

SIMTA Intermodal Terminal Facility- Stage 1

Response to Submissions - Community Response Reference Table



SIMTA

SYDNEY INTERMODAL TERMINAL ALLIANCE

Part 4, Division 4.1, State Significant
Development

COMMUNITY RESPONSE REFERENCE TABLE

A summary of the community responses is provided below. Section 3 of the RtS provides further detail on the methodology associated with the preparation of responses to community submissions and this table. In particular the 'reference' column refers to the reference number located on the DP&E website which has been assigned to each of the community members whom provided responses (refer to DP&E website for further detail).

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| Traffic and transport | Congestion and road network capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General increased truck movements to move freight | (118485), (118564), (119117), (117823), (117746), (117886), (117880), (117920), (118064), (119299), (117952), | 11 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adding to M5 Motorway traffic congestion | (118407), (118479), (118489), (118509), (118521), (118552), (118556), (118592), (118606), (118687), (118691), (118709), (118880), (118909), (118927), (118943), (118979), (118983), (118991), (119099), (119031), (119044), (119046), (119067), (119103), (119119), (118005) | 27 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need for a 4th lane on the M5 Motorway | (118286) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General concerns about traffic increases within the Liverpool LGA | (119121), (119103), (119105), (119119), (119069), (119089), (119065), (118576), (118519), (119087), (119044), (119046), (118580), (118594), (119223), (118532), (118548), (119071), (119073), (118999), (119027), (118991), (118893), (118905), (118941), (118903), (118935), (118805), (118795), (118777), (118705), (118604), (117924), (118809) | 34 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle breakdowns on minor and major roads in the locality impacting traffic flow | (118348), (118955), (118536) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern that the Proposal would add to existing traffic congestion on the surrounding road network. | (117770), (117825), (117794), (117834), (117832), (117852), (117845), (117900), (117876), (117898), (117940), (117870), (117908), (117872), (117904), (118165), (118167), (118076), (117952), (117948), (117944), (117976), (118348), (118368), (118370), (118266), (118298), (118169), (118309), (118288), (118333), (118374), (118286), (119119), (119031), (119048), (118961), (118955), (118611), (118491), (118477), (118949), (118882), (118475), (118485), (118401), (118582), (118884), (118886), (118536), (118854), (119235), (118629), (118925), (118649), (118687), (118691), (118709), (118727), (118723), (118598) | 61 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------|-------|---|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing road network is not suitable to cater for additional truck movements | (118907), (118901), (118947), (118923), (118870), (118653), (118606), (117672), (117878), (117892), (117890), (117920), (118066), (118005), (117996), (118259), (119986), (118576), (119015), (118564), (117765), (119299), (118309) | 23 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts of additional traffic movements, thereby resulting in congestion on Nurwarra Road | (118939), (118582), (118925) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts of additional traffic movements, thereby resulting in congestion on Brickmakers Drive | (117674), (118939), (118582) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts of additional traffic movements, thereby resulting in congestion on Newbridge Road | (119986), (118941), (118582) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flooding of Georges River closing local roads creating further congestion | (118915), (118931) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 53% deficit in capacity in 2030 - how is this going to be addressed. | (118064), (118915), (118931) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There would be an increase in commute times | (118076), (119001), (119003), (118407), (117834), (118066), (118889), (117817), (117878), (117886), (118076), (118368) | 12 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trucks moved from Botany to Moorebank | (118064), (118005), (117948), (118971), (118872), (118629), (118064), (118751) | 8 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Port Botany to Moorebank is already congested | (118005) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> By increasing throughput of Botany more trucks are needed once containers are unloaded | (118691), (118709) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality of road decrease and need for maintenance | (117845), (117880), (118266), (118611), (117880), (118076) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional vehicles from Proposal has not been addressed until 2029/2030) | (117672) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not take into account WestConnex | (118055), (119119), (118931), (118629), (118691), (118709), (118055) | 7 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------|---|--|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate mitigation measures for the traffic increase has not been provided | (118165), (118055), (117980), (119109) | 4 |
