, though it is unclear what caused the spike in the data
- » KM advised that a positive with the live monitoring will be able to provide better ideas on what has cause spikes in levels moving forward

Complaints

- » SW advised that four complaints were received about noisy out of hours works – discussed earlier in the meeting
- » SW advised of one complaint received regarding the condition of Bapaume Rd during works undertaken to complete utility connections. This was addressed very quickly
- » SW advised of one dust complaint received from a resident of Wattle Grove, made via JA
- » SW advised of one complaint received involving a vehicle hit by a fence in high winds. This is in the process of being settled with NRMA now

5. Council and community comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JA commented that he is concerned about flooding in the area and wants to see the project continuing works to vacuum drainage » SW advised that as mentioned in previous meetings, the works to upgrade Moorebank Ave will help to rectify the flooding in the area. These works will be commencing soon » SW raised the topic of the <i>Job Shop</i> which was suggested by CG in a previous meeting. Council has previously suggested the project establish a job shop for the area using project funding in conjunction with the Liverpool Council. CG identified a similar suburb (to Moorebank) in Melbourne in the Hume Council. This initiative was established by the Council rather than a project, though we believe there are similar goals » SW explained that the Hume Council initiative assisted <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > Locals get training eg. RSA, responsible conduct of gambling and other TAFE cert courses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Tactical/ Elton to organise for an environmental rep to attend the next meeting to address biodiversity offsets and credits » Elton to check with EMS what noise monitor graphs can be shared with FM » The Chair to invite Peter Hicks from MIC to the next CCC meeting.
-----------------------------------	---	---

-
- > Assisted local workers with CVS, interview skills and 'pre clearing' of local works to enable them to easily supply to local employers when needing bulk workers
 - > The service originally operated in a physical location, however have transitioned to online only environment
 - > Have aggregated local area jobs into their service, and have been very successful
 - » SW noted that as the principal developer, Qube are mindful that the employment is almost exclusively undertaken by contractors during the construction phase and by the tenants in the operation phase
 - » SW suggested that as the initiative is one Council views broadly in Liverpool LGA, the project might be able to support it rather than it being specific to the project
 - » SW advised that contractors on the project have been encouraged to use local suppliers and trades
 - » SW suggested that there could be an opportunity to formalise and continue to advocate for local employees with MLP as a large potential employer
 - » CG advised that Council are going to advertise for positions around the area including for MLP jobs. The position will look at building relationships with Qube, schools, TAFEs to ensure they can maximise local job outcomes on local projects
 - » The Chair questioned when the new position will commence
 - » CG advised that they hope to have someone in the position by Christmas. They hope for the person to be local, though they will have to be the best person for the job

 - » SC commented that at the next meeting she would like to hear about biodiversity offsets and how the credits benefit the local community, and further how the project will address the issue of additionality

 - » FM asked whether she could receive a copy of the noise graph from the night multiple complaints were received
 - » SW advised that he would check with EMS and pass on if possible

 - » CG advised that Council will continue to lobby for a footbridge over the project site, and suggested the CCC help to lobby for this
 - » CG suggested that the head of MIC attend the next meeting to discuss the matter
 - » SW suggested that it would be most appropriate for The Chair to invite Peter Hicks from MIC
- » SW to share Peter Hicks' contact details
 - » Elton / Tactical to look for the designs that show what hard engineering will be in place to stop trucks turning into Anzac Rd and share with the CCC
-

-
- » JT raised the possibility of semi trucks using Anzac Rd, and referenced the transcript from the IPC's interview of project representatives .
 - » JT advised that the transcript states that there is a camera on Cambridge Ave. JT further suggested that semi trucks would have no issue turning in Anzac Rd in its current condition. JT further stated that he disputes the claim made in the transcript
 - » SW advised that he would look for the design drawing which depicts how the intersection will be designed and what hard engineering will be in place to stop trucks from turning into Anzac Rd
 - » JT questioned whether there is a camera currently in place
 - » SW advised that he does not have a detailed outcome, and that the person recorded as making those comments does not believe the comments were taken down correctly.
 - » SW suggested it would be futile to have a camera in that position at the moment as most of the truck traffic coming down Moorebank Ave to Cambridge Ave has permission to do so

6. General Business

- » JA expressed concern for the way biosecurity issues such as issues with fire ants, has been dealt with at Port Botany
 - » JA further expressed concerns that Moorebank is the worst health area in NSW
 - » SW suggested that the Port Botany biosecurity measures / mitigation practices currently in place at Port Botany be shared with the group
 - » SW commented that a huge amount of work is carried out by customs and he is certain that biosecurity mitigation would be treated thoroughly
 - » The Chair questioned what health report states that Moorebank is the worst health area
 - » JT advised that you can get information from OEHL online on a daily basis, and stated that the area is pretty bad, especially on hot days
 - » IP reiterated that the CCC would like Port Botany customs protocols to be shared at the next CCC meeting
- » Elton/ Tactical to investigate what practices/ protocols are currently in place in Port Botany around biosecurity

7. Next meeting

- » The next meeting will be held 6pm Monday 10 February 2020
 - » Elton to coordinate room booking
-