| | SIMTA and MIC cumulative assessment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SIMTA EIS v MICL EIS give different opinions on accident analysis | (119109) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Growth rates used for background traffic calculations | (119109) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of SIDRA isolated version instead of network version for traffic modelling | (119109) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Different traffic modelling outcomes and inputs between MIC and SIMTA proposals | (118691), (118709), (118005), (118751), (119119), (118691), (118401), (118971) | 8 |
| | Traffic Impact Assessment and modelling | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representatives from TfNSW and RMS, are not qualified to review the traffic impact assessments and modelling | (119109) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No independent traffic study has been undertaken | (118967) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timing of traffic count for background information | (119119), (118931), (118797), (118629), (118691), (118709) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The modelling does not cater for future growth | (119986), (118401), (118691), (118709), (119119), (118055) | 6 |
| | Safety | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trucks should be kept to industrial areas | (118635), (118582) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trucks weaving onto and off the M5 Motorway | (118005), (119119), (118975), (118401), (118691), (118709), (118931) | 7 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in traffic accidents | (117845), (117892), (117890), (118042), (119089), (119065), (119087), (118955), (118582), (118893), (118927), (118822), (118809), (118653), (118723) | 15 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trucks using local roads | (118005), (118931), (118475), (118489), (118401), (118889) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hume Highway is already dangerous | (117770) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in heavy vehicle traffic potentially causing accidents and death | (117812), (117884), (117882), (117880), (118005), (117952), (119001), (119003), (118795), (118086), (117944) | 11 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing roads are hazardous already (potholes) and RMS does not maintain them | (117845), (117882) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Proposal would exacerbate existing unsafe conditions on Newbridge Road to Moorebank Avenue | (117920) | 1 |
| | Surrounding infrastructure upgrades | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic study on behalf of community identified 32 intersections that require upgrades which cost almost 3 million. If Proposal goes ahead who will pay for this? | (117672), (119121) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The community traffic study has identified numerous intersections that require upgrades and the EIS only stated one required on Moorebank Ave but not until 2029/2030. | (118064) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Responsibility to undertake and pay for these upgrades | (118064), (119299), (118076), (118629) | 4 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing traffic problems should be fixed before the proposal goes ahead | (117952) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How does the Proposal impact on the plans for new road to the new airport | (117765) | 1 |
| | Illegal road use | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures needed to ensure Anzac Road is not used by trucks | (119243), (118889), (119119) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heavy vehicle utilising back roads to avoid congestion | (117812), (118005), (119119), (118691), (118709), (119067) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing illegal movements along roads with restrictions (tonnes) | (117674) | 1 |
| | Current Parking | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing truck and trailer parking along local roads is significant | (118042), (118005), (117952), (119119), (118691), (118709), (119067) | 7 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|---------------------|------------|--|--|-------|
| | Monitoring | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring of Brickmakers Drive for Illegal truck use | (117674) | 1 |
| | Trains | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts to the East Hills Line | (117886) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider alternative uses for the rail lines and their network | (118259) | 1 |
| Noise and Vibration | Rail noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excessive rail traffic will create noise | (119117), (119023), (119235), (118209) | 4 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Train noise over river - lack of noise wall | (119119), (119023), (118971), (118401), (118781), (118691), (118709) | 7 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of old rolling stock and increased noise | (118979) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rail screech/squeal and increased noise | (118979), (118691), (118709), (118947), (119121), (119048), (119035), (119023), (118055), (118005) | 10 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise emissions as a result of train break up and shunting | (119119), (118931), (118401), (118691), (118709), (119023) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SIMTA should be responsible for noise on ARTC track seeing they are behind increases | (118691) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementing noise barriers along the rail corridor | (118005), (118209) | 2 |
| | Road noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24/7 traffic creating unacceptable noise | (119103), (119065), (118939), (118925), (119115), (119023), (118991), (118576), (118606), (118893), (118927), (118797), (118805), (118809) | 14 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General traffic noise | (119069), (119071), (119073), (118955), (117876), (117920), | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise generated by trucks leaving IMT across M5 bridge at Moorebank | (118781) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise impacts from existing M5 traffic are considered disruptive, with the Proposal further increasing/compounding these impacts | (117862), (117868) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compression breaking in built – up areas | (117952) | 1 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--|--------------------|--|--|-------|
| | Construction noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General construction noise and impact on residential areas | (117952), (118691), (118709), (118401), (118723) | 5 |
| | Operational noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General operating noise impacting residential areas and local amenities | (117948), (119023), (119015), (118905), (118903), (118935), (118931), (118485), (118793), (118795), (118783), (118580), (118629), (118687), (118691), (118604), (118709), (118681), (117794), (117834), (117900), (117898), (117972), (118167), (118076), (118044), (117948), (117944), (118368), (118370), (118266), (118169) | 32 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise wall needed between rail and Georges River | (119050), (118995) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise mitigation strategies are not considered suitable | (119050) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise criteria likely to be exceeded at Glenfield Farm | 119035), (118931) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction requirements of 23dB at full build unachievable | (118828) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep disturbance criteria stated in Section 5.4 should be applied to all noise sources | (118828) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mitigation measures to address operational noise impacts on sleep disturbance. Referencing impacts from Port Botany container facility | (117672), (118064), (118005), (117948) | 4 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24/7 noise and associated impacts | (119048), (119099), (119044), (119046), (118576), (118723), (119001), (118509), (118407), (118489), (118477), (118519), (119223), (119003), (118895), (118901), (118705), (118594), (118907), (118947), (118909), (118882), (118884), (118886), (118809), (118727), (117952), (117832) | 28 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not show predicted noise outcomes for residents living near southern access | (118781) | 1 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise emissions from gantry cranes | (119023) | 1 | | |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------|---|---|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mitigation strategies for loading and unloading at terminal itself | (118979), (118005) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Crane operators banging containers | (119023), (118691), (118401), (118709), (118931) | 5 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise carrying along the Georges River and surrounding areas, particularly at night | (118005), (117952), (118828), (118931) | 4 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Casula has no noise buffers or mitigation measures | (118064) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Warehousing will barely reduce the noise levels | (118064) | 1 |
| | Noise monitoring/modelling and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why is Buckland Road the only monitoring location? | (119050) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What modelling has been done - does it include likely traffic volumes | (119050) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Port Botany monitoring shows how bad noise will be | (119119), (118691), (118709), (118401), (118781), (118564) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing monitoring should be required if approved | (118967), (118949), (118629) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise modelling does not take into account all locations likely to be impacted | (119050), (118709), (118691) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No noise abatement plan | (118055) | 1 |
| | General | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How can the additional noise be considered for a highly populated area? | (117825) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Already suffering from noise pollution from Bankstown airport and trucks | (117872) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise impacts to Leacock Regional Park | (117834) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate mitigation measures | (118055), (118005), (118209), (117980) | 4 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|-------------|--------------------|---|--|-------|
| | | ▪ The Proposal will convert a quite liveable area to a busy industrial area | (118042) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Not enough consideration given to noise | (117980) | 1 |
| | Vibration | ▪ Train, truck and car vibration impacts | (117794) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Property damage from vibration | (117948) | 1 |
| Air Quality | Particulate matter | ▪ Diesel and out-dated locomotive fume particulate matter not accounted for | (119117), (119121), (119119), (118975) | 4 |
| | | ▪ PM2.5 already close to advisory limit | (119119), (119031), (119067), (118691), (118709), (118582) | 6 |
| | | ▪ How will increase of PM2.5 be mitigated | (118582), (118564), (118931) | 3 |
| | | ▪ Dust impact | (119119), (118691), (118709), (117862), (117868), (118005) | 6 |
| | | ▪ What are latest emission standards for locomotives | (118979) | 1 |
| | General pollution | ▪ General air quality concerns | (118055), (119115), (119105), (119031), (119069), (119060), (119087), (118999), (119001), (118489), (118594), (119003), (118967), (118485), (118532), (119015), (118901), (118907), (118943), (118905), (118705), (118941), (118903), (118935), (118872), (118795), (118629), (118649), (118687), (118691), (118709), (118681) | 32 |
| | | ▪ Air quality decrease | (117817), (117746), (117900), (117878), (117932), (117898), (118167), (117996), (117944), (117976), (118368), (118169), (118259), (117823), (118709), (118691), (118629), (119099) | 18 |
| | | ▪ How can the additional pollution be considered for a highly populated area? | (117825), (118064), (118723) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Not enough consideration given to pollution generated by the Proposal | (117980), (117834) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Death and injuries/sickness from pollution | (117884), (118975), (117920), (118064), (118165), (118005), (117948), (118368), (118333) | 9 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------|---|---|--|-------|
| | | ▪ Impacting residents and local schools which have not been considered | (118370) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Effect of still nights on air pollution | (118979) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Basin location traps pollution | (119121), (119048), (118949), (118931), (118870), (118781), (118401), (118629), (118691), (118709), | 11 |
| | | ▪ Emissions have not been adequately addressed | (117952), (117948) | 2 |
| | Odour | ▪ Odour generated through the construction period | (119119), (118709), (118691) | 3 |
| | Diesel emissions from trucks, locomotives and heavy equipment | ▪ Air Quality study does not assess diesel particulate matter, where it is now listed as a carcinogen | (118975) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Diesel pollution from trucks | (117862), (117868), (118723), (118777), (118709), (118691), (118939), (118401), (118548), (119023), (119223), (119103), (118955), (118991), (118582), (118548), (118947), (118923), (118606), (118925), (118604), (118691), (118709) | 23 |
| | | ▪ Diesel pollution from trains | (118777), (118709), (118691), (118401), (118548), (119023), (119001), (119223), | 8 |
| | | ▪ Diesel pollution from heavy equipment | (118401), (119023), (119223) | 3 |
| | | ▪ Train, truck and car pollution | (117794), (117832), (117845), (117876), (117904), (118165), (118076), (118005), (117948), (118298) | 10 |
| | | ▪ Proponent has ignored that bringing in additional diesel powered trucks and locomotives will add to the already polluted area. The emissions created by these are carcinogenic and the same category as asbestos. | (117672), (118005), (117952), (117948), (118348) | 5 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased diesel emissions from trucks, locomotives and heavy equipment on site | (118005), (117948), (118266), (118259), (119071), (119073), | 4 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engines will be under duress when either accelerating or slowing down, resulted in increased emissions | (117952) | 1 |
| | MIC and SIMTA Air Quality assessment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contradictory statements made by MIC and SIMTA on air quality levels | (118064) | 1 |
| Human Health | Human Health impacts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health issues for residents due to traffic increases | (119121), (118870), (118809), (118820), (118691), (118709) | 6 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cancer risks | (119121), (119048), (118572), (118965), (118401), (118691), (118709) | 7 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep disturbance | (118995), (118939), (118931), (118564), (118576), (118691), (118709) | 7 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asthma | (119060), (118005) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respiratory illness | (118691), (118709) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General health concerns | (119069), (119089), (119065), (118582), (119087), (118598), (118485), (118548), (119044), (118580), (119046), (118564), (119071), (119073), (118999), (119027), (118961), (118987), (119013), (119015), (118949), (118941), (118931), (118797), (118783), (118629), (118777) | 27 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Western Sydney already generally poorer health than rest of city | (118931), (118691), (118709) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sick children would be impacted | (118895), (118635) | 2 |
| | Quality of life | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacting quality of life | (117817), (117794), (119089), (118820), (118401), (118598), (117830), (118548) | 8 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacting general health and well being | (117794), (117852), (117845), (117932), (117940), (117924), (117872), (118064), (118086), (118165), (118076), (118044), (118005), (117952), | 23 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|----------------|----------------|---|--|-------|
| | | | (117948), (118348), (118368), (118266), (118311), (118309), (118333), (118209), (117996), (118280) | |
| Site Selection | Badgerys Creek | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More space and opportunities at Badgerys | (119121), (119115), (119119), (119031), (119065), (118592), (119091), (119035), (118594), (118995), (118564), (118475), (118987), (118955), (118971), (118590), (118891), (118895), (118943), (118941), (118931), (118909), (118882), (118884), (118886), (118872), (118401), (118878), (118822), (119235), (118880), (118629), (118649), (118687), (117910), (117932), (118064), (117990), (118086), (118076), (118055), (118333), (118691), (118709), (117858), (117672), (117972), (118165), (117856), (117765), (117746), (117870), (117872), (117904), (117920), (117990), (118165), (117988), (117980), (118348), (118274), (118370), (118374), (118259), (118961), (119015), (119007) | 67 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two IMT's already operating in the area, alternative is Badgerys Creek | (117892), (117890) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Proposal is not economically viable. Badgerys Creek would reduce supply chain costs and cater for future urban growth | (118005) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to reassess plans due to Badgerys Creek Airport being designated after the Proposal was submitted | (117996) | 1 |
| | Eastern Creek | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Proposal should be around Eastern Creek with existing warehousing | (118564), (118649), (118965), (119105), (118907), (118905), (118901), (117932) | 8 |
| | Mittagong | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An area such as Mittagong would be better suited as it would not harm the environment or add to congestion. | (117770) | 1 |
| | M7/M5 junction | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vacant property at the crossroads could be used. It has been proposed as a terminal previously | (117868) | 1 |
| | Bringelly | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bringelly location | (117908) | 1 |
| | Kemps Creek | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kemps Creek location | (117880) | 1 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| | Existing alternative facilities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facility at Chullora which is under-utilised | (119117), (119121), (119115), (119119), (119031), (119048), (119223), (118909), (118564), (119065), (118961), (118649), (118629), (118781), (118901), (118880), (118907), (118903), (118878), (118882), (118884), (118905), (118886), (118691), (118709) | 25 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enfield site | (118941), (118649), (118727), (118629), (118781) | 5 |
| | Alternative location - General | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are more suitable locations for the Proposal | (117812), (117746), (117852), (117878), (117876), (117924), (117882), (118167), (118042), (117952), (117948), (117976), (118292), (118284), (118169), (118259), (118280), (119099), (118503), (118505), (118523), (118525), (118527), (118529), (118731), (118807), (119038), (119040), (119056) | 29 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Large Proposal being located near prime River front real estate and private homes | (117746) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Southwest region is growing too fast | (117834) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better locations past Elizabeth Drive. These were not considered in planning options | (117845) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not an efficient location based on the majority of containers destined for western Sydney not southwest Sydney and double handling of containers from Port Botany to Moorebank for such a short distance | (118064) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urgency to build an IMT no longer exists due to Chullora increasing capacity and predicted increase in import containers per annum is a lot less than expected | (118064), (118368), (118374), (118286) | 4 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|---------------------|---|--|--|-------|
| | Site is generally unsuitable | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Proposal is surrounded by water and therefore any road and rail upgrades required will be significantly costly | (119119), (119031), (118691), (118709) | 4 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Surrounded by residential area | (119048), (119001), (119003), (118895), (118941), (118521), (118407), (118923), (118691), (118709), (118576), (118777), (118615), (118729), (118880) | 15 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Too large a development for the proposed location | (118854), (118878), (118515), (119223), (118783), (118729) | 6 |
| | Alternative uses | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better suited for residential development | (117892), (117890), (118909), (118882), (118884), (118886), (118870), (118878), (118629), (118604), (118691), (118709) | 12 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternative use for the site such as parkland | (117770),(118548), (119223) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business park opportunities | (117952), (119091), (118548) | 3 |
| | Amenity impacts on residential properties, schools, hospitals, parks, religious establishments and shopping centres | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location of the IMT in proximity to residential development and within Moorebank/Liverpool | (117862), (117858), (117825), (117821), (117868), (117812), (117823), (117794), (117830), (117900), (117898), (117908), (118064), (117990), (117972), (119299), (117988), (118005), (117952), (117944), (118368), (118266), (118309), (118333), (118397), (118286), (117834), (117952), (119001), (118509), (118489), (118556), (119003) | 33 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is not solely located on Army/industrial land | (117672) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Proposal should have only been considered in an industrial area, not a residential area | (118044), (118005), (118368) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location of IMT in proximity to schools and housing estates | (117932), (117940), (117952), (118266) | 4 |
| General Environment | Natural Environment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General environmental impact | (119101), (119027), (118891), (118901), (118907), (118905), (119105), (117821), (118951) | 9 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about environmental studies undertaken | (119060), (118649) | 2 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| | | ▪ Impacts to the environment | (117794), (117932), (117924), (117972), (118167), (118076), (118292), (118169), (118397), (118280) | 10 |
| | | ▪ Differing opinions on distances between suburbs | (119050) | 1 |
| | Georges River/Waterways impacts | ▪ Reduced cleanliness of Georges River | (117823), (119299) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Impacts to Georges River and local waterways and surrounds | (117834), (117900), (117898), (117996), (117952), (117976), (118368), (118333), (118397), (118259), (119121), (119048), (119060), (119044), (119001), (119223), (118961), (118576), (118401), (119015), (118572), (118489), (118991), (118943), (118941), (118935), (118809), (118783), (118820), (118649), (118005) | 31 |
| | | ▪ Proximity to Georges River | (117910), (117908) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Important social and ecological feature of the area | (119299) | 1 |
| | | ▪ It must remain intact and pristine for future generations | (118348), (118259), (117856), (119044), (119046), (117746), (117876) | 7 |
| Bushfire | Bushfire risk | ▪ Bushfire risk and site evacuation strategy | (118979) | 1 |
| Hazard and risk | Spill management | ▪ Uncontained spills | (118979) | 1 |
| Biodiversity | Threatened species and communities | ▪ Impacts to threatened and endangered species | (118076) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Cumberland plain vegetation communities | (119223) | 1 |
| | General impacts | ▪ Flora and fauna impacts | (119121), (118971), (118572), (118401), (118576), (118909), (118783) | 7 |
| Indigenous and Non-Indigenous Heritage | Heritage | ▪ Loss of sites of Aboriginal significance | (119105), (118691), (118709) | 3 |
| | | ▪ Loss of sites of military significance | (118691), (118709) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Direct and non-direct impacts to Glenfield Farm house and depreciation of legacy values | (118055), (119035) | 2 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No acknowledgement of Glenfield Farm house | (118055) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts to military heritage breaking ties with the community | (118005), (119001), (118401), (118971) | 4 |
| Visual amenity | Visual | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visual impact | (118971), (118604) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Viewpoints selected low - why not use high vantage points | (119050) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What visual impact mitigation strategies are being offered | (119050) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decrease in visual amenity | (118055) | 1 |
| Light spill | Light pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Light spill | (119031), (119001), (119003), (118604), (118691), (118709), (118979), (118935), (118931), (118167), (118169) | 11 |
| SIMTA and MIC Precinct strategy | SIMTA and MIC Precinct strategy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SIMTA and MIC proposals should be considered together | (119117), (119105), (119119), (119031), (118401), (118691), (118709), (118564), (118965), (118971), (118943), (118935), (118931), (118781), (118629) | 15 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current EIS should be null and void due to SIMTA/MIC agreement | (119067), (118781), (118064) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confusion between values quoted in each submission | (119119), (118691), (118401), (118709), (118931), (118971), (118005) | 7 |
| | Masterplan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Masterplan not undertaken | (118691), (118709), (119031), (119119), (118931) | 5 |
| | Alternative concepts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternative connection of rail link to SSFL | (119035) | 1 |
| | Responsibility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SIMTA should now be responsible for section of Anzac Road as was Defence | (118889) | 1 |
| On-going monitoring | Compliance/Monitoring | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Who is the responsible authority to monitor guidelines and controls? | (118076) | 1 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-------|
| and compliance | | | | |
| Economics | Logistics | ▪ Unlikely to reduce supply claim costs as claimed | (119119), (118401), (119067), (118931) | 4 |
| | | ▪ Double handling freight | (118943), (118564) | 2 |
| | | ▪ The economic viability of transferring freight 25km from Botany to Moorebank is not properly justified | (119119), (118691), (118709), (119031) | 4 |
| | Economic viability | ▪ PAC limit on TEUs | (118691), (118709), (119031), (119067), (119119), (118931) | 6 |
| | Cost benefit analysis (CBA) | ▪ Cost of land not reflective | (118629) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Road upgrades not taken into account | (118629) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Costs associated with reduced access to Liverpool hospital | (118629) | 1 |
| | | ▪ CBA not released | (118629), (118286) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Unproven short haul rail market | (118629), (119119), | 2 |
| | Economic impacts | ▪ Economic and financial gain has been put before the welfare of citizens and the environment | (117794), (118165), (117996), (117948), (118311) | 5 |
| | | ▪ Communities have been victimised by a public/private partnership | (118055) | 1 |
| | | ▪ General economic decline in the locality | (117996), (118280) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Prevention of future residential growth in the area | (117952) | 1 |
| | | ▪ Overstated economic benefits to community | (119067), (118781) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Home owners should be compensated for impacts to housing values | (119091), (118935), (118931), (118055) | 4 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|-------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Proposal is likely to result in a decrease in property value | (118870), (118705), (118515), (118401), (118485), (118809), (118925), (118681), (118777), (118611) (117817), (118042), (118005), (117952), (118286), (119101) | 16 |
| | Political influences | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government trying to please other electorates | (117832), (118931) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government ignoring interest in a container facility in Newcastle | (118064) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government doesn't care | (117817), (117856), (119065) | 3 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government land sold for maximum profit | (118931), (119243) | 2 |
| | Employment opportunities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The industry is already competitive enough. Work will be taken by existing employees | (117852) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The development will not create more jobs | (117920), (118939), (118564), (118629), (118939), (118564), (118629) | 7 |
| Community | Impact community facilities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts to Casula Powerhouse Arts Centre | (119119), (119031), (119050), (119044), (119046), (119001), (119003), (118536), (118961), (118691), (118709), (118943), (118935), (118931), (119235), (117910), (117876), (118055), (117952), (117823) | 20 |
| | Community benefits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overstated economic benefits to community | (119067), (118781) | 2 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact LCC long term vision for Georges River | (117876) | 1 |
| | Consultation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of consultation | (117845), (118167), (118169), (117920), (118055), (119101), (118691), (118564), (118709), (119091) | 10 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purchased Glenfield Farm in 2013 and not informed of plans | (119035) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No transparency in approval process | (118266) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residents/community decision to decide which development is approved in their area | (117770) | 1 |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The inadequate process of consultation appears to be more about maximizing the value of | (119035), (118995) | 2 |

| Aspect | Issue | Sub-issue | Reference | Total |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| | | Commonwealth and State land holdings than a genuine desire to reduce the impact of container traffic | | |
| | General opposition | ▪ Influential vested interest for monetary gain | (119091), (118691), (118709), (118572) | 4 |
| | | ▪ Increase terror threat | (118931) | 1 |
| | | ▪ General opposition | (118425), (118493), (118495), (118517), (118713), (118733), (118951), (118977) | 8 |
| Overall cumulative impacts | Combined impacts | ▪ The cumulative impacts (noise, air, traffic, health) of the multiple stages of the IMT have not been assessed | (118064), (118005) | 2 |
| | | ▪ Impacts from a Waste Recycling Plant and associated traffic increase | (117948) | 1 |
| Sustainability | Economic, social and environmental | ▪ The Proposal does not account for long term economic impacts, which have equal weight to social and environmental aspects | (117672) | 1 |